

A Subspace-Based Active User Identification Scheme for CDMA Ad Hoc Networks

De Xu Lin and Teng Joon Lim

The Edward S. Rogers Sr. Dept. Electrical & Computer Engineering
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 3G4
{linde, limtj}@comm.utoronto.ca

Abstract — We propose a novel spreading code scheme, Transmitter-Receiver-Based Code, for wireless ad hoc networks. A subspace-based active user identification algorithm based on the proposed spreading code design is introduced. The performance of the active user identifier is also studied by investigating the false alarm rate P_f and miss rate P_m with respect to the identifier threshold value d_{th} .

I. INTRODUCTION

In a non-centralized CDMA ad hoc network where transmissions between any pairs of nodes are allowed, designing a good spreading code scheme is challenging. Simple code schemes such as receiver-based code or transmitter-based code are either susceptible to packet collisions or require high complexity receivers [1]. We propose a novel spreading code design, the Transmitter-Receiver-Based Code (TRBC), that is collision free and also enables each receiver to identify and decode the packets transmitted to itself, while suppressing the interfering packets blindly.

For the TRBC code, each node in the network is assigned two unique binary (± 1 's) spreading codes \mathbf{w}_m and \mathbf{p}_m ($m = 1, \dots, M$) of processing gain N . The transmission from node i to j uses spreading code $\mathbf{s}_{i,j} = \mathbf{w}_i \circ \mathbf{p}_j$, where \circ denotes the Hadamard (or element-wise) product of two matrices.

II. ACTIVE USER IDENTIFICATION

The task of active user identification at a receiver is defined as the identification of packets arriving at that receiver that are actually destined for it. Other packets received are treated as interference. A feasible solution for active user identification is a MUSIC-based technique [2], where the candidate spreading codes are projected onto the signal subspace of the received vector and the output of the projection, compared with a preset threshold, determines whether the candidate spreading code is in use (active) or not (inactive).

We assume a slotted chip-synchronous short code system and a slow flat fading AWGN channel. The network allows random access from all users in the form of packets of length L symbols in each packet slot. Given that the packets are synchronized, at each symbol interval, the received vector at the receiver node can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{n}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{S} = [\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_K]$ is the spreading code matrix consisting of the spreading sequences of the K active packets in the current packet slot, and $\mathbf{b} = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_K]^T$ represents

the transmitted information symbols in the current symbol interval. The channel matrix $\mathbf{A} = \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_K)$ contains independent channel coefficients.

Averaging over the received symbols, the autocovariance matrix of the received vector is $\mathbf{R} = E\{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}^T\}$. By performing eigenvalue decomposition (EVD), we may extract the signal subspace ($\mathbf{U}_s \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$).

We shall assume the receiver knows the pool of spreading codes used by other nodes to transmit packets to itself. These candidate spreading codes are placed in the set $\{\mathbf{s}_i\}_{i=1}^M$. Whether or not \mathbf{s}_i is active can be determined by comparing the identifier output d_i to a threshold value d_{th} , where

$$d_i = \|\mathbf{U}_s^T \mathbf{s}_i\|^2 = \mathbf{s}_i^T \mathbf{U}_s \mathbf{U}_s^T \mathbf{s}_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, M). \quad (2)$$

III. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The outputs of the active user identifier d_i fall into two categories: that of active users (d_{ac}) and that of inactive users (d_{in}). The statistics of d_{in} and d_{ac} determine the best value to set the identifier threshold d_{th} , given the constraints on false alarm rate P_f and miss rate P_m , where $P_f = P(d_{in} \geq d_{th})$ and $P_f = P(d_{ac} \leq d_{th})$.

Through rigorous statistical analysis we arrived at a close form approximation (exact for large N) for the pdf of d_{in} as a Beta distribution

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{K}{2})\Gamma(\frac{N-K}{2})} y^{\frac{K}{2}-1} (1-y)^{\frac{N-K}{2}-1}. \quad (3)$$

The false alarm rate P_f is thus determined by the identifier threshold values (d_{th}) through

$$\begin{aligned} P_f(N, K) &= 1 - F_Y(d_{th}) \\ &= 1 - I(d_{th}(N, K); \frac{K}{2}, \frac{N-K}{2}), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $I(z; a, b)$ is the incomplete Beta function.

Similar analysis applies also to the miss rate P_m , which is determined by the distribution of d_{ac} , $f_Z(z)$, through $P_m = F_Z(d_{th})$. However, the distribution of d_{ac} is influenced by the accuracy of the estimated signal subspace, which in turn associates with many factors beside N and K , such as SNR, sample size, and channel model. Thus the analysis of P_m can only be studied on a case-by-case basis.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. X. Lin and T. J. Lim, "Subspace-based Active User Identification for a Collision-free Slotted ad hoc Network," *Submitted to IEEE Trans. Comms.*, 2002.
- [2] W. Wu and K. Chen, "Identification of active users in synchronous CDMA multiuser detection," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Comms.*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 1723–1735, 1998.

¹This research was sponsored in part by a research grant from the Bell Canada University Laboratories at the University of Toronto.