MAT351 Partial Differential Equations Lecture 14

November 2, 2020

Reflection method for wave equations

We will study the following Dirichlet problem for the wave equation on the half-line:

The reflexion method works the same way as for the diffusion equation.

We consider *odd* extensions ϕ_{odd} and ψ_{odd} of ϕ and ψ respectively.

Let u(x, t) be the solution of the initial value problem for the wave equation on \mathbb{R} . We have the formula

$$u(x,t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\phi_{odd}(x+ct)+\phi_{odd}(x-ct)\right]+\frac{1}{2c}\int_{x-ct}^{x+ct}\psi_{odd}(y)dy.$$

Then u(x, t) is once again odd. In particular we have u(0, t) = 0 for t > 0 and we can define the solution v on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ of (1) by restriction of u to $[0, \infty)$.

We observe that for $x \ge c|t|$ it follows that $x - ct, x + ct \ge 0$. Hence

$$v(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\phi(x+ct) + \phi(x-ct) \right] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(y) dy \quad x \ge c|t|.$$

For 0 < x < c |t| we have $\phi_{odd}(x - ct) = -\phi(-x + ct)$. Hence

$$v(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\phi(x+ct) + \phi(-x+ct) \right] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{0} \left[-\psi(-y) \right] dy + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{0}^{x+ct} \psi(y) dy \quad 0 < x < c |t|.$$

We can apply a change of variable $y\mapsto -y$ to the first integral term. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\phi(ct+x) - \phi(ct-x) \right] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{ct-x}^{0} \psi(y) dy + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{0}^{x+ct} \psi(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\phi(ct+x) - \phi(ct-x) \right] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{ct-x}^{ct+x} \psi(y) dy \quad 0 < x < c|t|. \end{aligned}$$

The complete solution is given by

$$v(x,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[\phi(x+ct) + \phi(x-ct) \right] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(y) dy & \text{if } x \ge c|t| \\ \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[\phi(ct+x) - \phi(ct-x) \right] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{ct-x}^{ct+x} \psi(y) dy & \text{if } 0 < x < c|t|. \end{cases}$$

Finite Interval

Similarly we can also study the problem

$$\begin{array}{rcl} v_{t,t} &=& c^2 v_{x,x} & \text{on} & (0,l) \times \mathbb{R} \\ v(x,0) &=& \phi(x) & \text{on} & (0,l) \\ v_t(x,0) &=& \psi(x) & \text{on} & (0,l) \\ v(0,t) = v(l,t) &=& 0 & \text{on} & \mathbb{R}. \end{array}$$

(2)

Diffusion equation with continuous initial data

Let us consider once more

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_t &=& k u_{x,x} & \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty) \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x,t) &=& \phi(x) & \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

This time we assume $\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ and $|\phi(x)| \leq M \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. The convolution formula

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{4kt}}\right)^2} \phi(y) dy$$

still makes sense. Indeed, since $|\phi(x)| \leq M$ the integral is finite and bounded from above by M:

$$|u(x,t)| = \left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{4kt}}\right)^2}\phi(x-z)dz\right| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{4kt}}\right)^2}Mdz \le M.$$

A refined satement is that for $m \le \phi(x) \le M$ it follows

$$m \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(x-y,t)\phi(y)dy \leq M \quad orall t > 0 \quad (Maximum Principle).$$

Theorem

Let $\phi(x)$ and u(x, t) be as above. Then $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$ such that $u_t = ku_{x,x}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x, t) = \phi(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of the theorem

We check that u is in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty))$. Let $S(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}}\right)^2}$. We show that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int S(x-y,t)\phi(y)dy=\int \frac{\partial}{\partial x}S(x-y,t)\phi(y)dy.$$

Recall that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}S(x-y,t)\phi(y) = \lim_{h\to 0}\frac{1}{h}\left[S(x+h-y,t) - S(x-y,t)\right]\phi(y).$$

By the *dominated convergence theorem* for integrals we can pull this limit inside the integral if the modulus of the limit is bounded by an integrable function. This is indeed the case

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}S(x-y,t)\phi(y)\right| \leq \left|-\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}}\frac{x-y}{2kt}e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4kt}}\right| M \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}}\frac{|x-y|}{2kt}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4kt}}.$$

The term on the right hand side has a finite integral on \mathbb{R} . Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(x,t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4k\pi t}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}S(x-y,t)\phi(y)dy.$$

All other derivatives of higher order in x and t will work the same way: we always get an estimate by function of the form

$$C|y-x|^n e^{-\tilde{C}(x-y)^2}$$

that has finite integral on \mathbb{R} .

Checking the initial condition

We also know that u satisfies $u_t = ku_{x,x}$ because S(x, t) does. Hence, we only need to prove that u satisfies the initial condition for $t \downarrow 0$. Consider

$$u(x,t) - \phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(x-y,t)\phi(y)dy - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(x-y,t)\phi(x)dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(x-y,t)(\phi(y) - \phi(x))dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$$

Since ϕ is continous in x, for $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|y-x| \leq \delta \Rightarrow |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq \epsilon$$

Hence

$$|u(x,t) - \phi(x)| \leq \int_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}: |x-y| > \delta\}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2kt}} \underbrace{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|}_{\leq 2M} dy$$
$$+ \int_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}: |x-y| \leq \delta\}} S(x-y,t) \underbrace{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|}_{\leq \epsilon} dy$$
$$\leq \frac{2M}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_{\{z \in \mathbb{R}: |z| \geq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{kt}}\}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}} dz + \epsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} |u(x,t) - \phi(x)| \le \epsilon$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, it follows that $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} |u(x, t) - \phi(x)| = 0$.

Additional Remarks

• Decay of the solution for $t \to \infty$. For $\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ with $|\phi| \leq M$ we have

$$|u(x,t)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(x-y,t) |\phi(y)| dy \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{4k\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4kt}} dy \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}} \to 0$$

In particular, this means the backwards diffusion equation

$$u_t = -ku_{x,x}$$
 on $\mathbb{R} \times (0,\infty)$

is not well-posed because stability fails.

About uniqueness again: Let \$\phi_1\$, \$\phi_2\$ ∈ \$C⁰(\$\mathbb{R}\$) with \$|\phi_1|\$, \$|\phi_2|\$ ≤ \$M\$. We saw that in the class of solutions with \$u(x, t) → 0\$ for \$|x| → ∞\$ we find a unique solution. But if we drop this assumption uniquess might fail: There are solutions of the heat equation with \$u(x, t) → 0\$ for \$t ↓ 0\$ for all \$x ∈ \$\mathbb{R}\$. See also exercise 10 on page 399 in Choksi's Lecture Notes for an example that hints to nonuniqueness.