

The Heterodoxies of the Shiites in the Presentation of Ibn Hazm

Author(s): Israel Friedlaender

Source: Journal of the American Oriental Society, Vol. 29 (1908), pp. 1-183

Published by: American Oriental Society Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/592622

Accessed: 21/05/2009 00:25

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=aos.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Oriental Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Journal of the American Oriental Society.

JOURNAL

OF THE

AMERICAN ORIENTAL SOCIETY.

The Heterodoxies of the Shiites in the Presentation of Ibn Hazm.—By Israel Friedlaender, Professor in the Jewish Theological Seminary, New York City.

COMMENTARY.1

THE Commentary herewith presented follows Ibn Hazm's text published in Vol. xxviii of this Journal, pp. 28-80, by page and line. In marking the lines, I have counted every line on the page, including the superscriptions. The footnotes are not quoted by the line but by the number prefixed to them. In the case of some very long footnotes, I also added the line of the footnote referred to.

I prefix a "List of Cited Works," giving all the authorities (with short biographical dates) regularly or frequently quoted in this treatise. The abbreviations under which they are quoted are made noticeable to the eye. Books only incidentally referred to are omitted in this list. MS. before the title signifies that the book has not yet appeared in print and has been used in manuscript.

In quoting from Arabic sources I have discriminated between printed works and manuscripts. The latter I quote in the original; the former I give—except in cases of necessity—in

¹ Continued from Vol. xxviii, pp. 1-80.

² To simplify the abbreviations, I purposely neglect the rules of exact transliteration.

translation, as the text itself is accessible to the specialist. In translating from the printed edition of Ibn Hazm's *Milal*, I usually attach the important variants from the manuscripts at my disposal.

I plead guilty to being inconsistent in transliterating the Arabic. Such inconsistencies are scarcely avoidable. The specialist will pardon them, the layman will hardly notice them.

As regards the index to this treatise, I refer the reader to my remarks in Vol. xxviii of this Journal, p. 27.

List of Cited Works.

Abulfeda. Abû'l-Fidâ [d. 732/1331], Annales Moslemici, ed. Adler, Hafniae 1789-94.

Abu'l-Maali. Abû'l-Ma'âlî [wrote about 485/1092. Descendant of Ali. *Imamite*], Kitâb bayân al-adyân (in Persian), printed in Schefer, Chrestomathie Persane, vol. I (Paris, 1883), pp. 132–171. The quotations refer to the Persian text.

Agh. Abû'l-Faraj al-Işbahânî [d. 356/967], Kitâb al-Aġânî, Bûlâk.

Agh. Tables. I. Guidi, Tables alphabétiques du Kitâb al-Aġani. Leyden, 1895-1900.

Anon. Sufi. MS. Anonymous work on Sufism. The author quotes Yâfi'î, who died 768/1366. Cod. Berlin; Ahlwardt, Catalogue No. 3397.

Bagd. MS. On Baġdâdî [d. 429/1038] and his work, see Introduction to this treatise, p. 26.

Blochet, Le Messianisme et l'hétérodoxie Musulmane. Paris, 1903.—Draws largely on Persian (Shiitie) sources.

de Boer, History of Philosophy in Islam. English translation. London, 1903.

Brockelmann, Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur. I-II. Leipzig, 1898-1902.

Diyarbekri. Diyârbekrî [died after 982/1574], Ta'rîkh al-Khamîs. Cairo, 1283^h.

Dozy, Isl. Dozy, Essai sur l'histoire de l'Islamisme, traduit du Hollandais par Victor Chauvin. Leyden-Paris, 1879.

Fihr. Nadîm [wrote 377/988]. Kitâb al-Fihrist, ed. Flügel. Leipzig, 1871-2.

Gen. Leyd. MS. Kitâb tahdîb al-ansâb wa-nihâyat -al-a'kâb. An anonymous genealogy of the Alides [fourth century H.]. Cod. Leyden (Warner 686). Not paginated.

de Goeje, Carmathes. de Goeje, Mémoire sur les Carmathes du Bahraïn et les Fatimides [Mémoires d'Histoire et de Géographie orientales No. 1]. Second edition. Leyden, 1886.

Goldziher, Muh. St. Goldziher, Muhammedanische Studien I-II. Halle 1889-1890.

Goldziher, Shi'a. Goldziher. Beiträge zur Litteraturgeschichte der Ši'a und der sunnitischen Polemik. Sitzungsberichte der philosophisch-historischen Classe der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, vol. 78 (1874), p. 439 ff. Vienna.

Haarbrücker. German translation of Shahrastânî I-II. Halle, 1850-51. Unless otherwise stated, quotations refer to vol. I.

IAth. Ibn al-Athîr [d. 630/1234]. Chronicon quod Perfectissimum inscribitur, ed. C. J. Tornberg. Leyden, 1851-76.

IBab., Ithbat. Ibn Bâbûye [d. 381/991. *Imamite*], Kitâb fi ithbât al-gaiba wa-kashf al-haira, ed. Möller, Heidelberg, 1901.

IBab., I'tikadat. MS. Ibn Bâbûye (see above), I'tikâdât al-Imâmiyya. Cod. British Museum (Add. 19,623). See de Rieu, Catalogue p. 385.

I. H. Ibn Hazm [d. 456/1064], the author of our text. See Introduction, p. 9 ff.

IḤaukal. Ibn Ḥaukal [wrote 367/977], ed. de Goeje [Bibliotheca Geographorum Arabicorum II]. Leyden, 1873.

Iji. Îjî [d. 756/1355]. Mawâkif, ed. Sörenson. Leipzig, 1848.

Ikd. Ibn 'Abd Rabbihi [d. 328/940], al-'Ikd al-farîd, I-III. Cairo, 1293. If not otherwise stated, quotations refer to vol. I.

IKhald. Ibn Khaldûn [d. 808/1406], Mukaddima, ed Quatremère I–III. [Notices et Extraits des manuscrits de la Bibliothèque Impériale voll. 16–18] Paris, 1847–1858.

IKhall. Ibn Khallikân [d. 681/1282], Kitâb wafayât ala'yân, ed. Wüstenfeld. Göttingen, 1835-43.

IKot. Ibn Koteiba [d. 276/889], Kitâb al-ma'ârif, ed. Wüstenfeld. Göttingen, 1850.

Isfr. MS. On Isfrå'inî [d. 471/1078], see Introduction, p. 26. Istakhrî [wrote 340/951], ed. de Goeje [Bibliotheca Geographorum Arabicorum I]. Leyden, 1870.

Kashi.¹ Abû 'Amr Muhammed b. 'Omar b. 'Abd al-'Azîz al-Kashshî (from Kashsh in Jurjân) [approximately 300^h.² *Imamite*], Ma'rifat akhbâr ar-rijâl. Biographies of Shiitic worthies chronologically arranged. Bombay 1317^h.—The author apparently draws on old and rare sources.

Kremer, Ideen. Kremer, Geschichte der herrschenden Ideen des Islams. Leipzig, 1868.

Lubb al-Lubâb. Suyûţî [d. 911/1505], Lubb al-lubâb fi taḥrîr al-ansâb, ed. P. J. Veth. Leyden, 1830-32.

Makr. Makrîzî [d. 845/1442], Kitâb al-mawâ'iz wa'l-i'tibâr bi-dikri'l-khiṭaṭ wa'l-âthâr, I–II. Bûlâk, 1270h. Draws partly on very old sources. Unless otherwise stated, quotations refer to vol. II.

Masudi. Mas'ûdî [d. 345/956]. Murûj ad-dahab, ed. Barbier de Meynard, I-IX. Paris, 1861-77.—His information is incidental and brief, but extremely valuable.

Mirza. MS. Mîrzâ Makhdûm [about 1594], Risâlat an-nawâkid fi-radd 'âlâ-r-Rawâfid. A polemical treatise against Shiism. Cod. Berlin; Ahlwardt, Catalogue No. 2136.

Nawawi, Tahdîb. Nawawî [d. 676/1278], Tahdîb al-asmâ wa'l-lugat, ed. Wüstenfeld. Göttingen, 1842-7.

PRE³. Protestantische Realencyklopädie, ed. Herzog and Hauck. Third edition.

de Sacy. Exposé de la religion des Druzes, I-II. Paris, 1838. Quotations in Roman figures refer to vol. I.

Shahr. Shahrastânî [d. 548/1153], Kitâb al-Milal wa'n-Nihal, ed. Cureton I-II. London, 1842-6. Quotations refer to vol. I.

Sibt, Imams. MS. Sibt Ibn al-Jauzî [d. 654/1257], Kitâb sîrat maulâna Amîr al-Mu'minîn al-Imâm 'Alî . . . wa-aulâdihi.

¹ Mr. Ellis, of the British Museum, kindly called my attention to this work.

² I have been unable to find any statement bearing on the age of this author. The date given in the text is based on the following calculations. al-Kashshî was a pupil of al-'Ayyâshî (edition of his work, p. 379). The latter is no doubt identical with Fihrist 195¹⁴, and Tusy, List of Shy'ah books, No. 690. Neither of these authors give his age. But according to Tusy, ib., al-'Ayyâshî "heard the disciples (aṣḥâb) of 'Alî b. al-Ḥasan b. Faḍḍâl" who died 224^h (Tusy, No. 191). This justifies the rough estimate given in the text.

A biography of Ali and his successors in the Imamate. Cod. Leyden (Warner 915).

Suyuti, Tarikh. Suyûtî [d. 911/1505], Ta'rîkh al-Khulafâ, ed. Sprenger and Mawlawî 'Abd al-Hakk. Calcutta, 1857.

— translated into English by H. S. Jarrett. Calcutta, 1881. **Tab.** Țabarî [d. 309/921], Annales, ed. de Goeje.

Tusy. Țûsî [d. 459/1067. *Imamite*]. List of Shy'ah books, ed. Sprenger and Mawlawî 'Abd al-Ḥakk. Calcutta, 1853-5.

van Vloten, Chiitisme. van Vloten, Recherches sur la Domination arabe, le Chiitisme et les Croyances messianiques dans le Khalifat des Omayyades. [Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam. Afdeeling Letterkunde, Deel I, No. 3.] Amsterdam, 1894.

van Vloten, Worgers. von Vloten, Worgers in Iraq [Feestbundel... van zijn tachtigsten geboortedag aan Dr. P. J. Veth]. Leyden, 1894. (See this volume, p. 92.)

Wellhausen, Opp. Wellhausen, Die religiös-politischen Oppositionsparteien im alten Islam. Berlin, 1901. [Abhandlungen der königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Philologisch-historische Klasse. Neue Folge. Band V, Nro. 2].

Wolff, Drusen. Wolff, Die Drusen und ihre Vorläufer. Leipzig, 1845.—Based on de Sacy.

Wüstenfeld, Register. Wüstenfeld, Register zu den genealogischen Tabellen. Göttingen, 1853.

Wüstenfeld, Tabellen. Wüstenfeld, Genealogische Tabellen der arabischen Stämme und Familien. Göttingen, 1852.

ZDMG. Zeitschrift der deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft.

Zeid. MS. al-Kâsim b. Ibrâhîm al-Hasanî [d. 246/860. From Yemen. Zeidite], a volume containing miscellaneous Zeiditic writings (19 in number). Cod. Berlin; Ahlwardt, Catalogue No. 4876. Contains reliable and, in view of the early date of the author, extremely valuable information.

Zeid. Mutaz. Aḥmad b. Yaḥya b. 'l-Murtaḍâ [d. 840h. From Yemen. Zeidite], Kitâb al-Milal wa'n-Niḥal. Chapter on the Mu'tazila, ed. Arnold. Leipzig, 1902.

Yakut. Yâkût [d. 626/1229], Geographical Dictionary ed. Wüstenfeld I-VI. Leipzig, 1868-73.

List of Abbreviations.

Codd.=Codices: the manuscripts of Ibn Hazm's Milal wa'n-Nihal in distinction from the printed edition.

Comm.=Commentary to Ibn Ḥazm's Milal published in this volume.

Ed.=printed edition of Ibn Hazm's Milal wa'n-Nihal.

Introd.=Introduction to this treatise in Vol. xxviii of this Journal, pp. 1-28.

Milal=the manuscripts of Ibn Hazm's Milal wa'n-Nihal:

Br = British Museum.

L = Leyden.

V = Vienna.

Y = Yale.

See Introd., p. 17.

Note, with a number following, refers to the footnotes under the *Text* (see next).

Text=Text of Ibn Ḥazm's Milal published in Vol. xxviii of this Journal, pp. 28-80.

Small figures above large figures indicate the line on the page referred to. When underlined, the small figure indicates that the lines are to be counted from below.

[28] P. 28, l. 21 f.¹ I am not sure that I have correctly rendered the words of the original (Ed. II, 111°): عب سغب منهم فيما غلط فيد من نجّلتد (LVY read لب).

The meaning of the sentence is not quite clear. It largely depends on the interpretation of the verb في . The latter, followed by ب , or ب , usually designates "to excite, stir up evil, mischief or discord, against or among people" (Lane). We have translated accordingly, taking عب as referring to whether the limit and الحملام and المحلام in the preceding sentence. But our to author, who is apparently very fond of this word, seems to use it in a somewhat different sense. Thus Ed. II, 131²² نكل ما ثبت "The latter is a somewhat different sense. Thus Ed. II, 131²²

¹ The reference is to Vol. xxviii of this Journal, as already stated.

contradiction to [28] '' ببرهانٍ فُعورِضَ بشيء فإِنَّما هو شَغْبُ anything that has been logically demonstrated is nothing but شَغْب اهل السفسطة بن i. e., casuistry or sophistry." I, 207 "the casuistry of the Sophists." 1919: a certain heretic was convincingly refuted ولم يكن عنده إلّا الشَّغْبُ "and nothing was 5 left to him except sophistic arguments." See also III, 21412, V, 79°, 80¹, 93² (مَشاغبهم). Comp. Dozy sub voce :مَشاغب "suppositions captieuses, sophismes" (from Makkarî). The verb is applied by Ibn Hazm in the same sense and construed we know of no proof whatever which they could "we know of no proof whatever which they could casuistically bring forward in favor of this nonsense." III, 2036 وقد شغب بعضُهم بأنّ هذه الآية تُرئتْ لَقَدْ عَلِمْتُ بضَمّ التا "One of them sophistically assumes that the verse (Koran 17, 104) reads 'alimtu' with a 'damma' over the 'tâ'."—In 15 accordance with these quotations the sentence under consideration ought to be translated: "and to expound the sophisms that were brought forward by those of them who argue sophistically" would then be the عادك (Wright, * Arabic Grammar II, 320A) of Land the variant ewould be an intentional cor-20 rection.—علط فيه من نحلته is somewhat hard, but it can scarcely be translated otherwise than it has been done in the text.

29, l. 1. Ed. as well as Codd. write, as a rule, المرجيّة [29] (or المرجيّة) both with Hamza and Yâ. This spelling may have been chosen intentionally, so as to embrace the two interpreta-25 tions given to the word, the one deriving it from "to delay," the other from the root رجو "to inspire hope." Comp. Shahr. 103, Makr. 349³, Goldziher, Muh. St. II, 90, note 1.

- L. 10 f. For the better understanding of this paragraph I insert here the synopsis of Murji'ite doctrines given in Milal 30 L II, 162b: قالت المرجيّة الإيمان هو التصديق دون العَمَل المُعَرِّف فالت وعزموا عليه قال جَهْم بنْ صَفْوان والأشعريّ فلمّا حقّقوا ذلك وعزموا عليه قال جَهْم بنْ صَفْوان والأشعريّ

إِذِ الامر كذلك فهو التصديق بالقلب خاصّةً وإِنْ أعلن الكُفْرَ [29] بلسانه في دار الاسلام بلا نيتها وقال محمد بن كرّام اذ الامر كذلك فهو التصديق باللسان وإن آمَنَ الكُفْرَ بقلبه فنفر سائرُ المرجيّة عن هذَيْن الشِّعْبَين واقتصمهما الجهمية مائرُ المرجيّة عن هذَيْن الشِّعْبَين واقتصمهما الجهمية والاشعريّة والسّم والمرّد وال

- L. 11. Abû Hanîfa died 767 C. E. Shahr. 105 admits that this famous Fakîh is generally counted among the Murji10'ites.² He does so reluctantly, as the latter, in spite of their close relation to the Sunna, are considered heterodox, and he explains this, in a rather far-fetched manner, as the result of a misunderstanding. But inconsistently enough, he himself later mentions him among the prominent men of the Murji'a (p. 108).
 L. 15. Jahm was executed for his heterodox beliefs towards the end of the Omeyyad period, Shahr. 19, 60. Makr.
- 349²⁶.

 Ibidem. On al-Ash'arî's (873–935 C. E.) doctrine see de Boer, 56 f. At first opposed, "he was finally considered so 20 orthodox that anyone who attacked him was regarded as an infidel who deserved capital punishment. The devout philosopher was revered as a saint" (Dozy, Isl. 255). It is highly characteristic that Maķrîzî, who quotes this passage almost verbatim (345¹⁶)³, omits al-Ash'arî's name both here and l. 17. 25 Although himself a Zâhirite like Ibn Ḥazm, he did not possess his courage or consistency to charge the patron-saint of the Sunna with heterodox views. The same consideration probably accounts for the variant in L and Y (see note 6). The printer of Ed. repeatedly endeavors to defend al-Ash'arî against the 30 attacks of our author. In a footnote to this passage (II, 111)

ابلا قلبه مع On the margin بلا قلبه.

² Comp. IKot. 301.

³ Makrîzî frequently plagiarizes Ibn Ḥazm; see Goldziher, Zahiriten 202; Muh. St. II, 269.

⁴ Goldziher, Zahiriten, p. 196 f.

he maintains that Ibn Hazm misrepresents al-Ash'ari's view, [29] ascribing this circumstance to the geographical distance between these two men (the former in Spain, the latter in Basra). In a footnote to III, 206 he asserts that the difference between al-Ash'ari and Ibn Hazm is merely verbal.

- L. 16. Muhammed b. Karrâm (died 256^h, Makr. 357²³) is counted Makr. 349^s (comp. 357²⁰ ff.) among the Mushabbiha. On his view regarding the external nature of "faith" (our text l. 21 f.) see Ed. III, 188, Bagd. 4^a. Comp. de Boer, 56.
- L. 20. On the principle of "Takiyya" see Goldziher's 10 article ZDMG. 60, 213 ff. It is of special significance for the Shi'a, ib. p. 217 ff.
 - L. 24 f. See the chapter on the Mu'tazila, Ed. IV, 192 ff.
- L. 25 f. The three Mu'tazilites named here occupy an intermediate position in the question of Kadar: It is God who 15 creates the actions of man, but man has the privilege of giving assent to them. Shahr. 62, de Boer 56.
 - L. 25. On an-Najjâr (9th century C. E.) see Makr. 3503.
- L. 26. Instead of غيات (also Ed. IV, 4510, Makr. 35013) Shahr. 633 has عتاب (Haarbrücker 948 'Attâb).—Makr. 35017 20 counts him among the Mujabbira, admitting, however, that because of his other views he is generally reckoned among the Mu'tazila. He died 2181, Fihr. 182, n. 7.
 - 30, l. 1. On Dirâr see Makr. 349¹. Comp. Ed. I, 109. [30]
 L. 2. See on this famous Mu'tazilite p. 66³¹ and passim—25
- His peculiar position in the question of Kadar, de Boer, 51.

 L. 5 ff. See Text 74¹⁹ ff. and Comm.
- L. 14. The synopsis of Khârijite views given in Milal L II, 162b will serve to illustrate this passage: حالت الخوارج كُفُرُ فلمّا عزموا على ذلك وحقّقوه قالت الصَّفْريّة إِذِ الأَم كذلك نقَتْلُهم وسَبّى نِساءهم واجبُّ والدارُ دارُ كُفْرٍ وحَرْبٍ عَنْ كذلك نقَتْلُهم وسَبّى نِساءهم واجبُ والدارُ دارُ كُفْرٍ وحَرْبٍ عَنْ هذا الشّعْب واقتحمه سائم الخوارج فلمّا حقّقوا ذلك قالت الأزارقة فإذ الام كذلك فالواجبُ قَتْلُ النساء والأَطْفال لأَنّهم كلّهم كُفّارٌ نجبنت الصفريّة عن ذلك قادرة قد الاساء والأَطْفال لأَنّهم كلّهم كُفّارٌ نجبنت الصفريّة عن ذلك See Ed. IV. 188 ff., Shahr. 100.

- [30] L. 15. The Khârijite named here was an intimate friend of the extreme Shiite Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam (p. 65¹¹), Masudi V, 343.
 - L. 17 f. The names of these three heretics appear in so 5 manifold and puzzling variations that it is well-nigh impossible to arrive at any definite conclusion.

The father's name of the first occurs in the following forms:

1) حائط (or حائط) Milal V 50a, L II, 145b, Masudi III, 267, Shahr.18, 42, Makr. 347¹¹, de Sacy XLII footnote, also in the ¹o carefully printed manuscripts of Bagd. 49b, 136a and Isfr. 8a, 62b. We have adopted this reading in our text.—2) حابط, very frequently: Ed Y in our passage. Ed. I, 78¹², 90¹², Milal L I, 36a, Iji 340, de Sacy, ibidem.—3) خابط له here (so probably also V, see note 8), Ed. IV, 197-198 (several 15 times).—4) حابط Ed. III, 120°.—5) هداد المادة Br. here, L II, 162b l. 1 (حابط).

Still more numerous are the variations of the father's name of the second person. It is found written as follows': 1) مالوس Ed. here.—2) ياقوس Masudi III, 267.—3) قابوس Ed. IV, 1981°.—5) هابوس Ed. IV, 1981°.—5) هابوس Ed. here (V نابوش).—6) مانوس (احمل بن أيوب بن مانوس (sic).²—8) كانوس Y here (L unpointed); Isfr. 63° كانوش (sic).²—8) نانوس Ed. I, 90¹¹.³—9) يانوش Bagd. 103°. We have followed this reading of Bagd., owing to the careful punctuation of the manuscript (see Introduction, p. 27).—The ending موسود ومع appears in all these readings. This most probably indicates Christian origin, the more so as the views of these men (see later) distinctly show Christian influence.

¹ Note 9 contains several misprints which must be corrected in accordance with the text above.

² "Mânûsch," as Haarbrücker (II, 419) transcribes the reading of Isfr., is impossible in the manuscript.

³ Schreiner, Der Kalâm in der jüdischen Litteratur, p. 63, note 1, is inclined to accept this reading, and to identify it with the Greek. Nāvoç which occurs as the name of several Syrian bishops (Harkavy, Hahôkêr II, 17). But the latter name is transcribed in Arabic as نان (Harkavy, ibidem).

The by-name of the third as given by Ed. is no doubt incorrect, [30] as according to the express statement Ed. IV, 19720 al-Fadl was (as well as Ahmad b. Ḥâ'iṭ) from Baṣra. Instead of الحرائي we find: 1) الحربي Ed. III, 1207, IV, 19720; (V here الحردي ; Br. L here and L II, 162b, l. 1 أحردي ; L II, 146a (sic) الحردي Shahr. 18; 42 الحردي [1] الحردي الفضل بن الحدثي الحدثي الحدثي المحدثي المحدث المح

The doctrines common to these three men consist mainly of the belief in the divinity of Jesus and a fully developed theory 10 of Metempsychosis; see the sources quoted above, especially Ed. I, 90, Shahr. 42 f., Makr. 347. They are usually mentioned together and designated as the pupils of the Mu'tazilite an-Nazzâm (p. 58°), who himself betrays the influence of Christian doctrine, comp. Schreiner, der Kalâm in der jūdischen Littera-15 tur, p. 4.—According to Ed. I, 9017 and Bagd. 103b, Ahmad b. Yânûsh (or whatever his name) was a pupil of Ahmad b. Hâ'it.

- L. 18. On the term "Rawâfid" see Appendix A.
- L. 19. On the Sûfis see Text 73². The omission in L. Y. ²⁰ (note 11) is probably intentional. Ibn Hazm as Zâhirite has naturally enough a particular aversion to the allegorical interpretation current among the Sûfîs.
- Ibidem. Abû Ismâ'îl belonged to the radical wing of the extreme Khârijite sect of the Azârika (comp. above p. 9³⁵), ²⁵ Ed. IV, 189. Makr. 349⁵ calls him Ismâ'îl and counts him among the Mujabbira.
- 31, l. 1. On the 'Ajârida of the Khawârij see Ed. IV, 191°, [31] Shahr. 95. On the conception of "Ijmâ" see de Boer 38.
- L. 17. Mukâtil is counted Shahr. 108 (comp. ib. p. 106) 30 among the Murji'a, but later on, p. 121, among the Zeidiyya.
- L. 20. See the names of these three Shiites in the Index.
 —On the close relation between the Shi'a and the Mu'tazila see ZDMG. 52, 216; 53, 380, 538; 60, 225, de Boer 43 ult. Comp. Müller, *Islam*, II, p. 9. The Shiites mentioned here all belong 35 to the Imâmiyya. Still closer is the relation of the Zeidiyya to the Mu'tazila. Zeid b. Ali (Text 74°), the founder of the former sect, was a pupil of Wâşil b. 'Atâ, the founder of the latter

[31] (Shahr. 116), who in turn is said to have received the "science of Kalâm" from Muhammed b. al-Ḥanafiyya, Zeid. Mutaz. 10 penult. Typical is the utterance Makrîzî's (34826) "Seldom is a Mu'tazilite found who is not a Râfidite, except a few."

أَعْلَيْ 33, l. 23. "Went to the extreme," lit. "exaggerated"=
[33] عُالِية, This verb, which in the form of the participle (غَلَاثُهُ or غَالِية) has become the technical term for the Ultra-Shi'a, originally seems to have had a wider range and to have been applied to other than Shiitic movements. Thus Ikd (249) has a special 10 chapter on "guluww" in asceticism. Makrîzî applies this expression to all sects of Islam and states in the case of each sect the nature of its "guluww," i. e., in how far it exaggerates the correct principles of the Sunna.

- L. 24. This view is held by Abû Ismâ'îl al-Bittîkhî (p. ¹⁵ 11²³), Ed. IV, 189°.
 - L. 26. This view is held by the Meimuniyya, a section of the 'Ajârida, Ed. IV, 1901, Shahr. 96, Bagd. 4b. They slavishly adhered to the restrictions in Koran 4, 27.
- L. 27. This view, too, is attributed to the Meimûniyya, ²⁰ Shahr. 95 f., comp. Nöldeke, *Geschichte des Korans*, p. 277 ff.
 - L. 28 f. See Koran 24, 2; 5, 42 and comp. Ed. IV, 1894.

قال ابو محمد، وبعض الخوارج جَسَرَ فقال : 1. 2 1. 25 البو محمد، وهذا يُقام الحدود عليهم ثمّ يُستتابون فيُقتَلون قال ابو محمد وهذا خلاف للإجماع المتيقّن وخلاف للقرآن الحجرَّد.

L. 33. The doctrine of Metempsychosis was current among the Mu'tazilites, Schreiner, der Kalâm in der jüdischen Litteratur, p. 62 ff. It was of vital importance for the extreme Shi'a, to whom it served as a metaphysical substructure for many of their beliefs and practices (see Index s.v. "Transmigration of Souls"). Shahr., Makr. and others mention a special sect called Tanâsukhiyya.—See also p. 2610 ff.

[34] 34, l. 2. This view is attributed to a certain Abû Gifâr, Ed. IV, 1971. L II, 145 is more explicit: واتما غفار احد

¹ I cannot identify the passage in Ed.

² L II, 162b he is called as in Ed. ابو غفار.

[34] شيوخ المعتزلة ورُهْبانهم فكان يزعم ان شَحُم الْخِنْزير ودماغه وغُضْروفه وجِلْده وأَلْبانها (sic) حلال.

- L. 4. Comp. Ed. IV, 2065 ff.
- L. 7. Ibn Hazm (Ed. IV, 199²¹) quotes in the name of Ismâ'îl b. 'Abdallah ar-Ru'ainî, an older contemporary of his, 5 who was known for his piety and asceticism, the doctrine "that he who has reached the highest degree of righteousness and purity of soul has attained prophecy and that the latter is by no means a special faculty."
- L. 9. Instead of "pious" better translate "saints."—10 Comp. Ed. IV, 275: "We often heard of Sûfîs who maintained that a saint was superior to a prophet;" IV, 22618, "a part of the Sûfîs claim that there are among the Divine Saints (اولياء) some who are superior to all the prophets and apostles, and that he who has reached the utmost limit of saintliness is 15 exempt from all religious precepts, as prayer, fast, alms, etc. and is allowed all forbidden things, as adultery, wine, and so forth." IBab. I'tikadat 24a ascribes the same views to the adherents of Hallâj (Text 6918): وعلامة الحُلَّاجية من الغُلاة دَعْوى ٥ التَّكَكِّي بالعبادة مع تديُّنهم بترُّك الصلوة وجبيع الفرائض ودعوى المعرفة بأسماء الله العُظْم ودعوى آنطباع الحق لهم وانّ الوليّ اذاً خلص وعرف مذهبَهم فهو عندهم افضل من الانبياء عليهم السلام. Comp. also Ibn al-Athîr's utterance p. 1410.—One might think of reading الصوفية instead of (l. 8). But the author reviews the "exaggerations" of 25 each of the five sects of Islam (Text 28 ult.). The Sunnites in consequence cannot be missing (cf. p. 12⁵ ff.).
- -- L. 12. The belief in Incarnation (hulûl) forms the basis of the cardinal ultra-Shiitic belief in the Divine nature of the Imams. Most historians of religion enumerate a special sect 30 called Hulûliyya. See Index sub voce "Incarnation."

¹ The change in gender because milk naturally refers to the female.
² See p. 82¹⁹.

- [34] L. 13. On Ḥaliāj see Comm. to p. 69¹⁸. Ibn Ḥazm effectively ridicules this belief in the divinity of Ḥallāj, Ed. V, 117. He repeatedly quotes Ḥallāj as the type of a (pseudo) miracle worker, e. g., Ed. I, 110¹¹ and elsewhere.
 - 5 L. 16. See p. 78²⁵.
 - L. 18. On as-Sayyid, see passages specified in the Index.
 - L. 20. See Text 69⁵ and Comm.
 - L. 21. See p. 79²².
 - L. 22. On Abû Mansûr, see p. 8914.
 - 10 L. 23. On Bazîġ, see p. 95³⁴; on Bayân, p. 88⁴.
 - L. 25. See p. 24²⁷ ff.
- [35] 35, l. 1 ff. Comp. a similar utterance of Ibn al-Athîr (VIII, 21). These heretics maintain "that all the religious precepts have an inner meaning, and that Allah has imposed upon his 15 saints and those that have perceived the Imâms and the "Gates" (abwâb, sûfitic term) neither prayer nor alms nor anything else." Makr. 35218 quotes in the name of the Khattâbiyya (Text 69) the same specimens of allegorical interpretation, with a few characteristic modifications. Thus "Jibt" and "Tâgût" 20 (l. 7) are interpreted as referring to Abû Sufyan and 'Amr b. al-'As, while Abû Bekr and 'Omar are represented by "khamr" (wine) and "maysir" (a gambling game), Koran 2, 216; 5, 92. This is no doubt an attempt to soften somewhat the insult to "the two Sheikhs" implied in the original interpretation. 25 Interesting, because reflecting the attitude of official Shiism toward these exegetic endeavors, are the two anecdotes told "Abû 'Abdallah (i. e., Ja'far as-Sâdik, see Index) wrote to Abû'l-Khattâb (Text 694): 'It has come to my knowledge that thou assumest that "adultery" means a person, that 30 "wine," "prayer," "fasts" and "abominations" (fawahish, Koran 6, 152; 7, 31) mean certain persons. It is not as thou savest.'-Someone said to Ja'far: 'It is reported in thy name that "wine," "maysir," "images," and "arrows" (Koran 5, 92) stand for certain persons.' He replied: Allah would cer-35 tainly not have told his people something that they could not know (i. e., understand by mere allusion)."
 - L. 12. See p. 92¹² ff.
 - L. 13 ff. See also Text 49³. I. H. alludes to the same attitude of the Shiites, *Milal* L II, 82^b (=Ed. IV, 83): Jahm 40 b. Safwân and Abû'l-Hudeil, as well as certain Rawâfid, deny the

eternity of Paradise and Hell (comp. p. 74). He then pro-[35] ceeds to refute Jahm and Abû'l-Hudeil. As for the Rawâfid, they deserve no refutation, as they do not rely on logical demonstration (the last sentence missing in Ed.). In another passage (Ed. II, 94) I. H. elaborately argues against those of his coreligionists who "take it for granted that religion cannot be accepted on the basis of logical demonstration, thus gladdening the hearts of the heretics and testifying that religion can be established by means of assumptions and by superior force." How deeply seated this aversion to argumentation was in Shi-10 itic circles can be seen from the utterances of the famous Imamite Ibn Bâbûye (*Ptikadat* 6^b), who devotes a whole chapter to this subject. I reproduce this interesting chapter in its essential parts:

وق دينة والمناهى عن الجدال والبراء في الله تعالى وفي دينة والله الشيخ ابو جعفى رحمة الله تعالى اعتقادُنا في ذلك ان الجَدَل في الله تعالى مَنْهي عنه لأنّه يؤدّى الى ما لا يليق به وسئل الصادق عليه السلام عن قول الله تعالى وأنّ إلى رَدِّك الْمُنْتَهَى قال عليه السلام اذا انتهى الكلام الى الله تعالى فامسكوا وكان الصادق عليه السلاميقول يا أبن ادم لو أكل قلبك طائر لم يُشْبِعه وبَصَرُك لو وُضع عليه خرْق إبرة لغطاه تريد ان تعرف بها مَلكوت السماوات والارض إن كنت صادقًا فهذه الشمس خَلْقُ من خَلْق الله فإن قدرت فاملاً عينيك منها فهوكها تقول والجدال في جميع أمور الدين فاملاً عينيك منها فهوكها تقول والجدال في جميع أمور الدين عنه وقال اميم المؤمنين عليه السلام مَنْ طلب الدين

¹ This is the Kunya of Ibn Bâbûve.

⁹ Koran 53, 43.

³ Shahr. 143 mentions in the name of al-Warrâķ (author of the *Fihrist?*) that this reply of Ja'far was transmitted by Hishâm b. Sâlim (see Index) and Muhammed b. an-Nu'mân (p. 59), who strictly followed this injunction till they died.

⁴ i. e., Ali.

بالجَدَل تزندت [ث] ورُوى انّ ابا الهُذَيْل العَلّاف قال [35] لهشام بن الحَكَم أناظرك على أنّك إنْ غلبتنى رجعت الى مذهبى فقال هشام ما أنْصفتنى بذ أُناظرك على أنّى إنْ غلبتُك رجعت الى مذهبى وإن غلبتنى بد أُناظرك على أنّى إنْ غلبتُك رجعت الى مذهبى وإن غلبتنى رجعت الى إمامى .

This elimination of logic from the province of religion is complemented and justified by the claim of a higher source of knowledge, the claim of inspiration (l. 14). See on this p. 54¹⁶.

— L. 22. The Ultra-Shiites are excluded from Islam by all northodox theologians, comp. Introduction, p. 23, l. 1–2. I. H. sees in this agreement of the orthodox the force of an "ijmâ'."

- L. 24 ff. The following significant passage was first communicated by Kremer (Ideen, p. 10) from the Vienna manuscript. Makr. 3624 ff. reproduces our passage without giving 15 credit to its author (comp. p. 8, n. 3). Ibn Hazm's view on the origin of Shiitic heterodoxy is founded on the observation of the rôle played by the Persian element in the Shiitic movement, a view fully shared and frequently over-emphasized by modern scholars (see Introduction, p. 3, note 1). This view, which conveniently 20 enough regards the introduction of "guluww" (see p. 12) into Islam as a treacherous act of revenge2 on the part of the subjugated nationalities, is voiced also by other Muhammedan writers, comp., e. g., the utterance of Ibn al-Athîr VIII, 21 (p. 1410) and Iji 349. I. H. gives repeated expression to this conviction 25 in his Milal, comparing the treachery of the Persians with the deceitful attitude of the Jews towards Christianity, the latter having bribed the apostle Paul to smuggle the doctrine of "guluww" into the new faith. Thus in the chapter dealing with Christianity (Ed. II, 38) I. H. endeavors to prove that the 30 Apostles were infidels. "Either they sincerely and firmly believed in the divinity of Christ and "exaggerated" on his

¹ Comp. Text 53¹ and Comm.

² The expression کَیْک الإسلام is repeatedly found in this connection, comp. Ed. IV. 227^{5, 13} and elsewhere.

³ It is worthy of notice that I. H. repeatedly quotes the latter view as being held by the Jews of his time.

behalf, in the same way as did the Sabâ'iyya¹ and the other sects [35] of the Gâliya as regards Ali, or as the Khaṭṭâbiyya believed in the divinity of Abû'l-Khaṭṭâb (Text 69⁴), the adherents of al-Ḥallâj (Text 69¹s) in the divinity of al-Ḥallâj and the other infidels among the Bâṭiniyya . . . , or they were seduced by the Jews, 5 as the latter claim, to corrupt the followers of Christ and lead them into error, in the same way as 'Abdallah b. Sabâ the Ḥim-yarite, al-Mukhtâr b. Abî 'Obeid, Abû 'Abdallah al-'Ajânî, Abû Zakarîya al-Khayyâṭ, Ali an-Najjâr, Ali b. al-Faḍl al-Janadî² and the other emissaries of the Karmatians and Shiites³ 10 rose to lead into error the partisans (Shi'a) of Ali."

Next to the Persians, the largest share in the importation of heterodox doctrines into Islam is attributed to the Jews, mainly on the ground that 'Abdallah b. Sabâ (p. 1836 ff.), the founder of the first Shiitic sect, is said to have been a Jew. Thus I. H., 15 in referring to the claim of the Jews regarding the apostle Paul,4 thoughtfully adds (I, 222): "This is something which we do not consider improbable on their part. For they tried the same thing towards ourselves and our religion, although this time they failed to carry out their cunning. I refer to 'Abdallah b. 20 Sabâ known as Ibn as-Saudâ, the Jew, the Himyarite-may Allah curse him!—who embraced Islam in order to lead into error as many Muslims as possible. He assumed the leadership of an ignoble party, who stood on the side of Ali, so that they might profess the divinity of Ali, in the same way as Paul 25 became the leader of the followers of Christ that they might believe his divinity. These are now the Bâtiniyya and Ġâliya,

¹ Cod. L. (I, 105^a) and V. (160^a) read Sabâbiyya, see p. 41¹⁷.

² See on most of these men the Index.

[&]quot;proprement les Orientaux, était en Afrique le nom par lequel on désignait les Chiites" (Dozy s. v.).

⁴ How widespread this belief was can be seen from the elaborate story, given by Isfr. (71°) and designated by him as generally known, how Paul at the instance of the Jews became a Christian, studied in the Christian monasteries and, having gained their confidence, smuggled into Christianity the belief in the Trinity, etc.

⁵ Ed. I, 222³ السوء . The correct reading in Codd. See p. 18³⁶.

⁶ Ed. 2225 ونهم. L. V. correctly كالذى نهم. Vol. XXIX.

[35] and the least heretical among these are the Imâmiyya." See more on the relation of Judaism to Shiism, p. 19¹⁰ ff.

— L. 29. I owe the explanation of these two terms to a private communication of Professor Nöldeke: "Ibn Ḥazm's state-

- is not quite exact. Is not quite exact. Is a prize at the time of the great Chosroes. In Yemen the 'Abnâ' were prominent as a class during the time of Muhammed and this immediate successors. The same name was afterwards (third century H.) applied in 'Irâk to the descendants of the Khorasanian warriors who won the empire for the Abbasids.—

 ('the free ones') properly designates the Persian nobles, (the ἐλεύθεροι of the Parthians). About 600 C. E. the poets the same appellation merely on the basis of a scholarly tradition." See Nöldeke, Geschichte der Perser und Araber zur Zeit der Sassaniden, p. 225, n. 5 and 342, n. 7.
- [36] 36, l. 9. On Sunbâd (or Sinbâd) see Blochet, l. 44 f.
 - uncertain. I hardly believe that Ustâdsîs is correct. السادسمس may represent many different forms of an Iranian name" (Nöldeke).
 - Ibidem. On al-Mukanna' see Comm. to p. 70°.
 - 5 Ibidem. On Bâbak comp. Fihr. 343 f. and notes. He was crucified in Surra-man-ra'â in the year 223h, Bagd. 107b.
 - L. 11. On Khidâsh see p. 981°. On Abû Muslim, see Index.
 - L. 20-21. Comp. Introduction, p. 22¹² f. and Text, p. 79²⁰.
- [37] 37, l. 2 f. Fifty prayers are mentioned in connection with the Karmatians and the Nuseiriyya, de Sacy CLIV ult. and footnote, CLXX. This is apparently based on the Muhammedan legend according to which Allah had originally prescribed fifty prayers, but, yielding to Muhammed's presentations, reduced them to five; comp. Goldziher, Muh. St. I, 36.—On 'Abdallah 35 (l. 5) see Comm. to p. 71.
 - L. 7. On 'Abdallah b. Sabâ, also known as Ibn as-Saudâ, see Ibn Hazm's utterances pp. 16³⁰, 17¹⁷ and passages in Index. The identity of Ibn as-Sabâ and Ibn as-Saudâ is assumed by all

Muhammedan scholars, except Bagd. and Isfr. Tabarî's account [37] (I 2941; comp. Wellhausen, Opp. 91) differs in several essential points from the reports of the theological writers. Altogether the data on this enigmatic personality are as interesting as they are conflicting; they deserve to be made the subject of special 5 investigation. He is generally considered the founder of Shiism, and this, in connection with his Jewish origin, sufficiently explains the endeavors of the Muhammedan theologians to charge him with many a heresy which developed in the later course of Shiism. His Jewish birth was a sufficient pretext for 10 the Sunnites to bring Shiism in connection with Judaism. We saw Ibn Hazm's remarks p. 16 f. Kashi, in the biography of 'Abdallah b. Sabâ, p. 70, plainly says: "On account of this the opponents of the Shi'a maintain that the root of Shiism and

Râfidism (التشيّع والرّفيّف), see Appendix on Rawâfid) was taken 15 over from Judaism." The famous theologian ash-Sha'bî (died 103) is reported to have drawn an elaborate and odious parallel between the Shiites and the Jews (Ikd 269). He says among other things, with special reference to Ibn Sabâ: "The Râfida are the Jews of this nation. They hate Islam as the Jews hate 20 Christianity. They embraced Islam, not because they longed for it or because they feared Allah, but because they detested the Muslims and intended to overpower them."

On 'Abdallah's alleged participation in the uprising of 'Othmân see Wellhausen, Skizzen und Vorarbeiten VI, 124 f.—On 25 l. 11 see p. 100.

- L. 12. On the Ismaelites see Shahr. 127, 145 f., Iji 349, IKhald. I, 362, Dozy, *Isl.* 259 f., Kremer, *Ideen* 196 f., Müller, *Islam* I, 588 f., Blochet 54 ff.—On the various appellations of the Ismâ'îliyya see Shahr. 147 ff. and Blochet 50, n. 1. See 30 also Text 73, note 1 and Comm.
- L. 13. On the Karmatians see Dozy, *Isl.* 268 ff., Blochet 61 ff., de Boer 82 f.—A succinct presentation of their doctrine, de Goeje, *Carmathes* 166 f.
- L. 15. On Mazdak see Nöldeke, Geschichte der Perser 35 und Araber, p. 455 ff.—Similarly I. H. expresses himself Ed. I, 34¹⁷: "As for the Mazdakiyya (written with $\ddot{\odot}$), they are the adherents of Mazdak the Môbad. They are those who believe in communism as to property and women. The Khur-

- [37] ramiyya, the adherents of Bâbak, are one of the sects of the Mazdaķiyya. They are also the secret (basis) of the doctrine of the Ismâ'îliyya and their (vital) element, as well as of those who hold to the doctrine of the Karmatians and the Banû 5 'Obeid (=Fatimides)."
- [38] 38, l. 7 ff. The following sentences give emphatic expression to the Zâhirite conviction of the author; comp. Goldziher, Zahiriten, p. 202.
 - L. 15. The author has apparently in view the belief held 10 in Shiitic, as well as in certain Sunnitic quarters, that the Prophet bequeathed to 'Aisha, Fâṭima, 'Abbâs or 'Alî, respectively, some mystic lore; comp. Goldziher, *Muh. St.* II, 118.
 - L. 18. Comp. Ed. V, 26 penult.: "It is firmly established regarding the prophet.. that he was sent to the red and the black."

وقول العرب ما يَخْفَى ذلك Comp. Kamil, ed. Wright, 264 كل العرب ما يَخْفَى ذلك على الأَسْوَد والأَحْمر يريد العربيَّ والعَجَميَّ.

— L. 23. "As he was commanded," see Koran 5, 71.—I. H. uses the same argument Milal L II, 89^b (not found in Ed.) فإن كان عليه السلام كتم عن سائر الناس ما علّه عليّ بن أمر قال تعالى لِتُبيّن لِلنّاسِ ما نُزِلَ 10^c إليهم فمَنْ قال أَنه عليه السلام لم يبيّن للناس ما أنزل الله الله عليه فمَنْ قال أَنه عليه السلام لم يبيّن للناس ما أنزل الله تعالى اليه بل كتمهم إيّاه وخصّ به علىّ بن ابي طالب سِرًّا فقل كفر اذ وصف النبيّ صلّى الله عليه وسلّم بأنْ عصى أَمْرَ ربّه تعالى له بالبيان للناس جهارًا فبطل ما "ادّعوه يقينًا من كلّ تعلى الله ربّ العالمين.

[39] 39, l. 11. In accordance with his Zahirite conviction, which strictly and exclusively adheres to the bare text of the Koran and the Hadîth, I. H. lays special emphasis on the reliability

¹ Ed. سُرِّ " the worst."

² Koran 16, 46.

of the traditionists; comp. Ed. II, 76²⁰ ff. and Kremer, *Ideen* [39] 138 ff.

- 40, l. 11. "The Mu'tazilites, the Khârijites, the Murji'ites [40] and the Shiites." The same enumeration of Muhammedan sects (comp. Introduction, p. 21) Ed. IV, 216: من اهل السُّنّة والمعتزلة والنجارية (والمرجيّة (دالمرجيّة والنجارية والنجاد.
- L. 15 (note 6). The words ما لا بَقِيّة لهم بعدها I interpret in the sense that nothing remains to these infidels to boast of, beyond (=except) the infamies and lies to be found in their 10 Scriptures. The reading of L. and Br. ما لا بَيّنة بعدها (without ما لا بَيّنة بعدها) I would translate: "beyond which (sc. الفضائح) no proof (is needed)," i. e., the infamies in themselves are sufficient to impeach the infidels. On this meaning of بعده the glossary to Tabarî, sub voce.
- 41, l. 15. Characteristic of I. H.'s truthfulness (see Intro-[41] duction, p. 15) is another utterance of his, Ed. IV, 108¹⁶: "If we thought that dishonest quoting was permissible, we should use as an argument (against the Shiites) the words reported (in the name of the Prophet): 'Follow the example of those 20 after me, viz., Abû Bekr and 'Omar.' But this (tradition) is not true, and may Allah guard us from using as an argument anything that is not true."
- L. 17 (note 7). Comp. Text 42, l. 5 and note 8. I. H. uses a very similar phraseology Ed. IV, 207¹⁹: "We have here 25 set forth the depravities of the adherents of heresy (he refers to the Murji'ites) in order to cause people to flee from them and to frighten away the illiterate among the Muslims from becoming familiar with them and from thinking well of their corrupt words."
- 42, l. 1 f. The heresies referred to are those of the Mu'tazi-[42] lites. They are quoted as such Ed. IV, 192 (in the chapter on the Mu'tazila).—I. H. chooses them as specimens in his introduction because, in the original disposition of the pamphlet against the four heterodox sects, which is now incorporated 35 with his *Milal*, the Mu'tazila occupied the first place. See my

- [42] essay "Zur Komposition von Ibn Hazm's Milal wa'n-Nihal" in Orientalische Studien I, p. 274 f.
 - L. 17. See Introduction, p. 22-23.
 - L. 18. On "Rawâfid" see Appendix A.
- 5 43, l. 1. The founder of the Jârûdiyya is called with his full [43] name Abû'l-Jârûd Ziyâd b. al-Mundir al-'Abdî, Masudi V, 474, Kashi 150, Tusy, p. 146 No. 308, Shahr. 121; Fihr. 178¹² and Makr. 352²⁴ assign to him the additional Kunya Abû 'n-Najm. Muhammed al-Bâkir (died 117) called him "Surhûb," which is 10 said to designate "a blind devil dwelling in the sea" (Kashi, Shahr. 119), because he was born blind (Fihr., Kashi, Tusy). The sect was accordingly called also the Surhûbiyya (Kashi).

As regards their tenets, the Jârûdiyya variously differ from the bulk of the Zeidiyya, whom they regard as infidels. They share 15 with the latter the central doctrine that Muhammed appointed Ali as his successor, not, as the Imâmiyya maintain, by means of a written will which the Companions maliciously set aside, but "by a description (of his qualities) without the mention of

his name" (Shahr.: بالرَصْف دون التَّسْمِية). But they differ 20 from them in that they regard the Companions as infidels because they did not endeavor to find out the man to whom the Prophet referred and chose a wrong one in his place. According to Shahr. 118, Abû'l-Jârûd went so far as even to deny the Imamate of Zeid b. Ali, the founder of the Zeidiyya, on the 25 ground that the latter considered Abû Bekr and 'Omar legitimate rulers. Isfr., however, (9a ult.) insists that the recognition of Zeid as Imam is common to all Zeiditic sects without exception. It is strange that I. H. should omit the mention of this typical heterodoxy of the Jârûdiyya: the "Takfîr as-30 Sahâba."

As to the succession in the Imamate, the Jârûdiyya agree with the rest of the Zeidiyya that it is legitimate in the descendants both of Hasan and Husein, and in these exclusively, on condition that they are qualified for the Imamate and present 55 their claims with the sword in their hands. Of the three Imams quoted in our passage one is a Hasanide, the other two Huseinides.

On the Jârûdiyya compare also the account of Bagd. 9b.

- L. 2 (note 1). "al-Ḥusein" is also found Shahr. 118, [43] Iji 352, Bagd. 17^b (also elsewhere) and Isfr. 12^a. It is known how frequently these two names are confounded.—Muhammed died at the hands of 'Îsa b. Mûsa, the governor of Kufa (died 167), in 145, IKot. 192, Tab. III, 189 ff.
- Note 7. On Radwa see p. 36°. Bagd. 17b calls the locality جبل من ناحية نَجْد (with soft under the line) حاجر. See further Text 60¹º and Comm.
- L. 7–8. The belief that the Imams have not died and will reappear on earth is the central tenet of the Ultra-Shi'a, and 10 occurs, as can be seen in this treatise, in connection with nearly every one of their sects. This belief is founded on two doctrines which must have gained wide currency in heterodox Islam at a very early period: the one is the Raj'a doctrine, the other is a doctrine derived from heterodox Christian Docetism. It is 15 necessary to gain a clear view of these two doctrines in order to grasp in its full meaning the conception which practically lies at the bottom of all Shiitic movements.

The doctrine designated as Raj'a' has apparently had its history and presents in consequence a complex appearance. 20 Kremer (Culturgeschichte unter den Chalifen II, 397), in speaking of this doctrine "which was widely current among the Shiites of the earliest period," gives the following definition of this belief: "For a man to believe in the 'Return' (Raj'a) amounted to the conviction that Ali would rise from the dead, 25 and that he himself would, after a certain period of time (as a rule, after forty days), come to life again." According to the national dictionaries, Raj'a signifies "the returning to the present state of existence after death, before the Day of Resurrection." (See Lane, sub voce, and the authorities quoted 30 there.) It would thus appear that this belief in returning to life after death, which was known to the Arabs as early as in the time of Ignorance (Lane, ib.) applied to people in general. without reference to specific personalities. Jâbir b. Yazîd al-Ju'fî (died 128, see p. 8611) believed in the Raj'a, Muslim, 35 Sahih (Cairo 1283) I, 51. This is more explicitly stated by

¹ The pronunciation $Rij^{*}a$ is recorded, although not approved of, by Nawawi on Muslim's Sahih (Cairo 1283) I, 51.

[43] Bagd. 18a وكان جابر بن يزيد الجُعْفي على هذا الهذهب وكان 18a. The poet al-Bashshâr b. Burd (died 167) held the same belief, Agh. III, 24°, and this is again explained by Bagd. 17°a يرجع والمناهبا قولُه يرجع (strike out يرجع القيامة (strike out يرجع القيامة والمناهبا الربي المناهبا المناهبا الربي المناهبا المناهبا المناهبا المناهبا المناهبا المناهبا المناهبات المناهبات

It seems. however, that this belief was, or became, mainly connected with certain prominent individuals who, by reason of their prominence, deserved a return to life. We find this belief repeatedly in connection with Muhammed. When Muhammed 15 had died, 'Omar violently rebuked those who believed that the Prophet was dead, and he gave emphatic expression to his belief that he would "return" after forty days, "just as Moses had done," Tab. I, 1815 f., IBab., Ithbat 31, Bagd. 5a (here Muhammed is compared with Jesus). 'Abdallah b. Sabâ, the founder 20 of Shiism (p. 18 f.), is said to have believed in the "Return" of Muhammed. Referring to Koran 28, 85, he argued: "It is strange that people who assert that Jesus will return should deny that Muhammed will return, . . . Muhammed being worthier of returning than Jesus." "And he laid down for 25 them the Raj'a." Tab. I, 2941.

As a rule, the Raj'a belief is found in connection with the Imâms of the Shi'a, in the first place, of course, with Ali. The

¹ This form of Rajʻa is probably the real basis of the belief current among the Khaṭṭâbiyya that they will never die (p. 72²²).—An allusion to this belief is perhaps found Agh. XI, 75°: A friend of 'Abdallah b. Mu'âwiya (p. 44¹¹) was called al-Baklî (p. 46⁵) لأنّه كان يقول الانسان (p. 46⁵) كالبقلة فاذا مات لم يرجع.

² Hallâj composed a book bearing on this verse under the title كتاب Hallâj composed a book bearing on this verse under the title كتاب القران كرادُك الى مَعاد (Fihr. 19213).

idea that Ali was hidden in the clouds, whence he would return [43] on earth, was very common in Shiitic circles (see p. 4215). term Raj'a κατ' έξογήν very frequently designates this belief: comp. Lisán and Táj al-'Arás, sub voce, Nawawî on Muslim, ۶aḥîḥ I, 51, Kremer, Culturgeschichte ib. Makr. عَالَةُ جُعِيّة : 51 الرَّجْعِيّة : 51 القائلون سَيرجع على بن ابي طالب وينتقم من أَعْداء Muhammedan writers, with extremely few exceptions, ascribe the authorship of this belief to 'Abdallah b. Sabâ.1 from the ordinary sources, see also the interesting notice IKhall. No. 645 (p. 263): al-Kalbî (died 146) "was one of the followers 10 of 'Abdallah b. Sabâ, who maintained that Ali had not died and would return on earth." To the references given in the course of this treatise (see p. 42 f.) may also be added Madâinî (died about 225/840), who reports that al-Hasan, the son of Ali, protested against the belief that God would bring Ali to life on 15 earth before the day of Resurrection (ZDMG. 38, 391). deeply rooted this belief was in the masses may be seen from the curious anecdote narrated by 'Abdallah b. 'Abbâs (Ikd 269). A man called on him at a very unusual hour and asked him: "When will this man be brought to life?"-"Which 20 man?"-"Ali b. Abî Tâlib." I said: "He will not be brought to life, until God brings to life those that are in the graves." He said: "You speak like one of these fools." I said: "Take him away from me, may Allah curse him!"

Next to Ali the Raj'a occurs in connection with his son 25 Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyya. It was the belief of the Keisâniyya, and its famous champions were the poets Kuthayyir and as-Sayyid al-Himyarî, Agh. VII, 2418, VIII 328, 33, 34, XI, 468; see also Fawât al-Wafayât I, 24.2 Ikd 268 designates

¹ On Tabari's account see above. Makr. 356 ult., with characteristic eclecticism, combines both views. 'Abdallah b. Sabâ believed بيجعة

على بعد موتد الى الدنيا وبرجعة رسول الله صلّعم ايضا.

They believed at the same time in their own Raj'a, pp. 248 and 2612.—
Kuthayyir, who returns from a tour in the region between Mekka and Medina, reports that he has found everything absolutely unchanged "This will remain so till we return to it (after death)." Perhaps it would be more reasonable to read يجع and to translate "till he (Muh. b. al-Ḥanafiyya)

[43] the belief in the "Return" of Ibn al-Hanafiyya as the belief of the Rawafid in general.

In the later development of the Shi'a we find the Raj'a belief in connection with nearly every Shiitic Imam. Numerous 5 instances can be gleaned from Ibn Ḥazm's and Shahrastânî's accounts on Shiism. It was the salient feature in the controversies of the Shi'a and the belief which characterized the Wâkifiyya in distinction from the Kiṭṭî'iyya (p. 50).

It now remains for us to state the relation of the Raj'a doc-10 trine to the belief in the Transmigration of Souls (Tanâsukh al-Arwâh). This relation is perhaps best illustrated by the amusing anecdote (told of as-Sayyid al-Himyarî, who believed in Raj'a as well as in Tanâsukh, l. 26 f. and p. 2820). A man asked as-Sayvid for a loan of a hundred dinars, promising to repay 15 them when he (the debtor) should return to life. As-Sayyid answered: "Yes, and even more than that, if you will give me a guarantee that you will return as a man." He said: "How else can I return"? as-Sayvid said: "I am afraid that you will return as a dog or as a pig, and my money will be lost." (Agh. See the same anecdote with a few variations Favát al-Wafayat I, 25). The former possibility is Raj'a, the latter Tanâsukh; in other words, Raj'a signifies the return as the same person. Tanâsukh the return as a different being. The two conceptions, though related to one another and, in consequence, 25 often found side by side, are by no means identical and are distinctly kept asunder. Kuthayyir, as well as as-Sayyid, believed not only in Raj'a but also in Tanâsukh (Agh. VIII, 277; he claims to be the Prophet Jona, ib. 34). But it is expressly stated that he believed "in Raj'a and Tanâsukh" (Agh. VIII, In the same way both expressions are found side by side Shahr. 12513, 13212. Makr. (3549), who enumerates a sect of Raj'iyya (see above), mentions in the same passage التناشخية القائلون انّ الأرواح تتناسخ . Ibn Bâbûye, who staunchly defends Raj'a,' violently rejects Tanâsukh (see p. 751). Only in

¹ In a special chapter on Raj'a, I'tikadat 12^b: اعتقادُنا في الرجعة انها. He promises to write a special book on the subject which may be identical with his Ithbât al-gaiba. Mirza 46^b makes the Imamites respon-

nyms. Thus IKhald. (II, 164) says مُاتَ مَن الاَئَمَةُ بِنُوعِ التناسيخِ الحقيقة "in a kind of Transmigration or in reality," i. e., returning in spirit as a different being, or as the same person. The same close contact between 5 the two conceptions is apparently assumed, ib. II, 169. Makr. 357' contradicts his own previous statements when he says: "From him (i. e., 'Abdallah b. Sabâ) they also took over the belief in the concealment of the Imam and the belief in his return after death on earth, in the same way as the Imâmiyya to till this day believe it of "the man of the cellar," and this is the belief in Tanâsukh al-Arwāḥ." Apart from these instances, which are otherwise not very striking, the two ideas are clearly separated from one another.

ومن هَفَواتهم الحُنْتُة القول : Bâbûye بالرجعة قال أجلَّ سابقيهم وسَنَدُ لَاحِقِيهم محمد بن بابويه القُمِّى في عقائده في بَحْث الإيمان ويجب الإيمان بالرجعة فإنّهم قالوا مَنْ لم يؤمن برجعتنا فليس منّا واليه ذهب جميع عُلمائه.

¹ The Prophet says to Ali: "Thou art its (this nation's) <u>D</u>u'l-Karnein (Alexander the Great)." See de Slane's translation, II, 196, note 4, and Comm. p. 28, note 1 towards the end.

[·] بغیبت instead of بغیبت.

³ The twelfth Imam, the Mahdi.

We have dwelt on this point at some length because Wellhausen, Opp. 93, denies the explanation set forth above, and insists that Raj'a is originally identical with Tanâsukh, and that the meaning usually attached to it is a later development. His contention, however, practically rests on a single passage (Agh. VIII, 34) which, even if taken in Wellhausen's interpretation, cannot stand against the numerous passages to the contrary. But the passage in question does not necessarily prove Wellhausen's assertion. We are told that Kuthayyir used to give money to the little sons of Ḥasan b. Ḥasan (b. Ali; not, as Wellhausen erroneously has it, "Ḥasan and Ḥusein") and to call them "little prophets": كان يَوْمَن بِالْرِجْعَة (similarly on the same page before). Wellhausen assumes that these words are meant to explain Kuthayyir's

[43] It can be seen from the preceding expositions that Raj'a as such leaves the question open whether the Imam had really died, or whether he had merely disappeared and abides in concealment pending his reappearance. On the strength of the instances quoted above one is inclined to assume that the former belief is the original one, while the latter is the later but the more popular one. It is in this form—as a correlative of "gaiba" ("concealment" of the Imam)—that Raj'a became a predominant factor in Shiism and still is the official belief of the Shiites of 10 today.

action, which can only have been the outcome of his belief in the Transmigration of Souls, and that consequently the two beliefs are identical. That Kuthayyir was an adept of Metempsychosis is repeatedly stated in Agh. (see in the text above). But the construction put on the explanatory words is not irrefutable. On the same page a similar action of Kuthayyir (he hugs Mu'âwiya b. 'Abdallah b. Ja'far (see p. 45), who was a schoolboy at the time, and calls him a little prophet) is recorded without the explanation appended here. The words may signify here as little as in the statement regarding as-Sayyid (Agh. VII, 2418) يشرب الخمر ويؤمن بالرجعة المارية. In both cases the explanatory remark may simply mean to imply that the man in question was an abominable heretic, the belief in Raj'a being regarded as a sign of extreme heterodoxy (comp. Agh. III, 249). At any rate, the weight of the passage referred to by Wellhausen is largely counterbalanced by the statement, Agh VIII, 277, that Kuthayyir believed in "Raj'a and Tanâsukh," where the two ideas appear as distinctly different.

¹ By way of appendix a few isolated usages of the term Raj'a may find place here. Extremely interesting, but somewhat obscure, is the passage Agh. III, 188. Omayya b. Abî Salt, who is anxious to become a prophet, goes to Syria and repeatedly enters a church, while his companions have to wait outside. A monk who lives in that church had told him that there were to be six Raj'ât (see the remark on the margin of Agh.) after Jesus, of which five had already come to pass. When he comes another time, he is told by the monk: "The Raj'a has already come and a prophet has been sent from among the Arabs." Thereupon he gives up his prophetic ambitions.—A very peculiar interpretation of the Raj'a belief is found Mirza 46°, but, in view of the polemical tendency of his treatise, this interpretation may only reflect his own indi-

vidual conception of the Shitic doctrine. He says: ومُرادُهم من النبيّ وعليًّا والأَدِّبَّةَ من وَلَده يُحْيَون في آخر الزمان

This conception, which regards the death of the Imams as a [43] mere disappearance, indispensably needs a complement which should account for the fact of their apparent death, the more so as the Imams of the Shi'a, with scarcely any exception, all died an unnatural death. This complement is supplied by a 5 heterodox Christian doctrine borrowed from Docetism. 1 It cannot be our task here to trace the influence of Docetism on Islam. But it seems highly probable that this doctrine came to the Muslims through the medium of Manichaeism, which adopted this belief and gave it a definite shape. "The Jesus of the 10 Manichaeans then had no objective reality as man. His whole human appearance, birth and baptism were a mere apparition, and so were his sufferings. For it was not he who was really crucified, but it was an emissary of the devil who tried to frustrate the instructive activity of Jesus, and who, as a punish-15 ment for his wickedness, was fastened to the cross by Jesus himself" (Kessler, Article "Manichaer," PRE's, XII, 218. Comp. Flügel, Mani, 124, 336 f.).

بعد خروج المهدى وقَتْلِ الدَّجَال ويُعْيَى كلَّ من الخُلفاء الراشدين [47] وقتَلَةُ الائمّة بالإجْمال ويُقتَلون هؤلاء حَدَّا وقِصاصًا ثمّ يموتون ويُعْيَوْن مرَّةً أُخْرَى وقد بالغَ مُرْتَضاهم في المسائل الناصريّة في هذه الأكاذيب الكُفْريّات فقال ويصلبون المسائل الناصريّة في هذه الأكاذيب الكُفْريّات فقال ويصلبون (The word is used by Ibn Ḥazm (Ed. I, 1896) to indicate the return (of a nation) to its former state of power and prosperity: وآعلموا انّ كلّ أُمّة أدبرتْ فإنّهم ينتظرون من العودة

But the word can scarcely be said to have the meaning of a technical term.—Fictitious is the meaning ascribed to the word by de Slane (Prolégomènes d'Ibn Khaldoun II, 196 note 5): a new period of time during which every past event will return, or repeat itself. The passage referred to proves nothing of the kind.

It merely says رجوع الأُمور الى ما كانت which has nothing to do with the term Raj'a. In Ibn Khaldûn's text (II, 169) the meaning of the word is probably close to that of Transmigration of Souls, see p. 276.

¹ On Docetism see Harnack, Lehrbuch der Dogmengeschichte (2nd ed.) I, 164, and the passages specified there in the index.

This docetic belief, which afforded a satisfactory explanation of the alleged death of the Shiitic Imams, was readily adopted by the radical Shiites, and it often occurs in the very same form which Manichaeism had given it: that not the Imam was really 5 killed, but a devil who assumed his shape (شَيطانٌ تصوّر بصورته). We find this belief in connection with nearly every Imam of the Ultra-Shiites. On its application to Ali, which is undoubtedly historical, see p. 43 f. Bagd. and Isfr. mention this theory in connection with the following Imams: Ali (in the name of 10 'Abdallah b. Sabâ) Bagd. 94°, Isfr. 55° f.; Abû Muslim (see Index), Bagd. 100a, Isfr. 59a; Muhammed b. 'Abdallah b. al-Hasan b. al-Hasan (p. 87), Bagd. 18^a f., 97^a; Hallâj (Text 69¹⁸), who is said to have stamped his features on someone else, Bagd. 102a, Isfr. 61b. The Imamites who believe in the "return" of the 15 twelfth Imam, the only one who was not murdered (at least according to the Imamitic belief), and therefore insist that the preceding Imams are really dead, have no room for this belief. But it can be seen from the polemics of Ibn Bâbûye that this docetic belief was widespread in Shiitic circles. 20 described the manner of (violent) death of the eleven Imams a favorite topic in Imamitic works-IBab. thus sums up his position (I'tikadat 23b, in the chapter والتَّقْويض): واعتقادنا في ذلك انّه جرى عليهم على الحقيقة وانّه ما اشتبه للناس أَمْرُهم كما يزعمه ما (مَنْ read) يتجاوز الحدَّ فيهم بل شاهَدوا قَتَّلَهم على الحقيقة والصِّحّة لا على الحسبان والخَيْلولة 30 ولا على الشَّك والشُّبْهة فهَنْ زعم انَّهم شبّهوا او واحدُّ منهم فلیس من دیننا علی شے و وخی مند براءً.

This docetic belief, in conjunction with the Raj'a doctrine, enabled the Ultra-Shiites to assume a position which made them 30 practically invincible. The former made their Imams invulnerable: they were immune from death or murder. The latter made them immortal and carried over their living influence to posterity.

— L. 9. حقّی يملاً الارضَ عَدْلاً كما مُلتَت جَوْرًا. This phrase, as is well known, forms a part of the Mahdî tradition, IKhald.

— L. 10. I have restored Yaḥya's genealogy with the help of 15 Gen. Leyd. Comp. Tab. III, 1515¹⁶ note i and 1403¹⁶ (Addit.), where the editor equally substitutes Ḥusein (not al-Ḥusein, as he expressly remarks). Iji 352¹ has Yahya b. 'Omeir.—Yaḥya was killed during the reign of al-Musta'în in 250h, Tab. III, 1515 ff., Shahr. 119. The general of the Zenj (p. 98°) pre-20 tended to be this Yaḥya, Tab. III, 1745³ (anno 255).

¹ Masudi V, 181 gives also the variant إِنَّ وَجُوْرًا Masudi V, 181 gives also the variant المناسبة

² This Muhammed cannot very well be identical with the one mentioned Tab. III, 1814¹¹ who died eleven years earlier. They are erroneously identified in the Tabari index. In the last mentioned passage is to be struck out with Cod. C.

[43] graphically with everyone of his ancestors), is absolutely assured. Just as certain is the genealogy of Husein b. Ismâ'îl, whose uncle, Ishâk b. Ibrâhîm (l. 16), accepted a prominent post in the police of Bagdad in 207h, Tab. III, 10626. Under these circum-

"the son of his paternal uncle" (l. 15). Perhaps our author confounds the fact mentioned here with the one recorded Tab. III, 1405¹⁰ (anno 236), that Muhammed, the son of Ishâk b. Ibrâhîm, dispatched Husein b. Ismâ'îl, this time his real cousin, 10 to put down a rebellion in Fâris. Another not impossible.

though less probable, solution would be to explain to as a cousin of a remoter degree,—in this case a third cousin. Thus Tab. I, 510 (=IAth. I, 142) Moses is called the "'amm" of Phinehas. So far the reading of L. Br.—As for the genealogy 15 given in Ed. and the other codices, it can scarcely be correct

and seems to be an attempt to explain أبن عمّ البن

[44] 44, l. 1. The genealogy as given in our text is confirmed by Gen. Leyd., Ya'kûbî II, 576, and Masudi, VII, 116. Elsewhere Muhammed's genealogy frequently appears in a 20 mutilated shape. IKhald. I, 361 (also de Slane's translation) has one link too much (Muh. b. Kâsim b. Ali b. Ali (sic) b. 'Omar). Tab. III, 1165 and IAth. VI, 312 have one link too little (Muh. b. Kâsim b. 'Omar). Shahr. 118 penult. and Iji 352 even omit two links (Muh. b. K. b. Ali b. al-Husein b. Ali b. 25 A. T.). Muhammed was sent to prison by Mu'tasim in 219,

¹ The relation of the three men mentioned in our text presents itself as follows:

		Muş	'ab		
al-Ḥusein		Ibrâhîm			
Ţâhir		Ismâ'îl		Ishâk	
'Abd	allah	al-Ḥu	sein	Muha	\mathbf{mmed}
Muhar	\mathbf{nmed}				
2	al-Ḥusein				
,	Ţâhir		Ismâ'îl		
	'Abd	allah.		lasan	
	Muha	\mathbf{mmed}	(5	sic)	

and he died there, Tab., Masudi, Shahr. According to Masudi [44] (VII, 117), there were many Zeidites at the time he was writing his history (332h) who believed in the "return" (Raj'a) of Muhammed. His followers were especially numerous in Kufa, Tabaristân and Deilam.

- L. 6 ff. Ibn Hazm's references to the Keisaniyya, which are frequent, though brief, substantially enrich our knowledge of this important sect. This at once shows itself in the explanation of the name, which is the only correct one among the numerous interpretations offered by other writers. The con-10 ventional explanation derives the name from Keisan, which is declared to have been a nickname of Mukhtâr (p. 7917), so the Dictionaries: Jauharí (comp. IKhall. No. 570), Kámús, Lisán and Taj al-'Aras, sub voce كيسان; IKot. 300, Ikd 269°, Makr. 3513 (=de Sacy II, 592), Bagd. 11b. On the other 15 hand, endeavors were made to connect the founder of this sect in some way with Ali, or with his son Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyva. whom the Keisâniyya regard as his successor and the heir of his mystic knowledge (a point on which this sect lays great stress). As there was a maula of Ali named Keisân (he falls, 20 while defending his master, in the battle of Siffîn, Tab. I, 3293 =IAth. III, 247), he was declared the founder of the Keisâniyya and the disciple of Ali, or of Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyya, in the lore of mysticism, see Shahr. (who distinguishes between the Keisâniyya and the Mukhtâriyya), similarly Abu'l-Maali 25 157, IKhall. ib. (who also quotes the preceding explanation, with the confession والله اعلم), IKhald. I, 357,1 Makr. ib., Kremer, Ideen 375. An attempt to reconcile both derivations is the interpretation quoted by Bagd. (11b) "that Mukhtar acquired his heterodox opinions from a maula of Ali by the name 30 of Keisán," or the explanation recorded by Kashi 75 that Mukhtâr was called Keisân after Ali's maula. "who induced him to seek revenge for al-Husein's blood and pointed out to him his murderers." Closest to the facts is Masudi V, 180: "They were called Keisâniyya because of their relation to 35

VOL. XXIX.

is taken by de Slane, p. 403. In accordance with our expositions, however, the suffix must be referred to Ali, who is mentioned a little earlier.

[44] al-Mukhtâr b. Abî 'Obeid ath-Thakafî, whose name was Keisân and whose kunya was Abû 'Omra . . . Some of them, however, hold that Keisân Abû 'Omra is not identical with al-Mukhtâr" (he refers for further information to his Makâlât).

5 The only correct explanation is the one offered by Ibn Hazm (here and Text, p. ?7?"), who designates Keisân Abû 'Omra as the follower (ṣâḥib) of Mukhtâr. The person referred to is Keisân, the chief of Mukhtâr's body-guard, Tab. II, 6?11 (= IAth. IV, 18?). He was a maula of the 'Oreina, a clan of the 10 Southern Bajîla (Wüstenfeld, Tabellen, 916), and stood at the head of the Mawâli. As the latter were the main actors in Mukhtâr's uprising (comp. especially the characteristic notice Tab. II, 6512), the sect, which first asserted itself on this occasion, received its name (perhaps as a nomen odiosum) from the 15 leader of the Mawâli.

So far the name of the sect. As for its tenets, they contain elements both of the Zeiditic and the Imamitic creed, a circumstance which renders the classification of the Keisâniyya within the bipartite division of Shiism extremely difficult. Their cardinal doctrine is the recognition of the Imamate of Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyya. But while agreeing with the Zeidiyya in rejecting the strictly legitimate principle in the Imamate and basing the claims of the Imam on his personal qualifications, they strongly emphasize with the Imâmiyya his supernatural knowledge of mystic lore. In consequence of this ambiguous position, the theologians often count the Keisâniyya as an independent sect, on an equal footing with the Zeidiyya and Imâmiyya, thus, e. g., Shahr. 109, Bagd. 9b, Isfr. 7a. The latter two, however, become unfaithful to their own classification and occasionally reckon the Keisâniyya among the Imâmiyya:

ن الاماميّة (the same Bagd.). I. H., too, appears to

¹ Kashi 75 strangely misses the point when he states that Mukhtâr was called Keisân "after his şâḥib ash-Shortah whose kunya was Abû 'Omra and whose name was Keisân." See his other explanation above.

² Comp. Wellhausen, Opp. 89, and the footnote.

³ Ibn Ḥazm can scarcely be correct when he incidentally remarks (Ed. IV, 1034) that according to the Keisâniyya, Muhammed b. al-Ḥana-fiyya was Imâm through a written statement (النصّ).

⁴ Van Vloten, Chiitisme, p. 41-42.

waver on this point.¹ While in our passage he expressly [44] designates them as a branch of the Zeidiyya—and he is the more justified in doing so, as, in distinction from all other writers, he regards as the cardinal doctrine of the Zeidiyya the recognition of the Imamate in all the descendants of Ali (not Fâțima),²— 5 he counts them repeatedly (Text 45¹⁴, 53¹², 54¹¹) among the sects of the Imâmiyya.

After the death of Muh. b. al-Hanafiyya, the Keisâniyya fell asunder into a number of factions. The most important of these was the Hâshimiyya, which transferred the Imamate to his son 10 Abû Hâshim and considered him the heir of his father's mystic knowledge, Shahr. 112. Abû Hâshim having died without offspring, the Hâshimiyya were again divided into a large number of factions, which assigned the Imamate to various pretenders. Only a fraction of the Keisâniyya, stimulated 15 by the mystery that surrounded Muh.'s death, denied his death altogether, and believed that he was hidden in the Radwa mountains, whence he would "return." This belief, as is well-known, found its poetical expression through Kuthayyir and as-Sayyid, and became through them known as specific-20 ally Keisanitic. A notice by Bagd. (11b) has luckily preserved the name of the originator of this belief: ثمّ افترق الّذين قالوا بامامة محمد بن الحنفيّة فرعم قوم منهم يُقال لهم الكربية اعجاب ابي كرب الضريم ان عجمل بن الحنفية حتى لم 25 يمت وانَّه في جبل رَضْوَى وعنده عين من الماء وعين من العَسَل يأخذ منهما رزَّقَه وعن يمينه أُسَدُّ وعن يساره نَمِرُّ

¹ See Introduction, p. 23.

² See Introd., p. 23, and Text, p. 75¹⁻⁸, 58¹¹ and Comm.

³ The year of his death fluctuates between 80 and 114! See IKot. 111, Masudi V, 267, IKhall. No. 570, and especially Nawawî, *Tahdîb* 113. The same uncertainty exists as regards the place of his death. See the above-mentioned sources and Barbier de Meynard in *Journal Asiatique*, 1874, p. 165.

⁴ The dogmatic historians are very well aware of these differences within the Keisâniyya. See also Istakhrî 21 (=IḤaukal 28), Yâkūt II, 790²⁰, Masudi V, 180.

[44] يحفظانه من اعدائه الى وقت خروجه وهو المهدى المنتظر [44] Similarly Isfr. 104.1

The Radwa mountain (or rather mountains) is situated at a distance of seven days from Medina, Yakut II, 790. It was 5 considered extremely fertile, and was believed to be one of the mountains of Paradise.²

The individual traits, with which the belief in Ibn al-Hanafiyya's sojourn in Radwa has been embellished, are properly intelligible only when we bear in mind their origin, as well as the 10 origin of the underlying conception, which is no other than the Messianic idea. On the overwhelming influence of this idea over Islam, see de Sacy XXXI ff., van Vloten, Chiitisme 54 ff. and my essay "Die Messiasidee im Islam" (in Festschrift zum siebzigsten Geburtstage A. Berliner's, Frankfurt a. M. 1903, pp. 15 116-130, especially 121 ff. and 127). This influence also shows itself in numerous minor details which the Muhammedan theologians, being unaware of their origin, were bound to misunderstand, and which they in consequence purposely modified. A striking example of this tendency is offered, in our opinion, by 20 the detail, also recorded by I. H., that Ibn al-Hanafiyya was surrounded by beasts of prey. The original significance of this conception can scarcely be doubtful when examined in the form in which it appears in a poem of as-Sayyid (Agh. VII, 4). In view of the importance of the question, I quote the decisive 25 verses in the original, adding the vowels and a translation:

وقالت الكربية اتباع ابي كرب بأنّ ابن Makr. 3521 says briefly

المنتظر المنتظر. Still briefer Abu'l-Maali المنتظر. Still briefer Abu'l-Maali الكربية الحاب ابى كرب الضرير Is this Abû Karb, of whom nothing else is known, identical perhaps with أبو الكروس, whom Ali banished for his extravagant doctrines, Ikd 269?

² Interesting in this connection is Burton's remark (*Pilgrimage to al-Medinah and Mecca*, ed. 1898, I, 222): "I heard much of its valleys and fruits and bubbling springs, but afterward I learned to rank these tales with the superstitious legends attached to it. Gazing at its bare and ghastly heights, one of our party, whose wit was soured by the want of fresh bread, surlily remarked that such a heap of ugliness deserved ejection from heaven, an irreverence too public to escape general denunciation."

[44] سِنِينَ وأَشْهُرًا وَيُرَى بِرَضْوَى ﴿ بِشِعْبٍ بَيْنَ أَنْهَارٍ وَأَسْدِهِ مُقِيمٌ بَيْنَ آرَامٍ وَعِينٌ ﴿ وَحَفَّانٌ تَرُوحٍ خِلاًلَ رُبْدِ ثُواعِيهَا ٱلسِّبَاعُ وَلَيْسَ مِنْهَا ﴿ مُلاَقِيهِنَّ مُنْفَتَوِسًا بِحَدِي أَمْنِ بِهِ ٱلرَّدَى فَرَتَعْنَ طَوْرًا ﴾ بِلاَ خَوْفٍ لَذَى مَدْعًى وَوِردْ ِ

"Years and months (has Ibn al-Hanafiyya been hidden). But 5 he can be seen in Radwa in a glen among leopards and lions. He resides between land marks (?), while big-eyed kine and the young ones of ostriches walk about at evening tide in the company of speckled goats. Together with them graze beasts of prey. Yet none of them attacks them to tear them with the point (of their teeth?). They (the tame animals) are through him² secure from destruction, and they feed together without fear on the same meadow and at the same drinking place."

There is no need to prove that this description is a reflex of the Messianic prophecy Isa. 11, and the parallel is far more strik-15 ing when we take into consideration the orthodox Muhammedan belief that at the end of Time, when Jesus shall have re-appeared and introduced the Golden Age, "lions and camels, tigers and oxen, wolves and lambs will graze peacefully together, and boys will play with snakes without danger." This original 20 idea of the eternal peace extending over the wild animals can still be discerned in I. H.'s words, if we vocalize (Ed. IV, 17921)

and thus read the plural, which is also found in as-Sayyid's poem (first line of our quotation). In any event, the Messianic character of this conception was 25 misunderstood. The wild animals were taken to be the guardians of Ibn al-H. The plural was accordingly substituted by

¹ Comp. Lane s.v. 5.

² Through Muh. b. al-Ḥ. If the suffix referred to شِعْب, we should expect دي. [See, however, p. 38, n. 1.]

³ Snouck-Hurgronje, Der Mahdi, p. 9.

 $^{^4}$ In our translation, p. 44^{10} f., we have followed the ordinary conception.

understand.

[44] the singular, and in explanation the dual يحفظانع was added, which gives an entirely different appearance to the whole description, thus, e. g., Shahr. 111 penult., Fawât al-Wafayât I, 24, Bagd. 11^b, Isfr. 10^a.

5 The other details recorded in this paragraph equally show traces of the Messianic idea.

"Conversing with angels" (l. 11) has its source apparently in the words of as-Sayyid (Agh. VIII, 32, Masudi V, 183)

The Messias residing in Paradise (comp. Bet Hamidrash, ed. Jellinek II, 29), he naturally holds intercourse with the angels.

L. 12 apparently rests on as-Sayyid's verse يا ابنَ الرسول Masudi V, 183, Dahabî, Ta'rîkh al-Islam VII. ' Here the original conception obviously is that the Messiah gets is his food from the outside. I. H.'s words remind one vividly of I Kings 17, 6.

Another form of this conception which strongly indicates Messianic influence is that which makes Ibn al-H. derive his sustenance from two fountains, one of honey, the other of water, 20 both flowing near him. Bagd. 11^b (and Isfr. 10^a): عين من الباء, Shahr. 111: عين من الباء, comp. Fawât I, 24. This statement is probably derived from a Keisanite poem which is generally assigned to Kuthayyir, Agh. VIII, 32, Masudi V, 182, 25 Shahr. 111, IKhald. I, 358.² The real character of this conception

¹ Ms. Strassburg (Spitta No. 12), in the biography of Muhammed b. al-Ḥanafiyya. The Ms. is not paginated.—Comp. Yâkût II, 790°0 حتى مقيم حتى يُرزَى . Istakhrî 21 (=IHaukal 28) only has حتى مقيم حتى مقيم حتى مقيم حتى المعاددة ال

² Only IBab., *Ithbat* 32, ascribes it to as-Sayyid. Similarly Agh. VII, 10, contrary to VIII, 32, and omitting the decisive verse,

تَغَيَّبَ لا يُرَى عنهم زمانًا ﷺ بِرَضْوَى بين عَسَلِ وماءِ Just what considerations led Barbier de Meynard (Journal Asiatique, 1874, p. 247) to decide in favor of as-Sayyid's authorship is difficult to

is revealed in the undeniably older form which is preserved [44] Bagd. 94b. Ibn as-Saudâ (p. 18º) is quoted as saying: وَاللّٰهِ لَهُ الْمُوفَةُ عَيْنَانُ تُفْيِضُ احداهما عسلاً لَيُنْبَعَنَّ لعلي في مسجد الكوفة عينان تُفيض احداهما عسلاً عملته عند عند منهما شيعتُه to which assertion Bagd. reasonably replies (95°) وقد مات ابنه الحسين واصحابه بكربلاء (95°) وقد مات ابنه الحسين واصحابه عسل وسَمْنِ.

This "honey and butter" which is the food of the Messias seems nothing but the הַבְּלֵאָה וֹרְבֵלֵי which, according to Isaiah's prediction (7, 22), "everyone shall eat that is left in the land. It is but natural that to Kuthayyir, who was at home in Najd 10 and Ḥijâz,² water appeared a more appropriate article of food than butter (or cream), which was accessible to every Bedouin,³ the more so, since the Radwa mountains were believed to be very rich in water.

— L. 16. Mûsa b. Ja'far, with the by-name al-Kâzim, was 15 born 129 and died between 183–186, IKhall. No. 756, Tab. III, 649, see also ib. 2509. He was imprisoned by the Caliph Mahdi and, having been released for a time, again imprisoned by Rashîd. It is assumed that he was poisoned in prison, IKhall. ib., Shahr. 127. He was buried in the Kureish ceme-20 tery (في مَقَابِر قريش) in Bagdad, and his grave was still visited by pilgrims in the time of Baġdâdî: معروف في الجانب الغربيّ من بغداد يُزار (Bagd. 19ª).

اذا نزل (يعنى عليًّا) من السماء يُفتَح له في مسجد "Isfr. 56 من السمن [وهو add وهو عينان إِحْداهما من العسل والأُخرَى من السمن إوهو (read رمنهما (منهما (منهما العمل) .

² Kuthayyir lived mostly in Medina; Brockelmann, Geschichte der arab. Litt. I, 48. His poems are innumerable times quoted by Yâkût as loci probantes for localities of that district.

³ This also would speak in favor of Kuthayyir's authorship of that poem. As-Sayyid lived mostly in large cities, Brockelmann I, 83.

The sect which recognizes Mûsa as Ja'far's successor in the Imamate, his elder brother Ismâ'îl having died before his father, are called the Mūsawiyya (موسويّة or موسويّة), Shahr. 126, Bagd. 19a, Isfr. 13b, IHaukal 6521 and others. After his death 5 his followers still denied that he was dead and believed in his "return." They were for this reason designated by a more comprehensive term as the Wâkifa or Wâkifiyya (see p. 51), Shahr. 127; IBab., Ithbat 36.2 Probably in consequence of their having been deceived in this expectation, the Mûsawiyya 10 were branded by their opponents as the Mamtûra: "those that were rained upon." "The belief of the Wakifiyya attaches They are identical with the Mamtûra, and to Mûsa b. Ja'far. it is by this name that this party is known in distinction from other sects of the Shiites" (Masudi VII, 117). Zeid. says وصِنْفُ آخر من الروافض من اصحاب موسى : (fol. 104ª): وقفوا على موسى وزعموا ان موسى حتى لم يمت ولا يموت حتى يملُّها (يعنى الارض) عَدْلًا كما مُلتَّت جَوْرًا ويُقال لهم الواقفة See also Kashi 287, bottom. According to Shahr., this nickname was coined by Ali b. Ismâ'îl (p. 60°), who said 20 to them ما انتم إلاّ كلاب مبطورة. Bagd. ascribes it to Yûnus b. 'Abderrahmân:' كان من القطيعيّة وناظَمَ بعضَ الموسويّة . فقال في بعض كلامه انتم أَهْوَن على عينم من الكلاب الممطورة Isfr. again ascribes this utterance to the well-known Shiite Zurâra b. A'yun.

¹ Kashi 286 tells a story which satisfactorily accounts for the rise of this belief. Two trustees of Mûsa, who were in charge of a fund of 30,000 dînârs consisting of taxes that belonged to Mûsa, had squandered the money while the latter was in prison. When Mûsa died, the trustees, fearing the claims of his heirs, denied Mûsa's death, and endeavored to spread the belief in his "return."

⁹ The opposition of the "Twelvers" to this belief vented itself in the invention of utterances, usually put into the mouth of Ja'far, which violently protest against the Mûsawiyya doctrine. Some very characteristic specimens may be found in Kashi 284–288.

³ One of Mûsa's adherents, Fihr. 220; comp. Tusy, p. 366 f.

—L. 21. Ja'far aṣ-Ṣâdik was born 80 or 83 and died in 10 Medina in 148 during Manṣûr's reign; IKhall. No. 130; Nawawî, $Tahd\hat{\iota}b$, p. 195; see also Blochet 12. Ja'far occupies a central position among the Imams of the Shi'a. His authority is considered final. See on this unique position of Ja'far pp. 79¹, 89³ and Index.

45, l. 1. On Ismâ'il, see Index.

 $\lceil 45 \rceil$

—Ibidem. The reading Sabâbiyya (note 1) is frequently to be met with in MSS. See, e. g., Text, p. 71, note 13; Comm. p. 27, n. 2; Tab. III, 29, note k; Lubb. al-Lubâb s. v. السباى note d; the examples can be easily multiplied. The manuscripts 20 of Bagd. and Isfr., which bestow great care on the diacritical points, consistently read the same way. This coincidence cannot be accidental. The reading is satisfactorily accounted for when we bear in mind that the characteristic and most objectionable feature of Shiism, in the eyes of the orthodox, is the 25 "the denunciation of the Companions," especially

[45] of Abû Bekr and Omar. Attachment to Ali without this denunciation is تشيّع حسن , Goldziher, Shi'a 443, n. 3, comp. ZDMG. 50, 115. See Text 72, n. 2, and the characteristic anecdote, below p. 65. Typical is also the notice Agh. XI, 46°: The 5 Keisânite Khandak al-Asadî, having been assured by Kuthayyir that his family would be taken care of, denounces in Mekka, during the pilgrimage, Abû Bekr and 'Omar and suffers martyrdom for it. The Sunnites therefore designate the Shiites as Sabbâbûn, "denouncers", Goldziher, ZDMG. 36, 280, n. 1. 10 As the name Sabâiyya is frequently applied to ultra-Shiitic sects in general (p. 100), it was for polemical purposes, with a slight change in the diacritical points, transformed into Sabâbiyya, or more correctly, Sabbâbiyya.1

—L. 2. On Ibn Sabâ, see p. 1836 ff.

The belief that Ali was hidden in the clouds whence he would return on earth is ascribed by all theological writers (Shahr. 132 ult.; Iji 343; Makr 3571; see also IKhald. I, 358) While many, or most, doctrines attributed to this to Ibn Sabâ. founder of Shiism are apocryphal or of later origin, this belief 20 is no doubt authentic. This conception must have become extremely popular among the Shiites at an early period, as numerous early authorities bear witness to it. Muslim, Sahîh (Cairo 1284h, I, 51) in the name of Sufyan (ath-Thaurî, died ان الرافضة تقول ان عليًّا في السحاب . Zeid. fol. 104^a فَصِنْفٌ من الروافض special sect called as-Saḥâbiyya فصِنْفٌ يُقال لهم المحابية وهم يزعمون أن عليًّا حيٌّ لم يمت يسوق العرب والمجم بعصاه وهم يرعمون انّ عليًّا في السحاب Abu'l-Maali 158 calls the founder of this sect Muhammed b. Ya'kûb اليعقوبية امحاب محمد بن يعقوب ايشان څويند على هوكاه

¹ Curiously enough there was also a sect called Sabbâbiyya, named after Sabbâb, a client of the Omeyyad family, which throughout the Omeyyad reign stood up for this dynasty and denounced its enemies, Agh. XIV, 162.—A certain 'Abdallah b. Sabbâb is mentioned Ikd 269, immediately after 'Abdallah b. Sabâ, as one whom Ali banished for his extravagant doctrines. But I have nowhere found any reference to this person.

Shitic traditionist (died 174), "who was a silly, weak-minded old man, believed that Ali was in the clouds. He would sit in our midst, then look up to the clouds and exclaim: "Here is Ali, passing in the clouds!" (IKhald. II, 155, quoting from 5 an-Nasâ'î, died 757h). The poet Ishâk b. Suweid al-'Adawî' ridicules in a much-quoted poem "the people who greet the clouds when they mention Ali." This belief spread the more easily, as Ali's grave was unknown, Damîrî, Hayât al-Hayawân (Bûlâk 1284h) II, 267. According to Ibn Asâkir (died 571), 10 the camel which was carrying Ali's body to Medina to be buried there disappeared with the body: "for this reason the people of 'Irâk say he is in the clouds."

On the Messianic basis of this conception, see my essay "Die Messiasidee im Islam," p. 125.

—L. 9 ff. This utterance of Ibn Sabâ is in all probability derived from the anecdote told by Jâḥiz, Bayān (Cairo 1313h) II, 73,5 on the authority of ash-Sha'bî (d. 103). A certain Jarîr b. Keis met Ibn as-Saudâ (=Ibn Sabâ) in Madâin.6 "He (Ibn Sabâ) said: What is the news? I said: the Commander 20 of the Faithful (=Ali) has been killed . . . He said: Even if you had brought us his brain in a hundred bags, we would surely know that he would not die till he should drive you with his stick." Bagd. 94a tells the same story, perhaps drawing

from the same source, in a similar manner: عص على على على على على على وقد رُوى على على على الشَّعْبيّ ان ابن سبا قيل له انّ عليًّا قد قُتل فقال

¹ Bagd. 94^b, 43^a العدري. He was a contemporary of Wâșil b. 'Aṭa, ib.

² Bagd. ib.; Isfr. 29^b; Kâmil ed. Wright 546⁹; Ikd 267.

³ The Imamites, however, insist that he was buried in in Kufa, Abu'l-Maali, 164; IBab., *I'tikadat* 22^b. Their motive is plain, see p. 30¹⁴.

⁴ Quoted by Suyuṭî, Ta'rîkh 175, also by ad-Dimishḳî al-Ḥaramânî, $Akhbār \ ad$ -Duwal (on the margin of I. Athîr's Ta'rîkh, Bulak, 1290^h) I, 221⁷.

 $^{^5}$ I. \mbox{H} . quotes Jâḥiz also Text 50^9 and elsewhere.—The passage in Bayan was pointed out to me by the late van Vloten, Leyden.

⁶ Ali banished Ibn Sabâ to Madâin, Shahr. 132, Ikd 269, Bagd. 66, 94°.

له لن (لَئِنْ read) جئتمونا بدماغه في صرّةٍ لم نصدّق بموته [45] له لن (لَئِنْ لا يموت حتى ينزل من السماء ويملك الارض بحَدافيرها .

The reading adopted in the text (note 6) is in accordance with these quotations.

- On the two doctrines (Raj'a and Docetism) underlying Ibn Sabâ's utterance, see p. 23 ff.
 - —L. 12 ff. The following are counted among the Keisâniyya, because they regarded their Imams as the successors of Abû Hâshim, the son of Muhammed b. al-Ḥanafiyya (p. 89¹).
- 10 —L. 13. On Abû Muslim, see Index.
- —L. 15 ff. 'Abdallah rose under the last Omeyyad Caliph in 127, see the elaborate accounts of Agh. XI, 66 ff.; Tab. II, 1879 ff.; IAth. V, 246. He was forced to give up Kufa and to retreat into the mountains of Media. He was in temporary pos-15 session of the province of Fâris, and—this is significant in connection with l. 16—the mountains of Isbahân. He went so far as to strike his own coins (ZDMG. 46, 443). He was killed in 129 by order of Abû Muslim, Tab. II, 1976—IAth. V, 282. See about him also Text 71".—Gen. Leyd. has the following notice about

عبد الله الشاعر الخطيب المترسّل قبص (قَبَضَ him: (read وَعَبَضَ him: (read عبد الله السّائة وحبسه بهراة وقيل عليه ابو مسلم صاحب الدَّوْلة العباسيّة وحبسه بهراة وقيل (وقتل read) بها وقَبْرُه بموضع يقال له قهندسْ (sic) من هراة وكان له وَلَذْ وانقرض.

His followers were called Janâḥiyya, Bagd. 97^b, 103^b; Isfr. 25 57^a¹; Iji 345; Makr. 353¹¹, because his father² Mu'awiya bore the by-name Dû'l-Janâḥein, see especially Nawawî, *Tahdîb* 339.

On the Imamate of the descendants of Ja'far b. Abî Tâlib see I. H.'s remark (Ed. IV. 9019): "one party says: the

أَنْباع عبد الله بن المغيرة (sic) بن ابي The text is corrupt بن ابي طالب بن عمون. (sic)

² On the sects deriving their name from the father's name of the founder see Goldziher, ZDMG. 61, 75, n. 2.

Imamate is permissible only in the descendants of Ja'far' b. Abî [45] Țâlib. Subsequently they confined it to 'Abdallah b. Mu'âwiya b. Abdallah b. Ja'far b. A. T."

His father Mu'âwiya² must already have enjoyed a similar distinction. When he still was a school boy, the Keisanite—this is 5 important on account of l. 14—Kuthayyir would hug him fondly and say to him: "Thou art one of the little prophets" (Agh. VIII, 34, see p. 27, note 4.)

—L. 20. 'Abdallah's teachings as described by Bagd., Iji and Makr. are in the nature of other ultra-Shiitic doctrines: God's 10 successive incarnation in the prophets and Imams, the belief in Transmigration of Souls coupled with the denial of Resurrection (see p. 74) and the allegorical interpretation of the Koran, Iji, Makr. =de Sacy II, 595.

وزعم أنَّد هو الأمام بعد على وأُولادة من صُلَّبة "Bagd. 97 فبايعوة على امامتة ورجعوا الى الكوفة وحكوا لأَتْباعهم انّ عبد الله بن معوية بن عبد الله بن جعفر زعم أنَّه ربُّ وانّ روح Here the text . الإله كانت في آدم ثم في شيث ثم دارت في الانبياء

مسئلته عن سَلَفَه وما بقي من أهل هذا البيت.

breaks off. Between 97^b and 98^a something (in all probability one leaf) is missing. This is to be added to Ahwardt's Catalogue No. 2800. On this doctrine of successive incarnation see Text 68¹ and Comm.

¹ Ed. erroneously Ali. Cod. L. II, 86^a has the correct reading.

ولم يسمّ احدا (احدٌ Interesting is the remark of Sibt, Imams: (read من احدا الحدّ احدا الحدّ الله بن جعفر فهجره من بنى هاشم وَلَدَه معاوية الله عبد الله بن جعفر فهجره بنو هاشم لذلك ولم يعتل (يعيّل read يعيّل العيّل احدٌ منهم الآ انقرض بل له بقيّة من :—Of his offspring Gen. Leyd. says: القليل ولدة باصبهان وغيرها من الجبال ورأيت مع الصوفيّة رجلًا صوفيّا وُلد في اصبهان . . . يذكر انه من ولد محمد بن صالح بن معاوية بن عبد الله بن جعفر ولم يتسع لى الزمان في

- [45] On the belief in 'Abdallah's concealment (gaiba) in the mountains of Isbahân see especially Iji (who writes اصفهان) and Isfr. 57a.
 - L. 22. On the Dahriyya see de Boer 80.—One of his table companions was called al-Baklî, because he was of the opinion that man is like a vegetable (al-bakl) "and when he dies, he does not return (on earth)", see p. 24, n. 1, Agh. XI, 75. 'Abdallah's Sâhib ash-Shortah is said to have been a Dahrite, ibidem.
- 10 46, l. 2 ff. The same belief of the Jews in four Immortals [46] is mentioned by I. H., Ed. I, 187, in a brief survey on Jewish history. After Joshua it was Phinehas who ruled over the Jews for twenty-five years. "A large section of them (the Jews) maintain that he is alive till this day, he and three per-15 sons besides him, viz., Ilyâs (Elijah) the Prophet, the Aronide, Malkîsîdek b. Fâlig b. 'Âbir [b. Shâlih] b. Arfaḥshâd b. Sâm b. Nûḥ, the servant whom Ibrâhîm dispatched to woo Ribkâ, the daughter of Batuîl, the son of Nâkhûr, the brother of Ibrâhîm."
 - 20 In our passage (p. 46, note 1) L. Br. also add the name of Methuselah. But it is clear from the parallel quoted here that the name came in by mistake.

As to the four others above-mentioned, there can scarcely be any doubt that, as far as Malchizedek is concerned, I. H. con-

¹ The following variants are taken from Codd. L. and V.—L. agrees with Ed. See Introd., p. 18.

² V. missing. See p. 47²⁰.

³ V. missing.

⁴ Ed. فالغ L. V. فالج.

⁵ V. دن مالح (sic). Ed. L. missing. Supplied in view of Gen. 10, 24.

⁶ So L. V.-Ed. دفقة.

[،] بثوال .v. ابثوال

^{*}Methusalem is reputed in Jewish tradition as a אריק גמור "a perfectly righteous man," Aboth di R. Nathan, ed-Schechter, ch. 32, and he is counted among the seven Long-lived, Baba Bathra, fol. 121b, comp. Goldziher, Kitâb al-Mu'ammarîn, p. XLII. But this has nothing to do with immortality. Perhaps he is confounded here with his father Enoch.

15

founds the Jews with the Christians. M.'s immortality is taught [46] as early as in the Epistle to the Hebrews 1, 8; 7, 3 ff., and it is known from the polemics of the Church fathers to what extent this belief, which found expression in a special sect called Malchizedekites, was spread among Christian sectarians.

The genealogy of M. as given by I. H. (and other writers) is only a modification of the early Jewish tradition (also recorded by the Church fathers) which identifies him with Sem, the son of Noah; see Louis Ginzberg, *Die Haggada bei den Kirchenvätern* I, 118, II, 104.

Eliezer, "the servant of Ibrâhîm," is mentioned among the nine Immortals who entered Paradise while still alive, Derekh Eres Zūṭa, ch. 1. It is worthy of notice that in neither passage is Eliezer mentioned by name. He was probably designated in Jewish circles merely as עבר אברהם.

Elijah's immortality, which is, of course, a direct consequence of the Biblical report, is already implied in Sirach 48¹⁰⁻¹¹. On the Rabbinical legends clustering around Elijah see the exhaustive article (by Louis Ginzberg) in *Jewish Encyclopedia* V, 122 ff.—The notion that he was a Kôhen, "an Aronide," is 20 very old and already known to the Church fathers, *Jew. Enc.* V, 122^a bottom; Ginzberg, *Die Haggada* II, pp. 76–80.

Phinehas is in Jewish tradition commonly identified with Elijah. This identification is very old and already known to Origen, Ginzberg, *Die Haggada* II, p. 78.

- Note 7, l. 2. Read وعقاسيز brainless" (Turkish).
- L. 8. The literature on al-Khadir is too extensive to be recorded here in detail. The best accounts on the Khadir legends are found in Tha labî's 'Arâis (Cairo 1306h), p. 137 ff., Damîrî, Ḥayāt al-Ḥayawān (Būlāk 1284h) I, 338 ff. (sub voce 30 and Tāj al-'Arās III, 187 (sub voce الخضر). The ubiquitous prophet is particularly popular with the Ṣūfis (see espec. Tāj ib.), just as Elijah is with the Jewish mystics. The famous Ṣūfi Ibn al-'Arabî (died 638h)—to quote one instance out of many—records in his al-Futūhāt al-Makkiyya numerous 35 conversations with al-Khadir, Kremer, Ideen, p. 103, comp. p. 71 note.

The Shiitic sects which believe in the "concealment" and "return" (gaiba and raj'a, p. 28) of their Imams quote in con-

- [46] firmation of their belief the continued existence of al-Khadir and Elijah, Shahr. 131, IKhald. I, 358.
 - L. 10. Elijah is usually associated with deserts and ruins, see, e. g., Pirke Aboth, ch. 6, Berakhoth 3^a, Sanhedrin 98^a.—5 al-Khadir ("the green Prophet") is, on account of his name, brought in connection with water and vegetation.
 - L. 13. The same objection is found in connection with Elijah, who in the belief of the people is present at every circumcision. "How can it be imagined that Elijah should be present at every circumcision that takes place in Israel? How can he accomplish it, since, Israel being a nation scattered and divided, many circumcisions take place simultaneously in the East of the World and the West thereof?" Glasberg, Zichron Brith la-Rishonim (Berlin 1892) p. 233.
- 15 47, l. 3. "'Abdallah b. Salâm" is a lapsus calami for "'Abd [47] as-Salâm."—Muhammed b. 'Abd as-Salâm is identical with Ibn 'Abd as-Salâm, who defends the belief in al-Khadir, Tâj al-'Arâs III, 187. He is mentioned by Ibn al-Abbâr, Complementum libri as-Silah, ed. Codera, Madrid 1887, p. 136, No. 483:
 - 20 الليل (sic) الليل ألحافظ المعروف بابن شُق (sic) الليل ما. بابن عبد السلام الحافظ المعروف بابن شُق Talabîra is situated on the Tajo, in the district of Toledo, Yakut III, 542.
 - L. 6. I have not been able to identify this Kâtib with the not unusual name. He is mentioned by I. H., Ed. I, 111:
 25 He takes I. H. to a friend of his to show him the miracles he is working. But I. H. succeeds in unmasking him as a juggler.
 - L. 11. This hadîth, which is recorded both by Muslim and Bukhârî and is in consequence canonical, reads fully as follows:

أَمَا تَرْضَى ان تكون منّى بهنزلة هارون The Prophet says to Ali

من موسى غير انّه لا نبيّ بعدى. Nawawî, Tahdîb 438, Ibn al-Athîr, Usd al-Ġāba IV, 26³ (with the variant كُنْبُوّةُ بعدى) comp. ZDMG. 50, 119. The tendency of the hadîth is transparent. It is directed against the extravagant worship of Ali (and the Imams) by the Ġāliya. On the beginning of the

¹ Whether عبل بن عبد السلام الخشنى repeatedly quoted by I. Ḥ. in Isnâds (e. g., Ed. I, 109 ult, V, 5²⁰) is identical with our Muhammed I am not in a position to determine.

hadith see p. 135³⁰.—A similar tradition with the same tend-[47] ency is quoted by Goldziher, *Muh. St.* II, 105.

- L. 15. I. H. expresses himself similarly Ed. I, 77°: "It is well-established that the Prophet said that there would be no prophet after him, with the exception of what the reliable traditions contain regarding the advent of Jesus, who was sent 15 to the Jews and whom the Jews pretend to have killed and crucified. It is necessary firmly to believe in all this and it is well-established that the existence of prophecy after the Prophet is absurd."
- L. 17. The Berber tribe Baragwâṭa in the extreme North-20 west of Africa formed an independent commonwealth under Tarîf, who claimed descent from the tribe Simeon. His son Sâlih pretended to be a prophet and composed a new Koran of eighty Suras in the Berberic language, Ibn Adharî, ed. Dozy I, 44. For their doctrine, see ibidem 234 ff. During the reign 25 of their seventh king they still expected the "return" of Ṣâliḥ; Dozy, Isl. 348 ff., Kremer, Ideen 200, 372.
- Note 12. The Baraġwâṭa Commonwealth was destroyed by the Almoravides in 1030, Dozy, ib., Kremer, ib.
- L. 19. The name of this sect alternates between Kat'iyya 30 (قطعيّة) and Kittî'iyya (قطعيّة). The former is found, e. g., Masudi VIII, 40; Shahr. 17, 127, 128, 147; Makr. 351²⁴. The latter form is consistently used by I. H., Bagd. and Isfr., also Masudi V, 443, 475. The form Kittî'iyya as the more unusual one seems to be original.

The nature of the Kitti'ivva can best be understood when $\lceil 47 \rceil$ contrasted with its antithesis, the Wâkifiyya or Wâkifa, p. 40. The point of controversy is the reality of the Imam's death (see p. 30) and the question, dependent on it, of the election of a means "to be توقّف في موتد وقف في موتد وقف uncertain, to be in doubt," as regards the Imam's death," i. e., refuse to believe that the Imam is dead and, still recognizing him as Imam, refrain from electing a successor. reverse of it is تطع بموته "definitely to assert his death," 2 to 10 believe that the death of the Imam was real and, in consequence, transfer (ساق) the Imamate from the dead Imam to his suc-This state of the case is still perfectly clear in Shahr., as the following examples will show: 173 . . . قَفْ فِي مُوتَهِ الذين قطعوا بموتِ 128, ومَنْ قطع بموته وساق الامامةَ الى ابنه رن موسى (in opposition to the Wâkifiyya, p. 40). Then قوقف and توقف were interpreted in their literal meaning "to stand still" and the construction وقف (توقف)عليه came in use in the sense: "to stand still at him (at the Imâm)," i. e., to uphold his Imamate without electing a successor because 20 of the unreal character of his death. Substantially then this expression is identical with the phrase وقف (قوقف) في موته and both are opposed to قطع بموته "to believe in the Imam's death and elect a new Imam." Thus Shahr. 127 ومنهم مَن قطع بموته (يعنى بموت موسى بن جعفر) ويقال لهم القَطْعيّة ومنهم مَنْ توقّف عليه وقال انه لم يمت وسَيخرج بعد الغيبة ويقال 25 ثم منهم مَنْ وقف وقال بالرَّجْعة ومنهم 16: منهم الواقفيّة

¹ See, e. g., Shahr. 131 نخص من الواقفيّة في ذلك . "Then we are in doubt concerning this."

² See on this meaning of my Sprachgebrauch des Maimonides, I, (Frankfort on M., 1902) sub voce.

مَنْ وقف عليه وقال برَجْعته ومنهم مَنْ ساق . or ib.: مَنْ ساق الإَمامة في أَوْلاده. أَ

Both the beliefs of the Kittî'iyya and Wâkifiyya are in themselves merely relative conceptions and express but a certain attitude of mind. They become real only when applied to 5 certain definite individuals. In consequence of this their relative character, their contents are somewhat elastic and change in accordance with the person to whom they are applied. rule, the contrast between the two sects hinges on the person of Mûsa b. Ja'far (p. 3915), the succession down to Ja'far, his 10 father, being a matter of common agreement among the Shiites (p. 10428). Those that refuse to admit his death and await his "return" are called Wakifiyya (also Mûsawiyya and, with their nickname, Mamtûra, p. 4012). Those, on the other hand, who admit his death and in consequence transfer the Imamate to his 15 descendants are called the Kittî'iyya. Comp. the passages quoted above from Shahr. See Masudi V, 443: Hishâm b. al-Hakam (p. 6511) was an intimate friend of Mûsa b. Ja'far. Yet he was a Kittî'iy, i. e., he believed that Mûsa was dead. Bagd. 19^a : 20 يونس بن عبد الرحمن القُبّي كان من القطّيعيّة وناظَرَ بَعْضَ الموسويية. Kashi in a special article on the Wâkifiyya, p. 284-288, understands and applies this term in the same manner.

The name, however, occurs also in connection with other individuals of the Alidic family.

Thus Wâkifiyya is found as another designation for Ismâ-25 'îliyya, those who believe in the "return" of Musa's brother Ismâ'îl, Shahr. 127.

[47] The name Kiṭṭî'iyya is found in connection with Ali, the son of Mûsa, Makr. 351²⁵. Zeid. 104^a applies this term to the "followers of Ali b. Muhammed," apparently referring to Ali an-Naķî (died 254), the grandfather of the Shiitic Mahdî, 5 "the man of the cellar."

Gradually, however, the two terms were used pre-eminently in connection with the Mahdî, the Imam of the "Twelvers." Those who did not admit the death of his father, al-Hasan al-'Askarî, and consequently rejected his own claims to the Imamate 10 are called the Wâkifiyya, IBab., Ithbat 39 (p. 36, however, this term is used as a synonym for the Mûsawiyya). Those again who believed in al-Hasan's death and transferred the Imamate to the Mahdi, were called the Kiṭṭṭî'iyya. With the spread of the "Twelvers" and the extinction of the other Shiitic factions, 15 the term Kiṭṭṭî'iyya became the exclusive possession of this sect and was generally used as a synonym for Ithnâ'ashariyya, which is probably of later origin (I. H. does not use it in his Milal), comp. I. H. in our passage; Shahr. 17, 127, 147; Masudi V, 475; Bagd. 19b expressly "Lilled", and in the same 20 way Isfr. 13b expressly "Lilled", and in the same

The old Marracci recognized the identity of the Kittî'iyya with the Ithnâ'ashariyya. The rebuke preferred against him by de Sacy (II, 590 n. 1=Wolff, *Drusen*, p. 83, n. 1) is without justification.

48, l. 3 ff. See I. H.'s remarks on the same subject, Text [48] p. 76' ff. I. H.'s account on the Mahdi is extremely interesting and in many a detail quite novel.'

— L. 5. The year of al-Hasan's death is unanimously given as 260. All other dates and facts of the Mahdi's life were so early entangled in myth and legend.

This shows itself at once in the question as to the date of his birth, which is extremely problematic. Conspicuous in its tendency is the notion that he was born on the day on which his father died, Blochet 21. It betrays itself through the explanatory remark that the Mahdi has, just like Jesus, been Imam since his infancy. According to another supposition (comp.

 $^{^{1}}$ Sibt, Imams, remarkably enough says nothing about the twelfth Imam.

Text here, l. 7) he was born eight months after his father's [48] death, Shahr. 130°. Repeatedly to be found as the year of his birth is 258, i. e., two years before his father's death, IBab. Ithbat 44 l. 2 (read مولاه instead of ولاه); Ibn Zûlâk (died 387h) in IKhall. No. 573; Diyarbekrî, II, 288. Very frequently 5 the year 255 is given, Abu'l-Maali 164; Anon. Sufi 170°; Abulfeda II, 222; IKhall. ib.¹ See the various suppositions Shahr. 129-130.

The insinuation that the Mahdi was not born at all I have not met with outside of I. H. He repeats the same charge Ed. IV, 10 96°: "If so, what need is there for them (the Imams), especially so for the last 180 years? (see Introduction, p. 19). For they pretend to have a lost Imam who (however) was never created, just like the fabulous griffin." Gen. Leyd. omits the Mahdi altogether, as it only records the Alides who had off-15 spring. Al-Hasan, however, is designated as Abû Muhammed.

The identity of the Mahdi's name with that of the Prophet which is demanded by the Mahdi traditions is regarded by the Shiites as proof of the legitimacy of the twelfth Imam.² To the same end the Prophet's kunya Abû'l-Kâsim was conferred 20 on him.³ The generally accepted Mahdi tradition demands, besides, identity in the father's name. But there are variations of this tradition which are so trimmed as to meet the special circumstances of the twelfth Mahdi, comp. IKhald. II, 144 ff.; Diyarbekrî, II, 288.

— L. 11 f. A more elaborate form of this anecdote see Blochet 22 (who writes *Hakimeh*). The motive of the anecdote is the Shiitic tendency to pattern the image of the Mahdi after that of Jesus, whose advent at the end of time is expected by all Muhammedans. The miracle of "talking in the cradle" is 30 ascribed to Jesus, Koran 3, 41; 5, 109; 19, 30 ff.; comp. Gerock, *Versuch einer Darstellung der Christologie des Qoran*

¹ The latter gives besides 256, which he considers correct. Anon. Sufi ib. quotes Yâfi'î's Ta'rîkh to the effect that al-Ḥasan died when the Mahdi was six or five years old, which would imply 254 and 255 respectively.

² Already as-Sayyid al-Ḥimyarî refers to such a tradition, Agh. VII, 4. ³ Zeid. Mutaz. 11¹ quotes a tradition according to which Muhammed ordered Ali to give his son his (the prophet's) name and kunya. He was referring to Muhammed b. al-Ḥanafiyya.

[48] (1839), p. 47. The Sunnitic protest against the transferring of this miracle to the Mahdi found expression in an interpretation forcibly put upon the well-known hadîth—in itself an anti-Shiitic protest—اَقُ الله عيسى بن مَرْيَمُ "there is no Mahdi ''there is no Mahdi ''except Jesus": الله عيسى (المَهْد (read المَهْد) (read المَهْد) (that is, none except Jesus talks in the cradle (al-mahd)." See IKhald. II, 163 and 169.

— Lines 13, 15, 16. On the name or names of the Mahdi's mother see Diyarbekri, II, 288, IKhall. No. 573, who also adds 10 "Khamt," (a sort of fragrant milk). Narjis is given by the authorities quoted by Blochet, p. 21. See also Anon. Sufi fol. 170° : المّع أُمّ وَلَدٍ يقال لها نَرْجس. On the custom of giving the slaves pet names of this description ("narcissus," نرجس "lily," فعند على "the polished one (?)"), 15 see the remark Müller, Islam I, 570 footnote.

49] 49, l. 4. The Dictionary of Technical Terms (ed. Sprenger), p. 1308, gives the following definition of "Inspiration" (alilhâm): الإِلْهَامَ مَعْنَى في القلب بطريق الفيض أَى بلا اكتساب It men- وفِكْم ولا استفاضة (استفادة read أَى بل هو واردٌ غَيْبتَّى وايشان موافق اند بقرامطه بقرامطه \$20 tions a Şûfi sect called al-Ilhâmiyya ودَهْرِيه كه از خواندن وآموختن قران واعْلام ديني إعْراض كنند.

This claim of Inspiration is the reason why the Shiites object to religious discussions, p. 16°.

In the same way as here and Text p. 35¹⁶ ff., I. H. expresses himself Ed. IV, 104⁸: "Some of them (the Imâmiyya) when asked (to prove) the truth of their claim regarding the Imams (i. e., that the Imams are the only source of religious knowledge) take recourse to the claim of Inspiration in this matter.

¹ Comp. Snouck-Hurgronje, Der Mahdi, p. 16.

² Ed. de Slane, p. 632, has خَوْبَ ; ed. Wüstenfeld has incorrectly خَسِطُ .

But if they arrive at this sophism,' then the latter is not beyond [49] reach of any one man, and their opponents are very well able to pretend that they have been informed by way of inspiration of the absurdity of their claim."

A Shiitic writer of the eleventh century (Hijra) uses the following characteristic argument to prove the superiority of the Imams and scholars of the Shi'a, Goldziher, Shi'a, p. 509: "because their words are not a matter of opinion or effort, but of true knowledge. Their source is either a tradition which every one of them has received from his father, the latter of from his own father and so on up to the Prophet, or Revelation and Inspiration, so that both small and big are equal in this respect among them. For this reason it has never been recorded of any of them that he has ever gone to a teacher, or studied under a master, or asked any question."

- Note 5. The reading of Ed. and Codd. presupposes ظريف and the same word is found in Ed. Text 5713, 648 (see also Ed. IV, 9710). It is possible to get along with the ordinary meaning of غريف "elever, ingenious."
- L. 9 (note 10). I took this as an example of some mon-20 strous (of course, imaginary) charge for which Inspiration might be invoked. See a similar charge note 9. Prof. Nöldeke (in a private communication) objects to this interpretation. He prefers to retain من جنون in the text and to translate "or that all of them have a piece (lit. a branch) of madness in their 25
- L. 13 ff. (and previously). The tone in which I. H. speaks of this charge of illegitimate birth shows that he takes it quite seriously. I have not found any reference to it elsewhere. The concluding words of this paragraph are characteristic so of I. H.'s biting sarcasm: It is possible that you all may still be saved by becoming orthodox Muslims. But then you

heads."

الشَّغْبِ 'narrow path'' ought to be read, comp. Text p. 78-79 (repeatedly).

² One is vividly reminded of the frequently quoted sentence מרחציף

"as he is so impudent, it is clear that he is a bastard." Comp. S. Krauss, Das Leben Jesu (Berlin 1902), pp. 188, 278.

[49] will have proved, according to your own contention, that you are all bastards.

[50] 50, l. 9 ff. Comp. I. H.'s notice (Ed. IV, 195^{15}): الجوعثمان (read (البصرى البصرى (Cod. L. + كغرو بن (بَحْر + Cod. L.) الجاحظ القصرى (البصرى مليبة مليبة (صليبة وتعلل بل مولى وهو تلميذ النظام واحد شيوخ المعتزلة.

Jâḥiz died in Baṣra in 255/869, over ninety years old, IKhall. No. 479, 58°; Brockelmann I, 152.2 He was a pupil of an-Nazzâm (p. 586), whom he quotes in this passage. He himself 10 figures as the founder of a sect bearing his name, de Boer, 53. · I. H.'s remark bearing on Jâhiz is reflected in the attitude towards him of the Arabic literary critics, which is on the whole more hostile than favorable. "The style of his genius is mediocre" is the verdict of de Boer (p. 54). The Muham-15 medan writers, however, are ready to appreciate his literary talent and particularly his eloquence, e. g., Masudi VIII, 34; Shahr. 52; Iji 341. But his orthodoxy is held in great suspicion, Goldziher, Zahiriten, p. 100. IKhall. (No. 186, p. 125), after stating that Jâhiz declared Ibn Mokaffa' to be an infidel, sar-20 castically adds: "But, as someone remarked, how could Jâhiz have forgotten himself?" Still less favorably than his orthodoxy is judged his moral character. Masudi VIII, 34 says of him briefly but poignantly انصرافه مشهور. He sells his literary talent to the highest bidder and writes successively in favor of 25 the 'Abbasides, the 'Othmanides and Merwanides, ib. p. 56.3 For an instance of his unprincipled attitude see later (p. 104³⁵ ff.).

man and writer, by Bagd. and Isfr. I give the essential parts of Bagdâdî's remarks (fol. 69^a)' as they are apt to illustrate ذكر الجاحظيّة منهم (يعني من : L.'s utterance in our passage)

Extremely interesting is the crushing criticism of Jahiz as

المعتزلة) هاولاء أتنباع عمرو بن يحيى (sic) الجاحظ وهم الذين

¹ Comp. Kashi 38.

² Kremer, *Ideen*, p. 126, note 17 gives the erroneous date 235/849-850.

³ See Goldziher, Muh. St. II, 120.

⁴ Isfr. 37^a gives substantially the same. But the wording is quite different.

[50] اغترّوا بحُسْن بدُّلة الجاحظ في كُتُبه التي بها ترجمةً تَروقُ بلا مَعْنًى واسمُّ يَهُول بلا جِسْم ولو عرفوا جهالاتِهِ في ضلالاته لأستغفروا الله تعالى من تسميتهم إيّاه انسانًا فضلًا عن ان ينسبوا اليه إِحْسانًا [70] وقد افتخر الكَعْبَي الجاحظ 5 وزعم انه من شيوخ المعتزلة وافتخر بتصانيفه الكثيرة وزعم انه كنانيّ من بني كنانة بن خُرَيْمة بن مُدْركة بن الياس بن مُضَمَ ويقال له إنْ كان كنانيًّا كما زعمتَ فَلمَ صنفت (صنّف read مُضَمَ ويقال له كتابَ مَفاخر القَحْطانيّة على الكنانيّة وسائر العَدْنانيّة وإِنْ كان عربيًّا فلمَ صنَّف كتاب فَضْل الموالى على العرب . . . وامّا 10 كُتُبه المُزَخْرَفة فأصْنافٌ منها كتابه في حِيل اللصوص وقد علّم بها الفَسَقَةَ وجوه السَّرْقة ومنها كتابه في عشر الصَّناعات وقد افسد بها على التّجار سِلَعَهم ومنها كتابه في النواميس وهو ذريعة للحتالين يجتلبون بها ودائعَ الناس واموالَهم ومنهم كتابه في الفَتْيا وهو مشحون بطَعْن أَسْتاذه النظّام على أَعْلام 15 العجابة ومنها كُتُبه في القحاب والكلاب واللَّاطة وفي حيَل المُكِدّين ومعانى هذه الكتب لائقة به وبصَنْعته وأُسْرته ومنها كتاب طبائع الحيوان وقد سلم فيه معانى كتاب الحيوان لارسطوطاليس وضمّن إليه ما ذكره المدائني من حِكم العرب وأَشْعارِها في منافع الحيوانات ثمّ إنّه شحن الكتاب بمناظرة بين

¹ See Makr. 3489.

² Is this identical with his Kitâb al-Bukhalâ?

³ Isfr. declares it to be his most important (اُعْلَى) work.

15 — Ibidem. A man by the name of Bishr b. Khâlid is otherwise unknown. But the context and the additional remark of Codd. L. Br. (note 8) strongly suggest that he is identical with the highly respected Mu'tazila-Sheikh Bishr b. al-Mu'tamir, the founder of the Bishriyya sect. He is mentioned together with 20 an-Nazzâm, Shahr. 18; Zeid. Mutaz. 30; comp. Shahr. 44; Iji 338 and others. I. H., too, frequently refers to him in his Milal. Ed. III, 12618, I. H. mentions an-Nazzâm, Abû'l-Hudeil, Bishr b. al-Mu'tamir and al-Jubbâ'î as remarkable for their speculative and argumentative powers.

I. H.'s (or the copyist's) mistake in our passage may perhaps be explained by assuming that Bishr's kunya was Abû Khâlid. For a similar mistake see p. 59'.

According to Zeid. Mutaz., Bishr was imprisoned by Rashid on the charge of being a Shiite (Râfidî). But he denied it in 30 one of his poems.

¹ See van Vloten, Worgers 59, n. 16.

² Jâḥiz was frightfully ugly, Brockelmann, Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur, popular edition, Leipzig 1901, p. 98.

³ Zeid. Mutaz. p. 25 ult., 27.

— L. 15. I. H. consistently designates this Muhammed as [50] the son of Ja'far. All other sources call him "b. an-Nu'mân," Fihr. 176; Bagd. and Isfr. frequently; Tusy No. 698; Shahr. 142; Iji 347; Makr. 348²⁴, 353³; IKhall. No. 166; Kâmûs s.v.

الطاق, Lubb al-Lubab s. v. الشيطاني. — Agh. VII 9' and 5 Kashi 122, 123 call him Muh. b. Ali b. an-Nu'mân. His kunya was Abû Ja'far (Fihr. 176; Shahr. 142; Kashi ib., Goldziher, Shi'a 509'*), hence probably the mistake. See p. 58²⁶.

His nickname was Sheitân at-Tâk (see the sources quoted above), which, according to Kâmûs, signifies "the devil of at-10 Tâk, a citadel in Tabaristân." The Shiites, however, call him Mu'min at-Tâk, Tusy ib.; Kashi 123. The sect founded by him is generally called Sheitâniyya. Shahr. calls it Nu'mâniyya, (comp. Goldziher in ZDMG. 61, 75, n. 2). He was an adherent of Ja'far aṣ-Ṣâdik (died 146), who valued him highly, Kashi 15 122. He had a dispute with as-Sayyid al-Ḥimyarî about the Imamate and came out victorious, Agh. ib.

His ready wit is attested in several instances quoted by Tusy and Kashi.

His book on the Imamate referred to on l. 17 is duly recorded 20 by Fihr. and Tusy.

— L. 18. This verse plays a prominent part in the polemics between Shiites and Sunnites. Abû Ja'far at-Tûsî, the author of the List of Shy'ah books, wrote a كتاب النَّقْض على ابن , p. 355, No. 771. Hishâm b. al-Hakam 25 by which most probably our verse is meant. The Caliph al-Ma'mûn anxiously endeavors to refute the consequences to be drawn from this verse in a discussion with a Sunnite, Ikd II.²

shown a Dirhem and he said: "" "it is forged" أَنْ "it is forged" أَنْ "it is forged" أَنْ الطَاق .—Comp. Barbier de Meynard in Journal Asiatique 1874, p. 245 note: "Quant an surnom Satan du portique, je n'en ai trouvé l'explication nulle part." Correct ibidem Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam for Hicham b. Malek.

² I have unfortunately lost the reference to the page.

- [50] I. H., too, lays great stress on this verse as proving the legitimacy of Abû Bekr's Imamate, Ed. IV, 144²¹ ff.
- [51] 51, l. 1. The objection appears ridiculous in his eyes because in his belief the verse is an interpolation of the Ashâb, see 5 p. 61 f.
 - L. 3. His full name is Ali b. Ismâ'îl b. Mîtham' at-Tammâr (see the references later), but he is frequently called Ali b. Mîtham, so here and Text p. 75²⁴, Bagd. 21^{b.2} The variant ميثم (instead of ميثم) occurs frequently, see Text p. 75, note 10 12; Masudi VI, 369; Tab. (in the variants to the passages quoted below n. 1); Makr. 351²² (de Sacy II, 589 has, however, Maïtham). The reading and pronunciation Mîtham is confirmed by Bagd. See also Fihr. 174 note 4. Instead of at-Tammâr, Fihrist gives at-Tayyâr. The by-name as-Sâbûnî (the soap boiler) is not 15 found elsewhere.

His grandfather Mîtham at-Tammâr was an esteemed follower of Ali, Fihr. ib.; Tusy p. 212, No. 458; Kashi (in a separate article) 53-58. Makr. 351²⁴ (=de Sacy II, 589) erroneously refers this adherence to Ali b. Ismâ'îl himself.—Ali was by 20 origin from Kufa and was a client of the Banû Asad, but he lived in Basra. He participated in conjunction with those named Text p. 75²² in a discussion in the Majlis of the Barmekide vizier Yaḥya, Masudi VI, 369. He had a dispute with Abû'l-Hudeil and an-Nazzâm, Tusy ib.

- 25 He is regarded as the originator of the Imamite doctrine, Masudi, Fihr., Tusy, Makr. (=de Sacy). Bagd 21^b: صن شيوخ الرافضة. In spite of it, he is reported to have been moderate in the denunciation of Ali's opponents, see Text p. 79²¹; comp. Wolff, Drusen, p. 80, 82.
- 30 He is in all probability identical with Ali b. Isma'il, who gave the Mûsawiyya the nickname Mamtûra, p. 4018.

 $^{^1}$ Tab. III, 249 13 , 254 17 , 288 1 inserts between Ismâ'îl and Mîtham the name Şâliḥ. See, however, ib. 288 note α .

على بن اسمعيل , also ابن ميثم, also ابن على بن اسمعيل. Goldziher, Shi'a 510⁶ الهيثمي (cf. ib. n. 5).

³ There is one الطيار mentioned Kashi 176³ among the intimates of Ja'far as-Ṣâdik who may be identical with him. Ja'far alludes to the meaning of the name (179³), so that a mere copyist's error is out of the question.

— L. 11. Perhaps the reason for it is that the Rawâfid have [51] no hesitation to change their minds, as they attribute the same (see on the Badâ doctrine, p. 72°) to God.

The belief in "tabdîl" is, properly considered, the basis of Shiitic doctrine. It accounts for the lack of the 5 Prophet's written announcement regarding the succession of Ali and justifies the distrust toward the bearers of the Sunna, which again is the starting point for a complete remodelling of Islam. Isfr. 14^b ably summarizes the far-reaching consequences of this belief: فَرَف الاماميّة نكرناهم من فِرَف الاماميّة وأعلم ان جميع مَنْ ذكرناهم من فِرَف متّفقون على تكفيم العجابة ويدّعون أن القرآن قد غُيّر عمّا كأن ووقعت فيه الزيادة والنَّقْصان من قِبَل العَصَابة ويرعمون انه قد كان فيه النصُّ على امامة على فأسقطتْه العجابةُ عنه [15] ويزعمون انَّه لا اعتمادَ على القران الآن ولا على شيء من 16 الْأَخْبارِ الْمُرْدِيَّةِ عِن الْمُصْطَفَى صلَّى الله عليه ويزعمون أنه لا اعتمادَ على الشريعة التي في أَيْدى المسلمين وينتظرون امامًا يسمّونه المهديَّ يخرج ويعلّمهم الشريعةَ وليسوا في الحال على شهر من الدين وليس مقصودُهم من هذا الكلام تحقيقَ الكلام في الامامة ولكن مقصودَهم إِسْقاطُ كَلْفَةِ تكليفِ الشريعة عن وأَنْفسهم حتى يتوسّعوا في استحلال الحرّمات الشَّرْعيّة ويعتذروا عند العوام بما يدعونه من تحريف الشريعة وتغيير القرآن من عند العجابة ولا مريد على هذا النوع من الكُفْر أذ لا بقاء فيه على شمر من الدين.

More comprehensively, and, as is to be expected, from a higher point of view does I. H. deal with this problem. Hav-25 ing proved that the Gospels had been interpolated, I. H. (Ed. II, 76 f.) quotes two Christian counter-arguments which he tries elaborately to refute. The first is that the Caliph Othman removed numerous readings from the Koran, and the other

[51] "that the Rawafid maintain that the Companions of your Prophet altered the Koran by way of omissions and additions." The first objection I. H. discards briefly, though somewhat superficially, by pointing out that in the time of Othman the ⁵ Koran text was already so wide-spread and so firmly established. that the Caliph could not, even if he would, change it.' "As for their argument regarding the Rawafid and their contention that the Koran readings were interpolated, the Rawafid do not belong to the Muslims. They consist of a number of sects, the 10 first of which arose twenty-five years after the Prophet's death. It was originally the response of some people abandoned by Allah to the call of those who beguiled Islam, 2 a party which followed the course of the Jews and Christians as regards falsehood and They are divided into various sections. The most 15 extravagant of them assume the divinity of Ali b. Abî Tâlib and of a number of people besides him. The least extravagant of them believe that the sun was twice turned backwards for Ali.3 How can one be indignant over lies coming from people whose lowest rank in lying is such (as described)?" He then pro-20 ceeds elaborately to refute this charge. He cleverly beats the Rawafid with their own weapons by pointing (Ed. II, 8016) to the fact that Ali himself, "who according to most of them is a god, a creator, and, according to some of them, a prophet endowed with speech, while in the opinion of the rest he is an 25 infallible Imam, the obedience to whom is a religious command imposed by Law," did not object to the Koran in its present shape and, while Caliph, did not fight the interpolators, which would have been his sacred duty. "Thus the mendacity of the Rawafid becomes evident, and praise be unto Allah, the Lord 30 of (all) Created Beings!"

A brief reference to the same subject is contained Ed. IV, 146¹⁵: "unless the Rawâfid fall back on ignoring the Koran and (assuming) omissions and additions in it. This is something whereby becomes evident their impudence, ignorance and stupidity."

A thorough discussion of the whole question and a refutation of the charges raised as well by modern scholars can be found in Nöldeke, *Geschichte des Qorans*, p. 217 ff. See also Goldziher, *Muh. St.* II, 111 ff.

¹ Ed. II, 78³.

² See p. 16, n. 2.

- —L. 17. On Abû'l-Kâsim Ali Du'l-Majdein 'Ilm al-Hudâ [51] al-Murtadâ, the Nakîb of the Shiites, 355/966-436/1044, see Tusy, No. 472, p. 218; IKhall., No. 454. His negative attitude towards the 'tabdîl' doctrine is perhaps implied in Tusy's remark ولع مسائل كثيرة في نَصْرة الرواية. His genealogy appears 5 both in Ed. and Codd. in mutilated shape. I have restored it with the help of Gen. Leyd., Wüstenfeld, Tabellen Y 32, and Tusy. IKhall. (and following him, Brockelmann I, 404) omits Mûsa between Muhammed and Ibrâhîm.
- Note 12. "Better to be translated: 'yet at the same time 10 he openly and publicly declared himself a Mu'tazilite.' (The same in Text 1. 20.) Otherwise with or public could not be missing." (Nöldeke.)
- —L. 21 f. I could find nothing bearing on Abû Ya'la. As a possibility I would suggest his identity with at-Tusî, the 15 author of the frequently quoted List of Shy'ah books. He calls himself a pupil of Ali al-Murtadâ (List. p. 218, No. 472). He is counted Shahr. 145 among the writers of the Imâmiyya. A catalogue of his own writings, List, p. 285, No. 620.—سبلات seems 20 much easier. But ميلات designates the date, not, as we expect here, the place of birth.
- 52, l. 1. I have not been able to identify this Abû'l-Kâsim. [52] —L. 5 f. The belief in Transmigration is not characteristic of the Keisâniyya, but is rather, as I. H. himself points out 25 (Ed. IV, 19813), a logical consequence of the Mu'tazilite doctrine of Divine Justice which necessitates an exact retribution after death. This belief, however, is attributed to several men known as Keisânites, so to as-Sayyid al-Himyari (in our passage), Kuthayyir (p. 2627), 'Abdallah b. Mu'âwiya (p. 4411), 30 Abû Muslim, (p. 6410).—Makr. 3540 mentions a special sect "Tanâsukhiyya."

On the relation between Tanâsukh and Raj'a, see p. 26 f. See also next note.

¹ See Schreiner, Der Kalâm in der jüdischen Litteratur, p. 62 ff.

² Dahabî, Ta'rîkh al-Islâm, vol. VII (MS. Strassburg, not paginated) in the biography of as-Sayyid, quotes I. H. as authority for the assumption that as-Sayyid shared this belief.

[52] —L. 8 ff. The peculiar procedure described in this paragraph is the outcome of the belief in Transmigration. own expositions on the subject of Tanâsukh (Ed. IV, 9014 ff. in a special chapter) are apt to illustrate and explain our passage. 5 "Those that believe in the Transmigration of Souls are divided into two sections: one section holds that the souls on leaving the bodies are transferred to other bodies which are different from the kind of bodies they had left. This is the belief of Ahmad b. Hâ'it's [V + the pupil of an-Nazzâm], of Ahmad b. 10 Nânûs, his pupil [V.: the pupil of Ibn Hâ'it], of Abû Muslim of Khorâsân, of Muhammed b. Zakarîyâ ar-Râzî, the physician, 6 who expressly advocates this (doctrine) in his book entitled "al-'Ilm al-Ilâhî." This is also the belief of the Carmathians $[V+the\ Keisâniyya\ and\ some\ of\ the\ Rafida]$. . . These peo-15 ple are of the opinion that the Transmigration of Souls takes place in the form of Punishment and Reward. They say: the soul of the sinner who has made himself guilty of bad actions is transferred to the bodies of repulsive animals, which wallow in all kinds of filth, which are forced to work, are inflicted with 20 pain, and are used for slaughtering." See also Ed. IV, 198'ff. The addition of L. Br. is not justified. hatred of the Rawafid concentrates itself on Abû Bekr and 'Omar. See the interesting remark Milal V, 600 8 وعمر رضّى الله عنهما تُعاديهما الرافضة . . . وعثمان وعلى تعاديهما الخوارج.

An instance of the intense hatred of the Shiites towards the "two Sheikhs" which is as curious as it is typical is quoted

ومن هَفَواتهم المُحْنثة ما روَوْه في معتبَرات كُتُب : Mirza fol. 526

¹ I add a few important variants from Cod. V (50°), L siding with Ed.
² Ed. I 90¹⁶ strike out وان . V: الحساد اخر من غير نوع

³ Ed. حابط, see p. 10¹¹.

⁴ See p. 58⁶.

⁵ V. قابوس p. 10^{19 22}.

⁶ See de Boer, p. 77 ff.

⁷ The following differently worded in V.

⁸ =L. I, 42^b. I cannot identify the passage in Ed.

[52] أحاديثهم عن الصادق رضى الله عنه وهو ان احدًا مِنْ تَبَعِ اللّه عنه وهو ان احدًا مِنْ تَبَعِ اللّه حَعْفر بن محمد نجاء واحد (أحدُّ تعلى الله الله عنه الله جعفر بن محمد نجاء واحد (أحدُّ (read أحدُّ الذين كانوا يتشيّعونه وبيكرة قميصان فقال يا ابن رسول الله خِطتُّ واحدا (sic) منهما وبيكرة خيطةٍ رَحَّدتُ ربَّ الأَرْباب وخِطتُّ الآخرَ ولعنت بكلِّ منها عُمرَ بن الخطّاب ثم نذرتُ لك ما أَحْبَبْتَ منهما فما تُحِبّه خُذْه وما لا تُحِبّه رُدِّةِ قال فقال الصادق أحبّ ما تمّ بلَعْن عمر وأرُد اليك الذي خِيطَ بذِكْم الله الاكبر.

The story is not impossible. At any rate: se non e vero . . . — L. 17. On Hishâm see also Text p. 74^{22} ff., 75^{22} .—Hishâm 10 b. al-Hakam Abû Muhammed al-Ahwal ar-Râfidî (al-Harrâr, Masudi VII, 231) was born in Wâsit (Kashi 165), but lived in Kufa as a client of the Banû Asad (Text 52, note 10), or of the Banû Kinda (Kashi; Fihr. 175; Tusy, p. 355, No. 771). He moved to Bagdad³ in 199 and is said to have died in the same year. ⁴ 15 He belonged to the intimate circle of Mûsa b. Ja'far (p. 39¹°), but he had also, when still a young man (Kashi 167), come in contact with Ja'far (Fihr., Tusy), who converted him from his heresies to the orthodox Imamitic belief (Kashi). In spite of the difference in opinion, he held intimate intercourse with 20 'Abdallah b. Yazîd, the founder of the Kharijite sect Ibâdiyya, Masudi V, 343.

He was considered an authority on the Imamate question. When a Syrian once came to Ja'far and insisted, among other things, on having an argument about the Imamate, he was 25 referred to Hishâm (Kashi 179). The theory of the Imamate is the central point of his doctrine. He compared the Imamate

¹ Ja'far as-Sâdik.

² This either refers to Sheiţân aţ-Ṭâţ (p. 59°) or to Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam, this page, l. 11. They both bore the nickname al-Aḥwal.

³ Kashi quotes an exact topographical description of his Bagdad residence by an eye-witness.

⁴ According to Kashi, he died in Kufa twenty years earlier, 179, during the reign of ar-Rashid. But this can scarcely be correct, as he was a young man during Ja far's (died 146) lifetime. See the following.

- [52] with the heart in the human body, Masudi VII, 234, 236. See his pretty and elaborate comparison of the limbs with the Imamate, Kashi 176.' He belonged to the Kiṭṭṭiʿiyya, who admitted Mūsa b. Jaʿfarʾs death, p. 51¹¹.
 - ⁵ In the domain of Kalâm, Hishâm occupied a prominent position. He was the representative of a grossly anthropomorphistic doctrine and, in conjunction with Hishâm al-Juwâlîkî (p. 132**), was considered the founder of the Hishâmiyya sect, Bagd. 19b, 125*; Isfr. 14*, 15*, 54b; Shahr. 18, 60, 76, 141 ff.; 10 Iji 346.
 - L. 18. See Text 75²⁴. Abû Ali is called the pupil or adherent (ṣâḥib) of Hishâm in the other sources as well. His by-name is uncertain; see the variants p. 52 note 12 and 75 note 13. Masudi VI, 369 has السكال; Shahr. 145 شكال, the same 15 Fihr. 176 (var. السكال). I have adopted the reading of L Text 75²⁴: "ash-Shakkâk," "the sceptic." Masudi expressly designates him as Imamite. Shahr. counts him among the writers of the Imâmiyya. The title of his book recorded Fihr. ib. points to the same thing: كتاب على مَنْ أَبَى رُجُوبَ الأَمَامَة على المَامَة على
 - L. 19. Comp. the discussion of this question Ed. II, 128. An elaborate account of Hishâm's theory of Divine Knowledge is given Bagd. 20^b and Shahr. 59 ff. It became popular not only with Shiites, e. g., the Sheitâniyya (p. 59¹³), Isfr. 54^b; Shahr. 25 142; Iji 347; Makr. 353; or Zurâra b. A'yun (Shahr., Makr.), but also with Mu'tazilites, the famous al-Jubbâ'î approving of it (Shahr. 59).
- [53] 53, l. 1. "Abû'l-Hudeil b. Makhûl al-'Allâf," a client of the 'Abd al-Keis of Basra, one of the leaders and foremost men of the Mu'tazila" (Ed. IV, 1921), died about 235 (Shahr. 37; IKhall. No. 6174; Zeid. Mutaz. 28) at an extremely old age

ا Ja'far is so delighted with his expositions that he exclaims بعنا مكتوب في فحف ابراهيم وموسى, ib. 177. [Cf. I Cor. 12, 12 ff.]

Makr. 348² calls it also al-Hakamiyya, after the name of his father (comp. Goldziher, ZDMG. 61, 75 n. 2).

كان يلقَّب بالعَلّاف لأنّ داره بالبصرة كانت في 25 Zeid. Mutaz. و العَلّافين.

⁴ IKhall. gives besides 226 and 227. Iji 336 has erroneously 135.

(Zeid. Mutaz.).—He was an opponent of anthropomorphism. [53] On his doctrines see de Boer 49 ff.

On his disputes with Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam see the sources quoted p. 66, ll. 12–13, espec. Shahr. 18, 141. According to Zeid. Mutaz. 26 and somewhat in contradiction with 53 note 1 and 5 this page, l. 27, Abû'l-Hudeil, while on a pilgrimage to Mekka, paid a visit to Kufa and there met Hishâm and other opponents, with whom he victoriously argued about subtle Kalâm matters.

- L. 2. This utterance is attributed—erroneously as Makr. 3485 points out—to Mukâtil b. Suleimân (p. 1130), see also 10 Shahr. 141.—The purport of this utterance is rather obscure, in spite of the following two notices which sound more intelli-وحكم بعضهم عن هشام انه قال في معبوده : Bagd. 204: انه سبعة أَشْبار بشِبْر نفسه كأنّ قاسه على الانسان لأنّ كلّ . Similarly 15 انسان في الغالب من العادة سبعة اشبار بشبر نفسه Mirza fol. 80^b from Imâm ar-Râzî's (died 606/1209) Milal wa'n-وبعاقبتِ رأي او بدان قَرار خُرفت كه هفت بدست است Wiḥal نيركه اين مقدار از همه مقدارها معتدلترست. Accordingly, the most proportionate human figure is that whose height ("length," 53 note 2) is seven times the size of its own "span," 20 and Hishâm, who was excessively anthropomorphistic (p. 66°), conceived God as a human figure of the most proportionate size. But "span" (shibr) is too large in this connection. Perhaps it signifies here a smaller measure (see Dozy sub voce).

Interesting and characteristic of Hishâm's doctrine is the 25

موذكر ابو الهذيل في بعض كُتُبه انّه لقى هشام : notice Bagd. 20a ابو الهذيل في بعض كُتُبه انّه لقى هشام الهراك المعبودُه بن الحكم بهكّة عند جبل ابى قُبينس فسأله ايّما اكبر معبودُه الم هذا الجبلُ قال فأشار الى انّ الجبل يُوفي عليه تعالى (أَيْ add (أَيْ

ان الجبل اعظم منه. "Hishâm indicated that the mountain towered above Him the Exalted, i. e. (he meant to say) that 30 the mountain was bigger than God."

- L. 3. The reading adopted in the text is found Text p. 75²³ and Bagd. 124^a (with a soft winder the line). الجوارى occurs frequently, see the variants 53 n. 4 and 75 n. 11, Shahr.

is found Shahr. [53] 77 (=Haarbr. 115); Wolff, Drusen 48. الجواربتي is found Shahr. 143 (Haarbr. 215); Isfr. 55a and is also reflected in the reading of Ed. in our text, note 4.—On his extravagantly anthropomorphistic doctrines see the sources just quoted, espec. Shahr. 143. - L. 6. I. H. refers twice to the same belief in his Milal. Ed. II, 78°: "Those of them (the Shiites) who are the least extravagant (still) believe that the sun was turned back twice for Ali b. A. T." Ed. V, 322, in discussing the question whether miracles can be performed by non-prophets, he refers to "the 10 claim of the Rawafid that the sun was turned back twice for Ali b. A. T." He quotes as illustration a poem of as-Sayyid al-Himyari referring to the turning back of the sun, in order to enable Ali to recite the prescribed prayer (see later), and to the same miracle happening a second time—if the reading be cor-15 rect—in Babylon ('Irâk). He further quotes a poem by Habîb b. Aus (Abû Tamâm, died 231) of which the last verse reads thus: "By Allah, I do not know whether Ali has appeared to us and the sun has been turned back for him, or whether Joshua has been among the people." He points out, however, that the 20 verse in this form is a forgery and that the correct reading offers something entirely different.2

I am not certain as to the meaning of مغرب (sic) المخالق. In L follows a rhymed refutation by Ibn Ḥazm which is missing in Ed. The text is too doubtful to allow of a reproduction.

قال ابو محمد وانما الرواية المحيحة أ فَوَاللّهِ مَا أَدْرِي أَأَحُلامُ نائِم ﷺ أَلَمّتْ بِنا كَأَنَّ فِي آلْقَوْم يُوشُعَ This remark is missing in Ed. In the second verse L offers the undoubtedly correct reading وانطوى لبَهْ جتها ثَوْبُ السماء الحَزَّعُ

¹ The quotation from as-Sayyid which is found in L. II, 1666 is omitted in Ed. and runs as follows: [الكامل] رُدَّتْ عَلَيْمِ ٱلشَّمْسُ حِينَ يَفُوتُهُ ﴿ وَتْتُ ٱلصَّلَاةِ وَقَلْ دَنَتْ لِلْمَغْرِبِ رَدَّتْ عَلَيْمِ نُورُهَا فَى وَقْتِها ﴿ لِلْعَصْرِ ثُمَّ هَوَتْ هَوَى ٱلكواكِب رَدَّتَ يُلِحَ نُورُها فَى وَقْتِها ﴿ لِلْعَصْرِ ثُمَّ هَوَتْ هَوَى ٱلكوكِب (الكوكب رَاكُولِيب وَعَلَيْمِ قَلْ رُدَّتْ بِعَلْقِ مَغْرِب وَعَلَيْمِ قَلْ رُدَّتْ بِعَلْقِ مَغْرِب

The miracle of the standstill of the sun is reported in con-[53] nection with Ali in two cases. In one case the sun halted to enable Ali to complete the conquest of a besieged city. The Sunnites claim this miracle for the Prophet (see Goldziher, Muh. St. II, 331 and at the end of this note). In the other 5 Muhammed bids the sun to rise again to enable the belated Ali to recite the afternoon prayer, Goldziher ib., and note 9. It seems that official Shiitic tradition takes cognizance merely of the latter case. At least it is the only one which figures as "the Hadîth of the Turning back of the Sun" recorded by 10 Sibt, Imams fol. 32a. I reproduce the chapter in extenso as it gives an exhaustive presentation of the subject and contains, besides, numerous points of interest.

حديث رَنّ الشهس ' انا ابو القاسم عبد المُحْسن بن عبد الله الله الله بن احبد الطوسي قال اخبرنا ابو الحسين بن النقور اخبرنا ابن خبابة الطوسي قال اخبرنا ابو الحسين بن النقور اخبرنا ابن خبابة بنا البَعْوي ثنا طالوت بن عباد بن ابراهيم بن الحسن عن فاطبة بنت الحسين عن أُسْهاء بنت عُمَيْس قالت كان رأس رسول الله صلّى الله عليه وسلّم في خُرْ على عليه السلام وهو يُوحَى الله عليه وسلّم في خُرت الشهس فقال رسول الله صلّى الله عليه الله عليه وسلّم في فربت الشهس فقال رسول الله صلّى الله عليه الله ملّى أنّه كان في طاعتك وطاعة رسولك فاردُدْ عليه الشهس فردّها الله له وقد ضعّف قوم هذا الحديث وذكره الشهس فردّها الله له وقد ضعّف قوم هذا الحديث وذكره جدّى أن في كتاب الموضوعات وقال في إسْناده جماعة ضُعَفاء وسياهم ثم قال وصلوة العصم صارت قضاء ولا يُفيد رجوعُ والشهس [32] قلتُ قد حكى القاضى عِياضٌ في كتاب الشِّفا

 $^{^{1}}$ Jamâl ad-Dîn Abû'l-Faraj al-Jauzî, died 597/1200, Brockelmann I, 500.

² Here begins the quotation.

³ See the definition given by Ta'rifât in Freytag's Lexicon sub voce.

⁴ Died 544/1149, Brockelmann, I, 369.

بتعريف حُقوق المُصْطَفَى عن الطَّحاويّ الَّه ذكره في شرح [53] مُشْكِل الحديث وقال رُويَ عن طريقَين صحيحَيْن عن اسماء بنت عميس أن النبيّ صلّى الله عليه وسلّم كان رأسه في خُر على عليه السلام وهو يُوحَى اليه وذكَّرتُه وَقْتَه (وفعه Ms. عليه السلام وهو يُوحَى اليه وذكَّرتُه رسول الله صلَّى الله عليه وسلَّم أُصلَّيْتَ العصر فقال لا فقال ٥ رسول الله اللهُمَّ انَّه كان في طاعتك وطاعة رسولك فأردُدُ عليه الشمسَ قالت اسماء فرأيتُها طلعتْ بعد ما غربتْ ووقفتْ على رُوس الجبال وذلك بالصَّهْباء * ف خُيبَمَ قال الطحاوي وهاتان الروايتان ثابتتان ورُواتُها ثِقاتٌ قال الطّحاريّ كان احمد بن صالح يقول لا ينبغى لمَنْ سبيلُه العِلْمُ التَّخَلُّفُ عن حديث 10 اسماء لانه من عَلامات النبوّة وقوله صارت صلوة العصر قَضاء قلتُ اذا كان رجوعُ الشمس من عَلامات حجّةِ نبوّةِ نبيّنا عليه السلام فكذا تصير صلاة العصر أدآء حُكْمًا لانّ القضاء يحكر الفائتَ والعَجَبُ من هذا وقد ثَبَتَ في الصحيم ان الشبس حُبِسَتْ ليوشع بن نون ولا يتخلو إمّا أن يكون ذلك مُعْجرةً 15 لموسى عليه السلام [33°] او ليوشع فإِنْ كان لموسى فنبيُّنا صلَّى الله عليه افضل وعلى عليه السلام اقرب اليه من يوشع الى موسى وان كان معجرةً ليوشع فلا خلاف ان عليًّا عليه السلام افضل من يوشع لأنّ أَدْنَى أَحْواله ان يكون كواحد (? من الانبياء add) وقد قال صلى الله عليه عُلَماء أُمَّتي كأَنْساء 20

¹ Died 321/933, Brock., I, 173.

² The author of *Disputatio pro religione Mohammedanorum adversus Christianos* (wrote about 942/1535), ed. van den Ham, Leyden 1890, p. 243, quotes this hadith almost verbatim.

[53] بنى اسرائيل فعلم ان الحديث ثابث وفي الباب حكاية عجيبة وحكاها جماعة من مَشايخنا قالوا جلس ابو منصور المظهّر ابن اردشيم العبادي الواعظ بالتاجية مدرسة بباب ابرز ببغداد بعد العصم وذكر حديث رُدَّنِ الشهسُ وشرع في فضائل اهل البيت فنشأت سحابة عَطَتِ الشهسَ حتى ظنّ الناس انها قد غابت فقام ابو منصور على المِنْبَم قائمًا وأوصى الى الشهس وارتجل في الحال وقال [الكامل]

لاَ تَغْرُبِي يَا شَهْسُ حِينَ يَنْتَهِى ﴿ مَنْ حِي لِآلُهُ صُطَفَى وَلِنَجْلِهِ وَأَثْنِي عَنَانَكِ إِنْ أَرَدْتِ ثَنَآءَهُمْ ﴿ أَنْسِيتِ إِذْ كَانَ ٱلْوُقُوفُ لِأَجْلِهِ وَأَثْنِي عَنَانَكِ إِنْ أَرَدْتِ ثَنَآءَهُمْ ﴿ اللَّهُ أَنْسِيتِ إِذْ كَانَ ٱلْوُقُوفُ لِأَجْلِهِ وَلِرَجْلِهِ وَلِرَجْلِهِ فَلْ كَانَ لِلْمَوْلَ وَقُوفُكِ فَلْيَكُنْ ﴿ هَٰذَا ٱلْوُقُوفُ لَخَيْلِهِ وَلِرَجْلِهِ وَلِرَجْلِهِ . وطلعت الشهس فلا يُدرَى ما دومي عليه من الأَمْوال والبَثاث . وطلعت الشهس فلا يُدرَى ما دومي عليه من الأَمْوال والبَثاث

In conclusion follows a lengthy poem bearing on this hadith by Ibn 'Abbâd called Kâfi'l-Kufât (died 385).

It is clear from this account that the legend wavers between the standstill of the sun (see the legend quoted at the beginning; 15 the verses just quoted speak in the same way of "Wukûf") and its rising again, the latter being represented in the hadith attributed to Asmâ. The two forms of the legend bear the same relation to one another as the solar miracle of Joshua (Joshua 10, 13) to the one under Hezekiah (II Kings 20, 11; 20 Is. 38, 8).

The hadith owes its origin to the Shiitic tendency to pattern the biography of Ali, the "waṣî" (legatee, cf. Introd. p. 22) of Muhammed, after Joshua, the waṣî of Moses. See another instance of this tendency, Shahr. 132. I believe for this reason 25 that the miracle referred to p. 69³ is originally a Shiitic invention and its transfer to Muhammed a polemical attempt on the part of the Sunnites.

¹ Died 547h.

Or رومي. I am not quite clear as to the meaning of this sentence.

[53] — L. 9. I am not certain as to the meaning of this line. Does the reference to the nearness of age (see note 9) imply a reproach against Asmâ, the author of the hadith? I cannot make out what the reference to the multitude of people, which 5 is missing in L. Br., is meant to convey here.

— L. 12. The doctrine of Badâ (i. e. "pleasing": if anything pleases God, he may change a previous decision) presupposes the belief in the changeability of the Divine Will (cf. p. 66²⁵) and is a counterpart of the orthodox belief in ¹⁰ Naskh (the abolition by God of a previously revealed Law). Generally this doctrine is regarded as a specific tenet of the Keisâniyya, Bagd. 11^b; Makr. 352²; Iji, who makes no mention of the Keisâniyya, enumerates in their stead the Badâ'iyya (348⁴). This belief is supposed to have been invented ad hoc ¹⁵ by Mukhtâr (p. 79¹⁷) when, contrary to his prophecies, he was defeated in battle, Bagd. 15^a; Isfr. 11^a; Shahr. 110. Wellhausen, however, points out (Opp. 88) that, according to Tab. II, 732¹⁰ and 706¹⁴, it was 'Abdallah b. Nauf who originated this doctrine, in opposition to Mukhtâr.¹

The Zeidite Suleimân b. Jarîr (p. 136') makes the Rawâfid (= Imâmiyya, Appendix A) in general responsible for this belief, Shahr. 119 penult. IBab., however, (I'tikadat fol. 6a) protests against those who charge the Imamites with Badâ. These people merely imitate the Jews who prefer the same charge (he 25 apparently means Naskh) against the Muslims. He quotes Ja'far as-Sâdik as saying that he who believes in Badâ is a Kâfir.

A curious instance of the application of the Badâ doctrine is quoted IAth. VIII, 21. Abû'l-Khattâb (p. 112) and his adhermonts claimed that no sword could do them any harm. But when some of them had been executed, he resorted to the pretext: "since it pleased God to do otherwise, how can I help it"?

اذا كان قد بدا لله فما حِيلتي.

¹ It must be remarked, however, that Tab. II, 732¹⁰, a variant, reads Mukhtâr instead of 'Abdallah b. Nauf.

 $^{^2}$ This passage is quoted Anon. Sufi fol. $120^{\rm a}$ in the name of Fakhr ad-Dîn ar-Râzî (died $606^{\rm h}$).

³ The text of this passage is apparently corrupt and does not allow of a reproduction.

25

- L. 13, I have not been able to find an authority for [53] this statement. The number of (official) wives legally permitted by law is four, Koran 4, 4.
- L. 14. On similar dietary restrictions by a Carmathian missionary see later p. 76¹⁴. The prohibition of cabbage is very ⁵ old. The pagans considered the eating of it disgraceful and the Harranians in later times clung to the same custom, Chwolsohn, Ssabier II, 110. In our passage apparently the red cabbage is referred to. The reason given for the prohibition reminds one vividly of the popular Shiitic notion—which 10 originally was no doubt but a poetical figure—that the sunset glow represents the blood of al-Husein and never existed before, Goldziher, Muh. St. II, 331.
- L. 18. This notion is probably the consequence of the great emphasis laid by the Shiites on the significance of the 15 name Ali ("Exalted"). One is reminded of Koran 19, 8, where the prediction of Yahya's (John's) birth is followed by the solemn declaration لَمْ خَعْلُ لَهُ مِنْ قَبْلُ سَعِيًا. Comp. also the stress laid on the identity of the Mahdi's name with that of the Prophet, p. 53.
- 54, l. 1. Comp. Wüstenfeld, *Tabellen* B 13.—"Von ihm [54] (i. e., Ali b. Bekr. b. Wâil) kommen alle, die im Stamme Nizâr mit ihrem Geschlechtsnamen 'Alawî genannt werden" (Wüstenfeld, *Register*, from Nawawî).
 - Note 1. See Wüstenfeld, Tabellen C 13.
 - L. 3. Azd. see ib., e. g., 11^{19,21}; Bajîla, e. g., 9¹⁶.
- Note 2. Ali b. Jasr b. Muḥârib b. Khasafa, ib. D 10.— Ali b. Mas'ûd, 11¹⁹.—'Abd Manât, N 9.—Hisn, grandson of Ali b. Mas'ûd, C 15.
- L. 5. 'Âmir b. aṭ-Ṭufeil, a contemporary of the Prophet, 30 ib. E 20. His kunya Abû 'Alî, see Agh., Tables sub voce أبوعلى.
- L. 9. This conception is not specifically Shiitic but rather belongs to the domain of Kalâm. Makr. 348¹⁰, at the end of his account on the Mu'tazila, mentions a special sect الْمُفْنِثَةُ الْقَاتُلُونِ 35
- بغناء الجنّة والنار. I. H. refers to it more explicitly Ed. IV, 83°1 ff. in a special chapter on "the eternal existence of the

[54] residents of Paradise and Hell": "All sects of the (Muhammedan) Community agree that there is no decay for Paradise and its pleasure nor for Hell and its pain. The only exceptions are Jahm b. Safwân, Abû'l-Hudeil al-'Allâf and some of the Rawâfid.

5 Jahm maintains that both Paradise and Hell will decay and their residents as well. Abû'l-Hudeil, however, maintains that neither Paradise and Hell nor their residents will decay. But the movements of the latter will decay and they will remain in an immovable state like a mineral. In spite of it, they will be alive and enjoy pleasure and suffer pain respectively. The party of the Rawâfid referred to above believes that the residents of Paradise will leave Paradise and the residents of Hell will leave Hell for some unknown destination (lit.: whither it is Allah's desire)." See Iji 336; Makr. 349²⁸.—On Abû'l-15 Hudeil's view see de Boer, p. 51.

A certain heretic by the name of 'Abdallah b. 'Abdallah b. Shuneif attacks a friend of I. H. on account of his belief in the eternity of Paradise and Hell, Ed. I, 19.

The way this view is contrasted with the belief in the decay of Paradise and Hell suggests a connection between them. In 30 point of fact, the belief in Transmigration, when carried out logically, not only necessitates the eternity of this world, but, fulfilling the function of Reward and Punishment, dispenses altogether with Paradise and Hell. IBab., Itikadat 12^b

¹ Comp. Kashi 177: an-Nazzâm (p. 586) said to Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam (p. 65¹¹): "The residents of Paradise will not exist in Paradise an eternal existence" and so forth.

² The last words most probably refer to the belief mentioned later, p. 85¹⁷ ff.

fully recognizes this connection: ومَنْ بالتناسيخ بالطلَّ ومَنْ [54] والقول بالتناسيخ بالطلَّ والنار الجنّة والنار .

— L. 12, ff. Bekrî, Description de l'Afrique Septentrionale, ed. de Slane, Alger, 1857, p. 161, gives a brief description of this sect which offers several important points of comparison 5 with the account of I. H. I give Bekrî's passage in translation: "To the right of the Banû Mâgûs there is a tribe called Banû Lamâs. They are all Rawâfid and known under the name Bajaliyyûn. There settled in their midst a Bajalite' of the people of Nafta in Kastilia, before Abû 'Abdallah ash-10 Shî'î entered Ifrikiya.² His name was Muhammed b. Wrstd

sic). He called upon them (read course) to denounce the Companions (of the Prophet) and permitted them forbidden things... They still adhere to his doctrine to this day and (believe) that the Imamate is permissible only in the descend-15 ants of al-Hasan, not in those of al-Husein. Their ruler was Idrîs Abû'l-Kâsim b. Muhammed b. Ja'far b 'Abdallah b. Idrîs."

The name of the founder of this sect appears here in a different form. IHaukal 65°1 (=Yakut I, 320) agrees with I. H. in calling him أبن رَرْعَنْك, but they omit the mention of his first 20 name. The name and pedigree of their ruler are altogether different and I have no means to decide which are the correct

As regards the cardinal doctrine of this sect—the limitation of the Imamate to the Hasanides—Bekrî agrees with I. H. (55, l. 5). 25 In contradiction with it, IHaukal (=Yakut) reports that they were Mûsawites (cf. p. 40), i. e. acknowledged the Imamate of Mûsa b. Ja'far, who was descended from al-Husein. The former statement is no doubt correct, as the Idrisides who ruled over them were Hasanides.

— L. 14. On Nafta see Yakut IV, 800. It is two days' journey from Kafsa, mentioned in the same line, ib. Kafsa, a small place (بلدة صغيرة), lies three days from Keirowan, ib.

ones.3

رجل بجلتي ، of the tribe Bajîla?

² i. e. before 280h.

³ Gen. Leyd. omits the Idrisides in Africa.

- [54] IV, 151. Kastilia mentioned here is not the Spanish province, but a region in Northwest Africa on the great Zâb, Yakut IV, 97; see also I, 892, IV, 151. The emendation proposed, note 11, is not necessary.
 - ⁵ It is worthy of notice that the people of this region, from which the founder of this Shiitic sect came, were Khârijites, Yakut IV, 97, 800.
 - L. 16. The city mentioned here is as-Sûs al-Aksa. It is fully two months' journey from as-Sûs al-Adna, Yakut III, 10 189.—On the Masmûda tribes, see Kremer, *Ideen* 383, note.
- [55] 55, l. 2. According to IḤaukal (=Yakut) ib. the two parties of the city (the others were Mâlikites) alternately worshipped in the same mosque.
 - L. 3. The prohibition seems to be of Hindoo origin. The 15 Laws of Manu V, 5, forbid the priest to eat (among other things): "garlick, onions, leeks and mushrooms, and all vegetables raised in dung." Comp. Chwolsohn, Ssabier II, 109.
 - Note 1. On 'Abdallah b. Yâsîn, the founder of the Almoravide dynasty (middle 11th century), see Dozy, *Isl.* 359 ff. 20 The by-name al-Muttawwi' I have not found elsewhere.
 - L. 7. See also Text, p. 80, l. 2. On Abû Kâmil, see Bagd. 121^a, 136^a; Shahr. 133; Iji 343; Makr. 352.
 - L. 17. See also Text 80, l. 4. The author of this contention, which is certainly not unjustified, is unfortunately not 25 known. The contention itself is not mentioned in the other sources.
 - L. 22. المتوسطة في الغلق more literally "who occupy the middle as regards 'extremism'." From the point of view of guluww the Shi'a appears divided into three parts: the Zei-30 diyya who are entirely free from it, the Imâmiyya who partly adhere to it (comp., e. g., Raj'â, Tanâsukh, etc.), and the Gâliya who unflinchingly profess it. The reading of L. Br. (note 6) "who keep back from guluww" is thus justified. However this may be, the Imamites themselves protest against 35 any affinity with the Gulât. IBab., Itikadat 22b (in a special)
 - chapter فَيْ فَقْي الغَلَوِّ emphatically declares that they are infidels.
 - Note 7. They betray Islam, because both Koran and Hadith insist that Muhammed is the last prophet, comp. Text 47, l. 8 f.

— Note 8. The reading of L. Br. is no doubt correct. Poly-[55] theism is not the charge usually preferred against the Jews by Muhammedan theologians. This would confirm our supposition as to the later date of Codd. L. Br., see Introd. p. 19.

56, l. 3. On the Gurâbiyya see IKot. 300; Iji 346; Makr. 5 353²²; Bagd. 98^a; Isfr. 58^b. The latter two and Iji state the [56] comparison more elaborately: "more than one raven the other one and one fly the other one." The adherents of this sect curse the "sâhib ar-rîsh," i. e. Jibrîl. In a parallel between the Rawâfid and the Jews put into the mouth of ash-Sha'bî (Ikd 269, 10 comp. p. 19¹⁶) the two are identified because of their dislike of Gabriel. Bagd. 98^b sorrowfully remarks that the Gâliya are even worse than the Jews, for the latter, though disliking Gabriel, yet abstain from cursing him.

In his polemics against Judaism, I. H. (Ed. I, 138°) very ¹⁵ cleverly draws a parallel between the Jews who believe that Isaac confounded Esau with Jacob and the Gurâbiyya. "This contention (of the Jews) very closely resembles the stupidity of the Gurâbiyya² among the Râfida who believe that Allah dispatched Jibrîl to Ali, but Jibrîl erred and went to Muhammed. ²⁰ In the same way Isaac blessed Esau, but the blessing erred and went to Jacob. Upon both parties (may rest) the curse of Allah!"

- L. 13 f. Ali was about thirty years younger than the Prophet (comp. Kremer, *Ideen*, p. 315). Consequently he was 25 ten years old when Muhammed made his first appearance. The same is assumed Ed. IV, 142¹⁵ and in the variant of L. Br. to our passage (note 7). The reading of Ed. seems to be incorrect. But there is a difference of opinion as to the date of Ali's birth, see I. H in the quoted passage and Tab. I, 3467¹² ff.
- L. 15 ff. On Muhammed's physical appearance see Ibn Hishâm I, 266; Tab. I, 1789 ff.; Nawawî, *Tahdîb* 32-33. It is interesting to observe that I. H is unprejudiced enough to point out that Muhammed was above middle-size. The other writers

¹ Allusion to Koran II, 91, comp. Geiger, Was hat Muhammed aus dem Judentum aufgenommen, p. 13.

 $^{^{2}}$ Ed. has الغالية, but V 80*, and L I, 54^{b} (which in this section of *Milal* sides with Ed.) have the correct reading.

³ V. + قالرسالة Ed. L. missing.

[56] anxiously insist that the Prophet was neither short nor tall, but the exact medium between the two.

57] 57, l. 1 ff. On Ali's appearance see Tab. I, 3470' (=IAth. III, 333); Tahdîb 441 penult.—Sibt, Imams fol. 4a, gives a كان آدَمَ شديدَ الأَدْمة عظيم العينين. القصر من الطّول عريض الحية غليظ الساعِدَيْن اقرب الى القصر من الطّول عريض الحية غليظ الساعِدَيْن اقرب الى القصر من الطّول عريض الحية (read غليظ الساعِدَيْن اقرب الى القصر من الطّول عريض الحية الماء Ali looked particularly short because of his corpulence. Whenever Ali appeared on the market of Kufa, the satirical Persians would exclaim 10 ناملة (TDMG. 38, 392, from Madâinî). It is characteristic that both Sunnitic and Shiitic writers anxiously avoid to mention this feature of Ali which is so repugnant to the Arabic taste. Of all the sources at my disposal I find, besides the reference quoted (بطينًا).

- L. 11. The number 23 is not exact. The interval between Muhammed's first appearance and his death was $21\frac{1}{2}$ lunar years; see the list in Sprenger, Leben Muhammed's I, 205.
 - L. 12. On ظيف (note 17) see p. 5516.
- 20 58, l. 3. The exclusive (note 3) reverence of Ali is charac-[58] teristic of several sects: the Sabâiyya (Text 71¹⁸) and the 'Ulyâniyya and Nuṣeiriyya, which, according to I. H. (Text-66, l. 17 and 71, l. 18), are branches of the former.
 - The persons named in the following are the — L. 4 ff. 25 twelve Imams of the Ithnâ'ashariyya. The biographical data concerning these Imams can best be learned from the list in Abu'l-Maali, p. 164-165, see Schefer's Introduction, p. 184 f. A more detailed account Diyarbekri II, 286-288. The omission (in l. 6) of the tenth Imam, Ali b. Muhammed (al-Hâdi at-Takî, 30 born 214, died 254), is, it seems, not accidental. For in accordance with it, Ali (l. 7) is changed to Muhammed. The same omission and the same change are exhibited by Codd. L. Br. Text p. 76, note 4 and 5.1 Whether this peculiar error is due to his proximity to the eighth Imam, who bears the same name, 3 or to some more significant circumstance, is difficult to determine.

¹ Ed. IV, 103 ^{10,1} his genealogy is given correctly.

- Note 6. It is worthy of note that the benediction is [58] added only after the name of Ja'far. Ja'far aṣ-Ṣâdik (died 146) was not only the patron-saint of the Shiites. He was also highly esteemed by the Sunnites, see p. 105¹². Cf. ZDMG. 50, 123.
- L. 10. On the Carmathians, see p. 19, l. 32. Muhammed ⁵ b. Ismā'îl at-Tâmm, "the Completer," is the seventh and last "open" Imam in the belief of the Sab'iyya, or "Seveners." After him begins the series of hidden Imams, Shahr. 127 ff., 146. The Carmathian missionary Yahya b. Dikrweih pretended that he was this Muhammed, Tab. III, 2218 (anno 289).
- L. 12. Read: "This is a party." On the Keisâniyya, see p. 33 ff. The Keisâniyya do not agree as to whether Muhammed b. al-Ḥanafiyya inherited the Imamate directly from Ali, or indirectly through Ḥasan and Ḥusein, Shahr. 110. Kuthayyir (p. 134²⁸) speaks of four Imams, comp. Barbier de 15 Meynard in Journal Asiatique, 1874, p. 164.
- L. 13. On Mukhtâr, see Shahr. 110 (he distinguishes between the Keisâniyya and Mukhtâriyya). Very elaborate accounts on Mukhtâr with specimens of his saj' can be found Bagd. 12^b ff.; Isfr. 10^b ff. Wellhausen, Opp. 74 ff., gives an 20 elaborate sketch of his personality.
- On Mugîra see the passages in Index.—Text 34, [59] 59, l. 1ff. n. 5, Ed. and Codd. have bnu Abi Sa'îd. Ed. I. 112 ult. and elsewhere correctly. Sa'd instead of Sa'îd occurs Agh. XIX. 58. Ikd 267. Abu'l-Maali 157, gives him the by-name 25 اثير. According to Shahr. 134, Makr. 3531 (=de Sacy XLVI) he was a "client" of Khâlid al-Kasrî, who afterwards executed It is possible, however, that this is a mere inference drawn from the fact that Khâlid's clan Kasr belonged, as did Mugîra (l. 2), to the Bajîla tribe (IKot. 203; IKhall. No. 212). He 30 is specifically designated as al-'Ijlî (of the Banû 'Ijl) Shahr. 134; Iji 344; Makr. 349², 353¹; Bagd. 95^b; İsfr. 54^b, 56^a; Tabarî Index (in the text the statement is missing). This is significant in connection with van Vloten, Worgers, p. 57, and later, p. Mugîra rose against Khâlid b. 'Abdallah al-Kasrî, the 35 wâli of Kufa, in 119, accompanied by twenty (Kamil ed. Wright 2015; Makr. 3532), according to Tab. II, 16215 only by seven men. Despite their small number they spread such terror around them (the reason, see p. 9212 ff.), that Khâlid, who chanced

[59] to be in the pulpit when he heard of their uprising, came near fainting and asked for a glass of water, an action which made him the object of general ridicule, *Kâmil* ib., *Agh.* XIX, 58, XV, 121 (here they are called by the general name al-Ja'fariyya, see p. 107¹²), Makr. 353², van Vloten, *Worgers*, 58. The rebels were crucified, Tab. I, 1620²; IKot. 300 ("in Wâsit"); *Ikd* 267 (probably quotation from IKot.). According to another version (Tab. 1620^b ff.; I. H. Text 60, l. 17; *Ikd* ib.), they were burned at the stake.

10 An exposition of Mugîra's doctrines is found Shahr., Iji, Makr., IKot., Ikd, very elaborately Bagd. 95^b and, more briefly, Isfr. 56^a. His tenets, which show all the earmarks of "guluww," seem to have exercised a powerful influence in ultra-Shitic circles. The Imamites solicitously reject any connection 15 with Mugîra, see the article on Mugîra, Kashi 145 ff. Ja'far aṣ-Ṣâdik is reported as saying that all the extravagant views to be found in the writings of his father's (Muhammed al-Bâkir's, died 117^b) followers are forgeries of Mugîra, ib. 146, 147.

His system, if system it be called, presents an odd mixture of 20 ancient Eastern beliefs and distinctly shows the influence of gnostic, notably of Mandæan and Manichæan, doctrines. The Mandæans were very numerous in Irâk; at the time of the Abbassides they are said to have had there 400 churches. Their head resided in Bagdad. The Manichæans, too, were identizefied with Irâk. Mani was born in Babylonia, and he was believed (according to al-Birûnî) to have been sent to the people of Babylonia only. Their head had to reside in Babylonia. The Harrânians, too, who may be mentioned in this connection, were very numerous in Irâk. On these influences see van Vloten, Chitisme 47; Blochet 135, the latter also in Revue de l'histoire des Religions, XL (1899), p. 25, note 1.4

It can scarcely be doubted that ultimately all these influences root in the ancient religion of Babylonia; see Kessler ibidem,

¹ Kessler, Article "Mandäer" in PRE³, XII (1903), p. 172.

² Kessler, Article "Manichäer" ibidem, p. 226, Flügel, Mani 97, 105.

³ Chwolsohn, Ssabier I, 482 ff.

⁴ It is perhaps not insignificant that a part of the Banû 'Ijl (see above p. 79³¹) who lived in Baḥrein "completely passed into the Persian nationality." Goldziher, "Islamisme et Parsisme" in Revue de l'histoire des Religions XLIII (1901), p. 23.

passim, the same, "Gnosis und alt-babylonische Religion" in [59] Abhandlungen des 5. Orientalistencongresses (Berlin, 1882), p. 297 ff.

In the following an attempt is made to point out the various sources of Mugîra's doctrines. It does not claim to be more 5 than an attempt. A closer acquaintance with the religions and literatures under consideration will no doubt bring to light far more numerous points of contact.

— L. 5. The corporeal conception of the Godhead was current in Shiitic circles, see, e. g., p. 67. The crown in this con-10 nection is found in various philosophemes. In the Cabbala the "Crown" (כתר) is the highest of the Ten Sefîroth (Spheres). The latter are represented in the shape of a man with a crown on his head; comp. the diagram in the Jewish Encyclopedia I, 181^b and in the Hebrew Encyclopedia (אוצר ישראל), New 15 York, 1907, I, 183. See also later, p. 83.

According to Shahr.; Makr. 349⁴; Bagd. 95^b f. and others, Mugira believed that God was a man of *light* bearing a crown of *light*. This reminds one of the Mandæan doctrine of the "King of Light." Brandt, Mandäische Religion (Göttingen 20 1889) §§ 19-20, 80-81; the same, Mandäische Schriften (Göttingen 1893), p. 13-19.

- L. 6. Bagd. 96° is less scrupulous and adds two more instances: 'Ain for the eye and Hâ for the pudenda. A very similar description of God is quoted in the name of the Gnos-25 tics by Irenaeus, adversus Haereticos 2 XIV, 3. A Jewish parallel, see in Gaster, "Das Schiur Komah," Monatsschrift für Geschichte und Wissenschaft des Judentums 37 (1893), p. 225. Similar speculations about the shape of the letters in the name all and has (the latter in Kûfic), see Blochet, 133, 192.
- L. 12 ff. This peculiar theory of Creation is evidently the reflection of a Gnostic doctrine. Irenaeus, adversus Haeret. XIV 1, reports a similar theory in the name of the Gnostic

¹ I wish to acknowledge my indebtedness to my friend and colleague, Prof. Louis Ginzberg, who lent me his effectual aid in pointing out the Rabbinical illustrations, the latter, too, bearing witness to the same influences. I profited by his valuable advice also in other parts of this treatise.

² The following quotations all refer to the first Book.

VOL. XXIX.

[59] Marcus¹: "When first the unoriginated, inconceivable Father, who is without material substance, and is neither male nor female, willed to bring forth that which is ineffable in Him, and to endow with form that which is invisible, He opened His 5 mouth, and sent forth the Word, similar to Himself . . . Moreover, the pronunciation of His name took place as follows: He spake the first word of it which was the beginning [of all the rest] and that utterance consisted of four letters. He added the second," and so forth. In Jewish Mysticism similar 10 notions can be traced. Comp. Menakhoth 29b: "God created the two worlds (this and the future world) through the letters Hê and Yôd (constituting the Divine name Yâh)." See also Berakhoth 55^a. A similar theory is elaborately set forth in Sefer Yesîrah and is to be found in other ancient mystical 15 works. It may be mentioned in this connection that under the influence of a similar notion the Mandæan verb "לכא " to call" has assumed the meaning "to create." See Kessler, art. "Mandäer" ibid. p. 16439 and p. 165.

— L. 12. The "Greatest Name" is, as was already pointed 20 out by de Sacy XLVII, note, identical with the "Shêm ha-Mephôrash," the "Ineffable Name" which occupies so prominent a place in the Jewish mystical speculations of all ages (see M. Grünbaum, Gesammelte Aufsätze (Berlin 1901), p. 238 ff.; Revue des Études Juives 19, 290 f.). It plays an important part in 25 Islam as well, and here, too, the belief is current that by means of the Ineffable Name all miracles can be easily performed; see, e. g., Ikd 396, and (as an illustration) IKhall. No. 756. Most of the sources dealing with Mugîra report that he claimed

¹ I quote the translation of Roberts and Rambaut, Edinburgh, 1868.

² According to I. H., the Jews believed that the sorcerers were able to resuscitate the dead by means of Divine names and that Jesus was able to do the same and to perform miracles generally by the same means, Cod. V 926 (missing in Cod. L and Ed. I, 156, which is shorter in this part of the work): وَإِنَّهُم كُلُّهُم مَتَّفَقُونِ عَلَى انَّ السَّحَةُ وَعَم لا يَخْتُلُونِ فَي انَّ يُعْمِلُ الْآيَاتِ بِتَلْكُ الْاسِمَاءِ الكَاذِبِةُ التَّي عَلَيْهُ السَّلَامُ كَانَ يَعْمِلُ الْآيَاتِ بِتَلْكُ الْاسْمَاءِ الكَاذِبِةُ التَّي comp. S. Krauss in Jewish Encyclopedia VII, 171^a.

to be able to perform miracles and resuscitate the dead through [59] his knowledge of the "Greatest Name." Tab. ib. puts into his mouth the typical utterance that he had the power to bring to life the 'Ad and Thamûd and the generations that were between them.'

— L. 13. Instead of فوقع على تاجع "and it (the Greatest Name) fell (L. Br.: flew and fell) on his crown," Bagd., Shahr. and Iji 343 give the important variant فوقع على رأسه تاجًا "fell upon his head as a crown." He referred, as Shahr. and Bagd. tell us, to Koran 87, 1: وسَبِّع ٱسْمَ رَبِّكَ ٱلْأَعْلَى الذي

وزعم ان الاسم الأعثلى انها هو and, as Bagd. explains, وزعم ان الاسم الأعثلى انها هو and, as Bagd. explains, وزعم ان الاسم الأعثل انها هو "he assumed that the Highest Name was identical with this very crown." The same conception of the identity of the "Shêm ha-Mephôrash" with the Crown is frequently found in the Cabbala. It takes the form that the name was 15 engraved upon the Crown, see Jew. Enc. IV, 370° and 372° (the references can be multiplied).—On the crown of the Mandæan "King of Light" see Brandt, Mandäishe Schriften 13–19. The Mandæan priests wear during the service a crown (tâj) on the right upper arm, Kessler, article "Mandäer," p. 214 ult. 20

— L. 14. Apart from the words left out in Ed. (note 6), the passage reflects the ancient idea, also found in the Bible, that man's actions are written down in heaven. The additional words of L. Br. are confirmed by Shahr. 135²: وقد كتبها على .²

— L. 15 ff. This queer notion, too, has its root in some Gnostic doctrine. Irenæus, adv. Haeret. IV, 2, commenting upon the Gnostic belief that from the tears of Achamoth

¹ This is no doubt the original version. According to IKot. and (probably quoting) Ikd 267, he claimed this power for Ali. This may partly be the reason why these two writers designate Mugʻira as one of the Sabâiyya. For the latter was considered as the party of Ali $\kappa a r' \epsilon \xi_0 \chi / \nu$, see p. 101^{27} .

² Prof. Ginzberg suggests a connection with Is. 49, 16: "Behold I have engraved thee on my palms." It may be the consequence of some mystic interpretation of this verse.

[59] (הֹבְּלֵהֹת) "all that is of a liquid nature was formed," funnily remarks that he could easily enlarge upon it. "For when I perceive that waters are in part fresh... and in part salt,... I reflect with myself that all such waters cannot be derived from her tears, inasmuch as these are of a saline quality only. It is clear, therefore, that the waters which are salt are alone those which are derived from her tears. But it is probable that she, in her intense agony and perplexity, was covered with perspiration. And hence, following out their notion, we may conceive that fountains and rivers, and all the fresh waters in the world, are due to this source." A somewhat similar idea is found in the Talmud (Ḥagiga 13^b): "Whence does the stream Dînûr (Daniel 7, 10) come? From the perspiration of the Holy living Creatures." [Cf. Bereshith Rabba, ch. 78.]

The two lakes, then, are formed of the Divine tears and the Divine perspiration respectively. They no doubt correspond to the mdyé siydwé and the mdyé hiwdré, the "dark and white waters" of the Mandæans; see Brandt, Mandäische Religion, pp. 30, 43, 51, etc.—Instead of "sweet" (ll. 17 and 22) read 20 "fresh."

— L. 18 ff. The same conception is found in several Gnostic systems, notably among the Mandæans. "When Life.. had thus spoken, Abatur rose and opened the gate. He looked into the Dark Water, and at the same hour was formed his image 25 in the Dark Water. Ptahil² was formed and he ascended the Place of the Borders."

Illustrative of 1. 19 is the passage in Irenaeus XIV, 1: "The world, again, and all things therein, were made by a certain company of seven angels. Man, too, was the workmanship of 30 angels, a shining image bursting forth below from the presence of the Supreme power; and when they could not, he says, keep hold of this, because it immediately darted upwards again, they exhorted each other saying: let us make man after our image and likeness."

55 — L. 20. Out of the two eyes of the shadow only two luminaries could naturally be formed. For this reason I disre-

¹ Comp. also Kessler, article "Manichäer," p. 236 ult.: "The rain was considered to be the perspiration of the toiling archant."

² =Gabriel, the Demiurge of the Mandæans.

³ Brandt, Mandäische Schriften, p. 184, see also Kessler, ib. p. 210.

gard the additional reading of L. (note 12). Shahr. 1354 and [59] very similarly Bagd. speak of the sun and the moon. But our text seems to reflect a more complicated and, consequently, more original conception. Perhaps one may combine it with the well-known Jewish legend that originally the two luminaries were of equally large size and that the moon was subsequently reduced in size on account of its jealousy.

- L. 22. Sin, and correspondingly Evil as being primitive and co-existent with Creation, is a widespread Gnostic doctrine and is a consequence of Dualism, which is at the bottom of all 10 Gnostic systems. According to Irenaeus XXIV, 2, Saturninus "was the first to affirm that two kinds of men were formed by the angels,"—the one wicked, and the other good." —On the lakes see before.—Instead of "the Faithful," Makr. 353° has "the Shi'a." Bagd. says more explicitly:
- Extremely interesting in this connection is the passage Ed. IV, 69': "Some people among the Rawand are of the opinion that the spirits of the Infidels are in Burhut—this is a well in Hadramaut'—and that the spirits of the Faithful are in another place, I think it is al-Jabiya."
- 60, l. 1. This view is in all probability a reflection of the [60] Clementine doctrine of the "True Prophet" who appears in various ages under different names and forms, but is in reality one, Clementine Homilies III, 12 ff., 20; Recognitiones I, 16. He is called Christ but he is also identical with Adam, Recogn. 25 I, 45, 47. The persons in whom the true Prophet revealed himself are given Homilies XVII, 4 (in a statement by Simon Magus) as Adam, Enoch, Noah, Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses; in XVIII, 13 (in a reply by Peter) as Adam, Enoch, Noah, Abraham, Isaac, Jacob [and Christ]. In both the number 30

¹ Br. reads like Ed.—Note 12 is to be corrected accordingly.

² See above p. 84²⁹.

³ See a similar conception of the origin of evil, Clementine Homilies XX, 8, 9; XIX, 12 ff.

⁴ See Yakut I, 598, where this belief is derived from a tradition transmitted from the Prophet, Ali and Ibn 'Abbâs. Interesting is the remark that the water of this well is dark and stinking. al-Jâbiya is in Syria, *ibidem* and II, 4.

⁵ Comp. Ma'âni an-Nafs, ed. Goldziher, p. 62*.

- [60] seven is evidently intended. This is important in view of the numerous Shiitic doctrines which are based on the same number of prophets (see Index s.v. Seven).—The conception of the "true Prophet" is complemented, it seems, by the Clementine belief that God has the power of changing himself: "for through his inborn Spirit He becomes, by a power which cannot be described, whatever body He likes" (Homilies XX, 6). This is practically the doctrine of Incarnation, which is of such fundamental significance for the Ultra-Shi'a.—Another instance of the adaptation of a Clementine doctrine, see p. 116 n. 2.
 - L. 2. Jâbir died 128 or, according to another version, 132 (Tab. III, 2501). Either date contradicts the statement Bagd. 97° that he was among those who expected the "return" of Muhammed b. 'Abdallah (see l. 10) who died in 145: وهاوُلاء على الله المحمّدية من الرافضة لانتظارهم محمد بن عبد الله أله بن الحسن بن الحس
 - L. 3. 'Âmir b. Shurâhîl ash-Sha'bî (ash-Shu'bî is misprint) died 103 or 104. The sources dealing with this cele
 brated traditionist are enumerated Fihr. 183 note 14.—Shahr.

 145 counts him among the Shi'a. He appears Ikd 269 (=Isfr. 15a) as a bitter enemy of the Rawâfid. But the utterances put into his mouth are no doubt spurious. [See Index s.v. ash-Sha'bî.]
 - L. 4. Khâlid al-Kasrî (Kasr, a clan of the Bajîla) was exe30 cuted in the year 126 by his successor in the governorship of Kufa,
 Yûsuf b. 'Omar ath-Thakafî, in a most barbarous manner. See
 on Khâlid, IKhall. No. 212; IKot. 203; Agh. XIX, 53 ff.; Flügel,
 Mani 320-322. He frequently appears in our text as a relentless persecutor of heretics. But the motive for his attitude
 35 was evidently not religious zeal but loyalty to the Omeyyad
 dynasty, which was threatened by these heretics. His own
 orthodoxy was of a rather problematic nature. He was a

powerful protector of the Manichæans (Flügel, Mani, p. 105), [60] and his mother was a Christian. His achievements in the extermination of heretics were rewarded by a hadith in which the Prophet announces to his ancestor Asad b. Kurz that Islam will be victorious through his descendants, Goldziher, Muh. St. 5 II, 45 f.

- L. 6. I find no reference bearing on Bekr, except the notice Bagd. 97° : قلمًا مات جابر النعي بكر الأُعْور الكِيْريّ : وأكل بذلك أَمْوال القَتّات وصيّةَ جابر اليه وزعم انه لا يموت وأكل بذلك أَمْوال 10 المغيريّة عل وجه السِّخْريّة منهم فلمًا مات بكر علموا انّه كان كاذبًا في دعواه فلعنوه.

— L. 10. On Muhammed see Text 43. I have not been able to fix the date of his birth and cannot therefore confirm the statement preserved in L. Br. (note 5). Bagd. 17^b and more elaborately 96^a reports that after Muhammed's death the ¹⁵ Muġîriyya claimed that a devil was executed in his stead (comp. p. 30¹²) and that he himself was hidden in Hâjir, in the mountains of Radwa (Text 43 n. 7). They also believed that Muhammed would bring to life seventeen men whom he would endow with the seventeen letters of the "Greatest Name", so as to enable 20 them to perform miracles (see p. 82). They adduced in proof of his Imamate his identity in name and father's name with that of the Prophet (comp. p. 53¹⁷).²

The Muġîriyya referred to here are, of course, the *followers* of Muġîra, not Muġîra himself, who died (anno 119) 26 years 25 before Muhammed (145). Bagd. reports the same beliefs in the name of Jâbir al-Ju'fî.

— L. 12. On the sanctity with which water is invested among the Mandæans and which is no doubt of old Babylonian

¹ See for a similar claim p. 113²⁶.

² Isfr. 12° gives a similar account which is extracted by Haarbrücker II, 412.—It is remarkable that Iji 344 mentions as the Imam of the Muġrriyya not Muhammed but a man named زکریا بن محمل المحمد المح

[60] origin, see Brandt, Mandäische Religion 68, note 2 and 69, Kessler, "Über Gnosis und altbabylonische Religion" (Abhandlungen des 5. Orientalistencongresses, Berlin 1882), p. 300.

— L. 16. The name of this sectarian appears in the form بنان and بنان. Ed. as well as Codd. have indiscriminately both (comp., e. g., Ed. I, 112 ult. and Text 34 note 8). The general form, however, is بنان. It is found Shahr. 113 (Haarbrücker 171: Bunân; Barbier de Meynard, Journal Asiatique 1874 p. 169: Bennân), Kashi (consistently, e. g., 188¹, 195¹¹¹, ¹¹⁵ 10 196¹¹ etc.); Iji 344 (also quoted in Dictionary of Technical

Terms sub voce); Mirza repeatedly; Lubb al-Lubab s.v. البنانى (see Appendix s.v. البيانى) where the editor argues against the form البيانى). In spite of this consensus, the only correct form, as is apparent from the application of the name p. 61, l. 17, also 15 Bagd. 95^b, is بيان.

On Bayân's teachings see Makr. 349¹, 352²; Bagd. 12³, 91³, very elaborately 95³; Isfr. 56³. Most writers ascribe to him the same doctrines as to Mugîra. According to Kashi 196, he believed, on the basis of Koran 43, 84, that the God of Heaven 20 and the God of Earth are two different beings. For a similar doctrine see later p. 127¹².²

- L. 17 ff. The following story is given Tab. II, 1620 (anno 119)=IAth. V, 154 in a different presentation.
- [61] 61, l. 12 f. Ibn Hazm "most emphatically insists on the 25 uncorporeality of God and violently rejects the (Divine) attributes," Kremer, *Ideen* p. 39.
 - L. 16. For a very similar example see Text 62, l. 4. I. H. (Ed. IV, 1981) reports that Ahmad b. Yânush (Ed. has سابوس, see p. 1020) "pretended to be a prophet, maintaining that it was 30 he who was meant by the saying of Allah (Koran 61, 6): "Announcing an apostle who will come after me, whose name will be Ahmad."

¹ Whether the application is historically true or not, makes no difference.

who is mentioned Fihr. 180³ among the ابن وكيع البناني who is mentioned Fihr. 180³ among the متكلّبي المجبّرة has certainly nothing to do with Bayân, as is assumed by the editors in note 5.

- L. 18. Abû Hâshim died in Humeima (Palestine) in 78 [61] or 79, Nawawî, Tahdîb 369; van Vloten, Chiitisme 45. On his alleged concession of the Imamate to the Abbassides see Tab. III, 24, 2500; IKhald. I, 360. Van Vloten (ib. 44) is inclined to ascribe to the Hâshimiyya the initiative to a systematic Shiitic 5 propaganda. However this may be, certain it is that Abû Hâshim, who left no children, presents a turning point in the development of Zeiditic or anti-legitimistic Shiism, in the same way as does Ja'far as-Sâdik, on account of his numerous children, in the history of Imamitic or legitimistic Shiism.
- Note 17. This addition is in keeping with the Zeiditic principle which demands the personal qualification of the Imam, see Text 75, 1. 9.
- 62, l. 1. On Abû Mansûr see IKot. 300; Ikd 267; Shahr. [62] 135 f.; Iji 344; Makr. 353¹⁷; Bagd. 91^a, 97^b; Isfr. 56^b; particu-15 larly van Vloten, Worgers 58. The appellation al-Mustanîr, which is not quite clear, does not occur in the other sources. His nickname "al-Kisf" is explained Shahr. 136 in connection with his assumption that he was lifted up to heaven, then hurled downwards and thus became "a fragment falling down from 20 heaven." According to Ikd and Shahr. 136² (the later in contradiction with himself), Abû Mansûr applied this designation to Ali.
- L. 2. Abû Manşûr was by descent (note 3) a member of the 'Ijl to which Muġîra attached himself as maula (Text 59²). 25 Interesting in this connection is the remark of Ibn Fakîh (ed.

وكان منهم ابو منصور الخَنّاق وكان يتولّى : "de Goeje), p. 185 دكان منهم ابو منصور الخَنّاق وكان يتولّى الله عبد الله عب

(the inhabitants of Kufa who pretended to be prophets) belonged Abû Mansûr the Strangler (see later, p. 92). He chose for his 30 friends (?)² seven prophets out of the Banû Kureish and seven out of the Banû 'Ijl.' Comp. van Vloten, Worgers 58. On the Banû Ijl, see p. 80, note 4. This remark alludes perhaps

¹ Gen. Leyd., which enumerates only the Alides who left offspring, does not enumerate Abu Hâshim among the children of Ibn al-Ḥanafiyya.

² Or "favored." The meaning of توتى is not quite clear. See, however, next note.

- [62] to the Karmatian theory of the seven prophets and their substitutes (cf. p. 79°). The significant passage Kashi 187 (parallel 195) may bear some relation to the subject in question. Ja'far as-Sâdik makes the following statement: "Allah revealed in the Koran seven (pseudo-prophets?) with their names. The Kureish, however, struck out six and left only Abû Lahab." When subsequently asked about the saying of Allah (Koran 26, 221–222): "Shall I inform you of those on whom the Satans have descended? Descended they have on every sinful liar," to he replied: "They are seven: al-Mug'îra b. Sa'îd, Bunân (see p. 88°), Sâ'id an-Nahdî, al-Hârith ash-Sha'mî, 'Abdallah b. al-Hârith, Hamza b. 'Omâra az-Zubeirî' and Abû'l-Khaṭṭâb (p. 112)." Abû Mansûr is not mentioned.
 - L. 7. According to Makr. 478 ult., the Jewish sectarian 15 Abû 'Îsa al-Isbahânî similarly claimed "that he was lifted up to heaven and the Lord patted him on his head." The early Jewish sects under Arabic dominion show a great many traces which remind one of the early Muhammedan sects, especially those of the Shi'a.
 - o L. 9. Curiously enough Kashi 196 relates in the name of a man who had it from Abû Mansûr himself that God addressed the latter in *Persian*. —The reading adopted in our text (note 8) is confirmed by Shahr. 136 l. 4.
 - L. 10. The "Word" (Logos) is Christ, as he is often 25 styled in Arabic. Comp. Ed. IV, 197²¹: Aḥmad b. Ḥâ'iṭ and Aḥmad b. Yânûsh, the pupils of an-Nazzâm (see p. 10 f.) "both maintained that the world had two creators: one who is eternal

[&]quot;I am not certain, however, as to the meaning of the passage. which is difficult (see preceding note) may signify "to become a maula" (see Dozy s.v.). Then the nominative ought to be read: . . عبيعةُ انبياء and the meaning would be the following: Among the pseudoprophets in Kufa seven attached themselves as maulas to the Kureish and seven to the 'Ijl. The number seven is in any case noteworthy and hardly accidental. See the Index to this treatise s.v. Seven.

P. 195 عبد الله بن عبرو بن الحرث See p. 124²⁴.
 Var. on the margin البيدى: 195¹¹ إلريدى: 197⁸ إليديد.

and this is Allah, and the other one who is created and this is [62] the Word of Allah (کلبة الله), Jesus Christ (المسيح عيسى), the son of Maryam, through whom he created the world." This distinctly points to Christian influence, whether directly (see the quotation from Bagd. in the next note) or through some 5 gnostic medium, must be left open.

Bagd. 986 states the matter more accurately: والمنا المفوضة من الله تعالى خلف محمداً ثم فوض اليه تدبير العالم وتقديرة فهو الذى خلق العالم دون الله تعالى ثم فوض محمد تدبير العالم الى على بن ابي طالب فهو ثم فوض محمد تدبير العالم الى على بن ابي طالب فهو الثالث الثالث الثالث الثالث التفويض (see preceding page) المدبر الثالث العاب التفويض المعاب التفويض the adherents of "Tafwîd" and Mediators (between 25 God and the world)."

¹ On Zurâra b. A'yun (died 150) see Tusy 141 ff. He was a favorite of Ja far aş-Şâdik, Fihr. 220. (See also Index to this treatise sub voce Zurâra.)

² See p. 19. 41, apparently stands here for "adherent."

- [62] At the bottom of this idea lies the Gnostic discrimination between the "unoriginated, inconceivable Father" and the Word (Logos) emanating from him which is the Demiurge; see preceding note and p. 821 ff. See also later, p. 127.
 - 5 L. 12 f. Comp. Ed. I, 77¹¹. Shahr. 136⁵ expresses it negatively وزعم أيضًا أن الرَّسْلُ لا تنقطع أبدًا والرسالة لا تنقطع ابدًا والرسالة لا تنقطع ابدًا والرسالة لا تنقطع المثارة This doctrine is probably the reflection of the Clementine conception of the True Prophet, see p. 85²². It contradicts both Koran and Sunna, which equally insist that Muhammed is the lolast prophet (p. 76³⁸).
 - L. 15. The same is reported of the Khaṭṭâbiyya, p. 14.
 - L. 18 ff. Ibn Fakîh (ed. de Goeje) 18516 speaks of "Abû Mansûr the Strangler." IKot. 300 says briefly: "to them (the Mansûriyya) belong the Stranglers." Shahr. 136° says less 15 distinctly: "his (Abû Mansûr's) adherents thought it permissible to kill their opponents and take away their property." Assassination is designated as a peculiarity of the Mugîriyya and Mansûriyya (see Index sub voce Terrorism). his Kitab al-Hayawan gives an account of the manners of these 20 terrorists of the eighth century. He who practised both "strangling" and "skull-breaking" was styled "Jâmi", " "Combiner." This extremely curious and interesting passage is reproduced and discussed by van Volten, Worgers in Iraq (in See List of Cited Works sub voce van Vloten, a Dutch article. The Thugs in India, whose beginnings date as far back as the first Muhammedan caliphs, also kill their victims by strangling.

The theological substructure for this peculiar tenet is supplied by I. H., Ed. IV, 1711: "The command to do right and the prohibition to do wrong! must be carried out with the heart and, if possible, with the tongue. It must not be executed by (employing) the hand nor in any way by drawing the sword or using arms... All the Rawafid hold to it, though they all be killed (see the reading of L. Br., Text 63, note 1). But they believe in it only as long as the "Speaking" (Imam) does not come forth. When he does come forth, then the drawing of swords becomes obligatory. If not, then it is not (obligatory)...

¹ Koran 3, 100. 106. 110; 7, 156, etc.

² Comp. the Bâţiniyya, p. 112 n. 3.

Certain sections of the Sunnites, all the Mu'tazilites, all the [62] Khawârij and Zeidiyya (comp. Text p. 75°) are of the opinion that with reference to the command to do right and the prohibition to do wrong, the drawing of swords is obligatory, since the repulsion of wrong is impossible without it." See following 5 note.

63, l. 1 and note 1. The Khashabiyya are connected with [63] the Keisâniyya (ib. note 1) and originated simultaneously with name: "the Khashabiyya of the Rawâfid: Ibrâhîm b. al-Ashtar 10 encountered 'Obeidallah b. Ziyâd. The majority of Ibrâhîm's followers were carrying with them wooden arms (al-khashab). They were, in consequence, called the Khashabivva." Masudi V, 226 (anno 67) relates that al-Mukhtâr "began to go forth every day to fight Mus'ab and those that followed him of the people 15 of Kufa. Al-Mukhtâr (on the other hand) had with him many people of the Shi'a. They were called the Khashabiyya (belonging) to the Keisâniyya." Comp. also the notice Agh. VI, 139 (=Tab. II, 1798'): "'Othman al-Khashabî belonged to the Khashabiyya who were with al-Mukhtâr." When Muhallab, 20 who fought against al-Mukhtâr, was besieging the city of Nisibis which was defended by the Khashabiyya, he thus addressed himself to the inhabitants: "O ve people! Let not these men frighten you. They are only slaves and have in their hands (nothing but) sticks." (Agh. V, 155; comp. Tab. II, 68416)2 25 These sticks were designated by a Persian word as "the heretic knockers," a name which is characteristic of the

المنابع. They consisted of the adherents of Ibrahim al-Ashtar. They used to march through the lanes of Kufa at night-time and shout: "Revenge for al-Husein!" Hence they were called the Huseiniyya." Instead of الحسينية is most probably to be read الحسينية (see later). It seems, however, that this reading is not a scribal error but due to the author (or his source) who, neglecting the important detail that they were carrying wooden arms (khashab), brought the name into connection with the war-cry of the party ("Revenge for al-Husein!").

الخشبية المحاب صرحات الطبرى ووقت 157 Comp. Abu'l-Maali الخشبية المحاب صرحات المعان از چوب بود.—I have not found this الطبرى elsewhere.

- [63] part played by the Persian element in al-Mukhtâr's rebellion. Thus Tab. II, 694' (anno 66) relates that the Khashabiyya who arrived in Mekka to liberate Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyya (comp. 693') entered the Holy Mosque, carrying with them the 'heretic knockers' and shouting: 'On to the revenge for al-Husein!' The Kâfir-kûbât occur also later in the rebellion of Abû Muslim (see the quotation in de Goeje, Bibliotheca Geogr. Arabic. IV, 278) and as late as anno 257 (IAth. VII, 99°).
 - use. It is often written عبشية and, in consequence of the war cry of this party (revenge for al-Husein!), also حسينية; see the variants in Tab., van Vloten, Worgers, and Ikd (Comm. 93, n. 1).
 - originally the name was probably meant to convey a social contrast. It indicated the Mawâli as "men of the sticks," that is, as poor devils who could not afford to equip themselves with proper arms (Wellhausen, Opp. 80). But it seems that this social aspect of the name was early forgotten and the name 20 assumed a religious coloring. It is frequently used to designate the Keisâniyya. Thus Agh. XI, 47: "It was Khindif al-Asadî (cf. Comm. 42°, where "Khandak" is incorrect) who converted Kuthayyir to the Khashabiyya doctrine (خشمینه)." Kuthayyir was a typical representative of the 25 Keisâniyya.

This peculiar idea which makes the use of arms dependent on the arrival of the Mahdi stands in a remarkable contrast to the Messianic conception of the Prophets (Is. 2, 4; Micah 4, 3). Perhaps it reflects the Messianic belief of post-biblical Judaism 30 (adopted also by orthodox Islam), according to which the arrival

is to be preferred. IAth. denies that the poor equipment gave rise to the name. He gives a different interpretation to the incident. "They were called Khashabiyya, because on entering Mekka they carried sticks, being reluctant to display swords in the Holy District." Tab., however, (II, 6953) reports that they threatened Ibn az-Zubeir with their swords.

² De Goeje in the glossary to *Bibliotheca Geographorum Arabicorum*, ibidem, maintains that the word is an anachronism at so early a period as al-Mukhtâr. But the general rôle of the Persian element in that movement and the passage in Tab. confirm the genuineness of the name.

of the Messiah will be connected with a series of bloody wars. [63] At any rate, among the parallels between the Jews and the Rawâ-fid put into the mouth of ash-Sha'bî (*Ikd* 269, Comm. p. 19¹⁸) appears also the following comparison: "The Jews say, there shall be no fighting for the sake of God until the Messiah, the Expected 5 One, goes forth and a herald from heaven proclaims (his arrival). The Râfida say, there is no fighting for the sake of Allah until the Mahdi goes forth and a rope¹ descends from heaven."

In view of the religious character assigned to the use of wooden weapons, we may, with all due reserve, call attention to the utter-10 ance of Ibn Sabâ recorded by Jâhiz (Comm. 43¹6) that Ali "would not die till he would drive you with his stick," the more so, as, quite independently of Jâhiz, Zeid. (Comm. 42²4) reports the same form of the Shiitic belief "that Ali is alive and has not died, but will drive the Arabs and Persians with his stick." 15 Perhaps it is not accidental that Kuthayyir, who was a Khashabî (see before) and had just returned from a visit to the neighborhood of ar-Radwa, which in the belief of the Keisâniyya was the hiding place of Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyya, "appeared before us leaning on a stick" (Agh. VIII, 33).²

- L. 2. On Hishâm and his book see Text 74^{22} and Comm. 65 ff.
- L. 6. Extremely remarkable is the statement that these adepts of Terror did not even spare one another. But the reason given for it and the solemn assurance of Hishâm's trust-25 worthiness leave no doubt as to the meaning of the passage.
- L. 8. It is, of course, the fifth of the spoil originally to be delivered to the Prophet, Koran VIII, 42. The Karmatian leader Abû Tâhir and his successors still were in the habit of delivering this tax to 'Ubeidallah, whom they considered their 30 Imam, de Goeje, Carmathes, p. 82.
- 64, l. 4. Most sources quoted p. 89¹⁴ f. state that Abû Man-[64] sûr laid claim to the Imamate only when Muhammed b. Ali (al-Bâkir) had died (in 117).
- L. 6. On Bazîg see Shahr. 137; Iji 346; Makr. 352¹⁰. 35 His name appears among those of other sectarians Kashi 196,

[&]quot;Rope" gives no sense. Perhaps has here the meaning recorded Dozys.v.: "Introducteur," the person who introduces one to the Caliph: The herald announcing the arrival of the Mahdi? See on this passage p. 25 n. 2.

[64] 197. 196: (sic) وبزيعًا أوالسرى وبزيعًا أوالسرى المغيرة بن سعيد وبزيعًا (sic) والسرى وابا الخطّاب Sâdik curses المغيرة بن سعيد وبزيعًا (sic) وابو (sic) بشار الاشعرى وحبرة البزيدى وصايد (sic) وابو (sic) بشار الاشعرى وحبرة البزيدى وصايد ألهدى On some of these heretics see Comm. p. 90¹⁰ and Index. When Ja'far was told that Bazîg had been killed, he exclaimed: "Praise be unto Allah! There is surely nothing better for these Muġîriyya (read المغيرية instead of المغيرة (Kashi 197.)

On the variants of the name see Text here note 8 and 34 n. 7. 10 Ed. I, 112 ult. reads ... Shahr. ascribes to him the interesting view that a man who has attained to perfection cannot be said to have died. Probably in connection with this belief he claimed that the best among his adherents had been raised to the dignity of angels, Iji. His profession is mentioned 15 only here and Ed. I, 112 ult. The weaver's trade was considered highly degrading, see Ferazdak ed. Boucher 211 f.; Wellhausen, Opp. 62 n. 3. The same view is held by the Rabbis. Tosefta 'Eduyoth I, 2 it is designated as the lowest trade in the world.

- ₂₀ Note 10. See p. 55¹⁶.
- L. 9. Mu'ammar appears again Text 69¹⁴. For this reason the reading of L. Br. (note 11) seems preferable. On Sarî al-Aksam (with broken front teeth) I have found nothing except the bare mention of his name Kashi 196, 197 (see this page 25 l. 1 f.). In his stead the other sources enumerate as one of the sects of the Khaṭṭâbiyya مفضل الصّيرفي, Shahr. 137 and others.
- —L. 10. 'Omeir at-Tabbân is no doubt identical with عمير
 Bagd. 98°; Isfr. 58°; Makr. 352¹²; Shahr. 137;
 30 Iji 346 (the latter بيان instead of بيان, comp. p. 88°). Most probably (بن بنان) is only another reading for التّبّان which is confirmed by the alchemistic utterance l. 12–13,

¹ Comp. Text 69¹¹, Comm. 72³¹, 113³⁰.

not recorded elsewhere. Note the expression "this straw." [64] That he was an 'Ijlite is significant in view of p. 79³⁴ ff.— According to Makr., the 'Omeiriyya erected a special tent in Kufa for the worship of Ja'far aṣ-Ṣādiķ, see later p. 107.

- 65, l. 1. This contradicts Shahr.'s and Makr.'s statement 5 that he was killed by Yazîd b. 'Omar b. Ḥubeira (Makr. عزيد), the governor of 'Irâk under al-Mansûr.
- L. 3. The same number is recorded in the other sources. There is, however, a difference as regards the sects which constitute this number. I. H. apparently counts as follows: 10 1) Muġîra, 2) Abû Mansûr, 3) Baziġ, 4) Muʻammar or, perhaps more correctly, Sarî (p. 96²³), 5) 'Omeir. The other writers,
- more correctly, Sarî (p. 96²³), 5) 'Omeir. The other writers, including Bagd. and Isfr., count the Mugîriyya and Mansûriyya apart and enumerate as the five sects of the Khattâbiyya:
- 1) the Khattabiyya proper, then the followers of 2) Bazıg, 15 3) Mu'ammar, 4) Mufaddal (p. 96²⁶) and 5) 'Omeir.
- Note 2. The notice, preserved only in L. Br., refers to the event related Tab. III, 221713 ff. (anno 289). The Karmatian missionary Zikrweih b. Mihrweih endeavors to win over the Kelbites. He sends to them his son Yahya. But no one 20 joined him "except the clan known as the Banû 'l-'Uleis' b. Damdam² b. 'Adî b. Janâb³ and their clients. They swore allegiance towards the end of 289... to Zikrweih's son whose name was Yahya and whose Kunya Abû 'l-Kâsim." Comp. de Goeje, Carmathes, p. 48; Istakhrî 23°=IHaukal 2919; de Sacy 25 ccii; Fihr. 187 n. 10.—Yahya pretended to be a certain well-But it is not settled which Alide he tried to known Alide. impersonate.—Tugj (l. 5 of note 2) was the governor of Damas-I connect this sentence with the notice Tab. III, 221911: "The cause of his (Yahya's) death, according to some reports, 30 was that one of the Berbers struck him with a short spear and a torch bearer followed him who threw fire at him and burned

¹ IAth. VII, 353 reads قليص ; Weil, Geschichte der Chalifen II, 506, Kaliss.

² See the variants Tab. ib.

³ IAth. خباب, comp. the reading of L.—Janâb, Wüstenfeld, Tabellen 226.—On 'Adî b. Janâb see Wüstenfeld, Register p. 266, Lubb al-Lubāb s.v. الْعَدُوبَيّ.

مِزْراق See Glossary to Tab. s.v. مِزْراق.

⁵ See *ib*: s.v. نُفَّاط . vol. xxix.

- is rather hard, for it is scarcely probable that it stands here, as it often does in later Arabic, as the exponent of the passive and signifies (burned) by Tuġj. Read مع (in his encounter) with Tuġj?
 - 5 Note 2, 1. 10. On the Zenj see Tab. III, 1742 ff. (anno 255); Kremer, *Ideen* 195 f., 386. A graphic account of this movement is given by Nöldeke, *Sketches from Eastern History*, p. 146 ff. Opinions differ as to the person of the Alide he pretended to represent, comp. Masudi VIII, 31; Tab. 1742¹¹, 101743, 1746¹². IKhald. I, 361 summarily states that he traced back his origin to 'Îsa b. Zeid, the son of Zeid b. 'Alî, the founder of the Zeidiyya.
 - L. 4. The reading of Ed. Y. (note 3) is correct (Goldziher). 'Then "those" would not refer to the Khattâbiyya 15 mentioned immediately before, as they are not connected with the Abbassides, but in general to those "who admit prophecy after the Prophet," p. 56'.
 - L. 6. The reading of L. Br. (note 4) stands quite isolated. The correct pronunciation is Khidâsh; see Tāj al-'Arās sub hac

وخِداش كَكِتاب اسم رَجُلِ وهو من قولهم خادَشْتُ الرجلَ : voce وخِداش

كَوْ خُكُشُ هُو وَجُهَا اللهُ اللهُ وَجُهَا اللهُ الل

- 30 L. 12. On 'Abdallah b. Sabâ see p. 18 f.
 - L. 13 ff. The incident is reported in all sources, see the quotations later. Kashi offers several details which are not recorded elsewhere. They numbered ten persons and were

¹ The latter passage strangely contradicts Tab.'s account, p. 1488 (anno 107), according to which 'Ammâr alone saved himself, while the others perished.

standing at the gate. When they had been let in to Ali, they [65] said to him: "We maintain that thou art our Lord and that thou art he who created us and who gives us sustenance" (Kashi 48, parallel p. 198). According to another version (p. 72), they were seventy gypsies (""). The tendency of all these stories is plain: 5 they are intended as a protest against the later "Exaggerators" by showing that Ali himself rejected them. It can be easily understood why the orthodox Shiites who were often made responsible for the extravagance of the Gulât were so very anxious to circulate these stories condemning the Gulât.

66, l. 1 f. "Thou art Allah"; also Makr. 352°; Iji 343 with- [66] out the preliminary "Thou art He" (l. 1); Kashi 70 أنت هو, "Thou art Thou," which reminds one somewhat of the Hindoo "Tat twam asi."

— L. 5. The same Isfr. 54°: قَالُةُ اللّٰهُ على الحقيقة انّه إِلّٰهُ وسلّم قال لا يعلّب بالنار إلاّ ربّ النار .

- L. 7. The verse is also quoted Kashi 48 and with variants 49.—Bagd. 94° (similarly Isfr. 55°) quotes another locus probans and gives a somewhat different version of this auto-20 da-fé: عبل الله بن سبا الذي غلا في على رضى الله عنه وزعم عبل الله بن سبا الذي غلا في على رضى الله عنه وزعم انّه إلاه ودعا الى ذلك قومًا انّه كان نبيًّا ثم غلا فيه حتى زعم انّه إلاه ودعا الى ذلك قومًا من غُواة الكوفة ورُفع خَبَرُهم الى على رضى الله عنه فأمَر باحراق قوم منهم في حُفْرَتَيْن حتى قال بعض الشّعَراء في المُوني منهم في حُفْرَتَيْن حتى قال بعض الشّعَراء في المُفْرَتَيْن مِن أَخُوادِثُ حَيْثُ شَآءَتْ ﷺ اذا لم تَرْم بي في الحُفْرتَيْن

According to Kashi 72, Ali killed the seventy gypsies (see before) in a most ingenious manner by throwing them into a number of pits which were connected through holes. Then the pits were closed and smoke was let in through one of them, so 30 that they were all choked.

— L. 10. Kanbar is designated as a servant (خادم) of Ali, Tahdib 514; Tab. I, 3257 (غلام). He acts as such Kashi 48,

[66] 198. Tāj al-'Arās sub voce قنبر, and Suyutî, Tarîkh 159, call him a maula of Ali. He was wounded in the attack on Othman, Tab., ib.; Suyuti, ib.

-L. 11. All the authorities quoted throughout this treatise 5 and a great many other writers equally attest that Ali burned some of those who held "exaggerated" notions about him. Most of them connect these "exaggerators" with Abdallah b. Sabâ. In spite of this consensus of opinion, the historical character of this narrative is more than doubtful. The histori-10 ans proper (Tabari, Masudi, IAth. and the minor ones) are silent on this point. The fact of an auto-da-fé at so early a period is in itself extremely unlikely. The tendency of the story is unmistakable (see p. 99°), and the way it is connected with Ibn Sabâ is satisfactorily explained when we remember the pecu-15 liar rôle assigned to this man and his sect by the Muhammedan theologians. Being a Jew, Ibn Sabâ was made the scapegoat for all the subsequent heresies in Islam. The name Sabâ'iyya became synonymous with radical heresy and was applied to heretics who lived long after 'Abdallah b. Sabâ.2 Shahr.'s 20 account on Ibn Sabâ is almost entirely a projection of later doctrines on the founder of Shiism. It is therefore natural that he should figure in an execution of heretics by Ali.

I regard this story as an anticipation of the frequent executions of Shiitic sectarians by Khâlid al-Kasrî and his successor 25 Yûsuf b. 'Omar. Ikd 267 characteristically, though unconsciously, states this relation: "al-Muġîra b. Sa'd (read Sa'îd, see p. 79²¹) was one of the Sabâ'iyya whom Ali burned at the stake." Muġîra, however, was burned by Khâlid as late as 119. Similarly IKot. 300, who mentions Muġîra immediately after 30 'Abdallah b. Sabâ and designates him as a Sabâ'î.

A striking parallel to our incident and perhaps its prototype is Tab.'s account (III, 418) on the Râwandiyya who worshipped the Caliph al-Manşûr. "They came forward shouting to Abû

¹ See, e. g., IKot. 300; Ikd 267. According to Kashi 70, Ali burned 'Abdallah himself. This, however, is contradicted by all other sources as well as by the facts, see p. 43.

² Thus al-Kelbî (died 146) is designated as an adherent (a) of Ibn Sabâ, IKhall. No. 645, p. 26. See Comm. 25¹¹. The same is the case with Mugîra (d. 119), see this page 1. 30. Cf. Wellhausen, Opp. 12 n. 1.

Ja'far (al-Mansûr): 'Thou art Thou!' (The narrator) says: [66] he (al-Mansûr) himself came out against them and fought them. While they were fighting, they came forward crying: 'Thou art Thou!'" The origin of the Râwandiyya which points to Khorasân (see p. 123°)' and the time to which the inci- 5 dent is assigned strongly support the historicity of Tab.'s account.

The temptation of Jesus consisted in the "guluww" of the Apostles, i. e., in their belief in his divinity (comp. p. 1631). The Prophet himself is reported to have compared Ali with Jesus who fell a victim to the love of the 10 Christians and the hatred of the Jews (ZDMG, 38, 391). "As for the Râfida, they strongly exaggerate concerning Ali; some of them follow the doctrines of the Christians concerning They are the Sabâ'iyya, the followers of 'Abdallah b. Sabâ, Allah's curse on them." (Ikd 267). More thoughtfully 15 is this relation between the Ultra-Shiitic and the Christian doctrines stated by IKhald. I, 358: "The Gulât have transgressed the limits of reason and religion by assuming the divinity of these Imams. As for Ali, he (read فاقد) is (considered by them) a human being which has assumed the attri-20 butes of the Deity and (they believe) that God has embodied himself in his human (corporeal) essence. This is the doctrine of Incarnation which corresponds to the teachings of the Christians concerning Jesus."

- L. 17. The sect named in the following is considered an ²⁵ outgrowth of the Sabâ'iyya because it shares with the latter the deification of Ali. The Sabâ'iyya is the Alidic sect $\kappa \alpha \tau$ ' $\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \gamma \tilde{\mu} \nu$. Cf. Text 45¹ f., 65¹¹ f.
- L. 18. Apart from عليانية, the readings عليانية and عليانية are frequently found, see Text n. 7, Masudi III, 265 and 30 the references to be quoted presently. The founder of this sect is called Makr. 35320 عليان بن ذراع السدوسيّ وقيل Shahr. 134, however, (sic) الأسدى العليا بن ذراع الدوسيّ

¹ See Kremer, *Ideen*, p. 377. The general Afshîn (under Mu taşim) did not interfere with the inhabitants of the province Osrushna who styled him "Khodâ" (God), Dozy, *Isl.* p. 231.

² As-Sayyid composed a poem in which he protests against calling Ali a "son of God," ib.

- [66] وقال قوم هو الأسدى. The 'Ulyâniyya are designated as Dammiyya ("the Blamers," Shahr., Makr.) because they blamed Muhammed for having usurped the dignity to which Ali was entitled. The 'Ulyâniyya, in particular, preferred Ali to Muhammed, claiming that Muhammed was Ali's apostle. See also Bagd. 98°.
 - L. 19. This Ishâk is most probably identical with
 - بن محمل النخفى, who frequently figures in Agh. as a narrator of biographical stories from the life of as-Sayyid al10 Himyarî,² e. g., VII, 2 penult., 9º, 11⁴, etc.³—Shahr. 133 f.,
 Iji 21 and 348 he appears, independently of the 'Ulyâniyya, as
 the representative of a special sect which is called after him the
 Ishâkiyya and is closely related to the Nuseiriyya (p. 127¹²).
 De Sacy II, 593 quotes besides a sect called Hamrawiyya, which
 15 he rightly connects with this Ishâk whose by-name was alAhmar. On his book and the following passage in general see
 later.⁴
- [67] 67, l. 1 ff. The Muhammadiyya who believe in the divinity of Muhammed are the counterpart of the 'Ulyâniyya who believe in the divinity of Ali. The literary champions of the Muhammadiyya are al-Bhnkî and al-Fayyâd, while Ishâk b. Muhammed represents the other party. Shahr. and Makr. speak of the two sects but allusively. Thus Shahr., in speaking of the Ilbâ'iyya (='Ulyâniyya, see p. 10123), makes the following 25 remark: "Among them are such who believe in the divinity of both (Ali as well as Muhammed), but they give the preference

¹ Comp. Goldziher, ZDMG. 50, 120.

 $^{^{2}}$ Like all Râwis, his name is missing in the index of Agh.

³ Kashi 167¹⁵ quotes him as authority for an account on a discussion between the Barmekide Vizier Yahya b. Khâlid and Hishâm b. al-Hakam.

⁴ As he appears in connection with the Keisanite as-Sayyid, we may identify him with Ishâk b. 'Omar who is mentioned *Abu'l-Maali* 158 as the founder of the Ishâkiyya, one of the four Keisanite sects.—There is no evidence, however, for his identity with a certain Ishâk who acts in Transoxania as an agitator for Abû Muslim, *Fihr*. 344³⁰, as is confidently assumed p. 180 ib.

⁵ Not to be confounded with the Muhammadiyya, as those who believe in the Imamate of Muhammad b. 'Abdallah b. al-Ḥasan b. al-Ḥasan, Text 43¹ and 60¹°, are designated by Bagd. 17⁵, 97³ and Isfr. 12³.

to Ali in matters divine. They are called the 'Ainivya. [67] There are among them such who believe in the divinity of both but give the preference to Muhammed as regards divinity. They are called the Mîmiyya." 'Ain and Mîm are apparently the initials for Ali and Muhammed respectively. The name 5 Muhammadiyya I find only here and Masudi V, 475, VII, 118 (referring to his Sirr al-Hayât), III, 265. The latter passage has an immediate bearing on our subject and is possibly the source of I. H.'s account. I reproduce the passage in translation: Certain heretics quote a poem by al-'Abbâs in confirma-10 tion of their *quiluww*. "This is mentioned by a number of their writers and their cleverest critics, out of the sects of the Muhammadiyya, the 'Ilbâniyya (see p. 10130) and others. One of them, Ishâk b. Muhammed an-Nakha'î, known as al-Ahmar, (did it) in his book entitled 'as-Sirât.' It is also mentioned 15 by al-Fayyad b. Ali b. Muhammed b. al-Fayyad (see Text, p. 67, note 2) in his book known as 'al-Kustâs,' in his refutation of the book 'as-Sirât.' It is further mentioned by the (man) known under the name of an-Nahkînî (? see Text, p. 66, note 9) in his refutation of the book entitled 'as-Sirât.'20 These (two men) belong to the Muhammadiyya. They refuted this book (of Ishâk) which was (written) according to the doctrine of the 'Ilbâniyya."

- L. 6. The name of the Kâtib is Ali b. Muhammed b. al-Fayyâd (note 2). I have found no reference to him elsewhere, 25 except 'the superscription to al-Buḥturî's poem (see later).— Isḥâk b. Kandâj died 279. ['Abdallah Text 67° is oversight.]
- L. 9. Al-Walîd b. 'Obeid aṭ-Ṭâ'î al-Buḥturî lived 205—284, Brockelmann I, 80. The verse quoted by I. Ḥ. is found in al-Buḥturî's Divân, ed. Constantinople (1300^h), vol. II, p. 86. 30

The Kasîda is headed وقال يمدح على بن محمد بن الفيّاض (another poem, I, 23 is headed وقال يمدح بن الفيّاض). The verse is the beginning of a nasîb.

— L. 11. Guweir is a drinking place of the Kelb between 'Irâk and Syria, Yakut III, 827. Bekrî, Geographical Diction-35 ary, ed. Wüstenfeld, Göttingen 1876/7, p. 703, pronounces the name الغوير.

- [67] L. 16. Abû'l-Husein al-Kâsim b. 'Abdallah (or 'Ubeidallah) died during the reign of Muktafi in 291, only over thirty years old. He is described as being very bloodthirsty, IKhall. No. 474.—The fact recorded by I. H.—al-Fayyâd's execution at the hands of al-Kâsim—is not found in any other source at my disposal.
- "Adam" here apparently stands for the "original [68] **68**, l. 1 f. man," the إنسان قديم of the Manichæans, the אָרָם קַרְמוֹן of the Cabbala, see Louis Ginzberg in Jew. Encycl., vol. I, s.v. Shahr. 114 ascribes to Bayan (p. 8816) the 10 Adam Kadmon. belief that Adam possessed a "Divine particle" which made him worthy of the worship of the angels. A similar conception-the "Divine Element" inherent in Adam as the immediate creation of God, passing through the pious descendants of 15 Adam to Jacob and through him to the Jewish nation—is the basis of Jehuda Halevi's (twelfth century) philosophical system in his Kusari (Book I, § 47, 95).—From Adam to Muhammed there were seven prophets (comp. p. 12715). This number of prophets occurs very frequently in connection with Shiitic sects, 20 see p. 89 f.; p. 796 (the Karmatians); p. 127 (the Nuseirivya); Blochet 56 (the Ismâ'îliyya). The origin of this conception goes back to the Pseudo-Clementines, see p. 8526 ff.
 - L. 5. It is possible that here, too, the number seven is intended. Ja'far is the seventh prophet beginning with 25 Muhammed. Zeid. fol. 104° designates as Rawafid pure and simple those who pass the Imamate down to Ja'far:

آخَرُ قادوا الوصيّة الى جعفر بن محمد وزعموا ان الوصيّة انتهت آخَرُ قادوا الوصيّة التهت Ja'far as-Sâdik occupies a central position among the Shi'a. He is called "جعفر بزرك the Great Ja'far"

30 by the Persian theologians (Blochet 53, note 1) and his name permanently figures in Shiitic literature as authority for everything that bears on religious doctrine. He was also highly esteemed by the Sunna. Typical of this unique position of

لَّهُم (يعني : Ja'far is the anecdote told by Isfr. fol. 16": حُكى انَّهم (يعني المُّا رَأُوا الجاحظ يتوسّع في التصانيف ويصنّف لكل 35 الروافض) لمّا رَأُوا الجاحظ يتوسّع في التصانيف ويصنّف لكل

[68] فريق التا الروافض صَنِف لنا كتابًا فقال لهم لَسْتُ أَرَى لكم شُبْهةً حتى ارتبها واتصرّف فيها فقالوا له إذًا دَلِّنا على شي فتمسك به فقال لا أَرَى لكم وَجْهًا إلاَّ اتّكم اذا أَرَدْتم ان تقولون (sic) شيئًا تزعمونه تقولون (sic) انه قول جعفر الصادف لا أعْرِف لكم شيئًا تَسْنُدُون (نتندون .Ms) اليه غير هذا الكلام فتمسكوا بَجَهْلهم وغباوتهم بهذه السَّوَّة التي دلّهم عليها فكم فكلما أرادوا ان يختلفوا بِنْعةً ويخترصوا كذبةً نسبوها الى ذلك السمّد الصادق.

The purpose of this Sunnitic invention is plain. It is meant to ridicule the constant references of the Shiites to the authority 10 of Ja'far (see the passages in the Index to this treatise s.v. Ja'far). But it also shows the great esteem in which Ja'far was held even by the orthodox.

The knowledge of mystic lore with which the Shiites credit all their Imams is attributed in even a higher degree to Ja'far. 15 Zeid. 101^b defines this belief in the omniscience of the Imams in the following characteristic manner: وكلّ مَنْ قال بجعفر من قال بجعفر من الإمام يُخلَق عالمًا وطَبْعُه العِلْم والعلم مطبوع فيه ويزعمون ان الإمام يعلم الغَيْبَ ويعلم ما في تُخوم مطبوع فيه ويزعمون ان الإمام يعلم الغَيْبَ ويعلم ما في تُخوم البَّرَضِين السابعة السَّفْلَي وما في السماوات السابعة العُلْيا وما في البَرّ والبحر والليلُ والنهارُ عنده عَجْري واحدا (واحدُ (احدُ (احدُ (البَعْلُ والبعر)). (read أن والبحر والليلُ والنهارُ عنده عَجْري واحدا (واحدُ Shiites oppose this extravagant belief in Ja'far's omniscience and they quote Ja'far himself as indignantly protesting against it. When Ja'far was told that people believed that he knew 25

¹ See page 56²³ f.

نعم with the by-meaning of "telling a lie," see Goldziher, Muh. St. II, 51.

- [68] "hidden things" (الغيب), he passionately exclaimed: "Praise unto Allah! Put thy hand on my head! By Allah, there is not a single hair on my body which does not stand on edge!" (Kashi 196).
 - An outgrowth of this conception is the peculiar belief in the existence of a mystic book called "Jafr" containing a record of all past and future events "from Creation to Resurrection", 1 the authorship of which was assigned to Ja'far. This mysterious volume with the mysterious name plays an important part 10 in the development of the Shi'a. See on this book, de Goeje, Carmathes 115 f., van Vloten, Chiitisme, 54 f., IKhald. II, 184 f. Bagd's remarks on the subject (fol. 99a) are worthy of repro-ومِنْ أَغْجَب الأشياء ان الخطابيّة وعمت ان جعفرًا :duction الصادق قد أُوْدعهم جلْدًا فيه عِلْمُ كل ما يحتاجون اليه من عِلْم الغَيبِ وَسَمَّوْا ذلك الجلد جَفْرًا وزعموا انّه لا يقرأ (يقرى .Ms. عِلْم الغَيبِ وَسَمَّوْا ذلك الجلد ما فيه إلا مَنْ كان منهم وقد ذكر ذلك هارون بن سَعد العِجليّ في شعره وقال⁵ [الطويل] أَلَمْ تَرَ أَنَّ الرَّافِضِينَ تَفَرَّقَتْ ﴿ فَكُلُّهُمْ مِنْ جَعْفَمِ قال مَنْكَرًا . .

ومِنْ عَجَبٍ لَمْ أَتْضِيهِ حِلْلُهُ جَعْفَم ﷺ بَرِئْتُ إِلَى ٱلرَّحْمَٰنِ مِمَّنْ تَجَعَفَرا

¹ Comp. Blochet, p. 13. There was a white and a red "Jafr," ib.

² IKhald. II, 184 maintains that "Jafr" signifies dialectically "small" and that the book was so called because it was written on the hide of a small (young) ox. According to Tâj al-'Arûs, the word signifies sheep in the first few months of life. Neither explanation is in any way satisfactory. The real meaning of the word was evidently early forgotten. Van Vloten, Chiitisme, p. 56, note 6 is inclined to regard it as a foreign word and to connect it with Greek $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$. I am rather inclined to think that Jafr is merely a variation of Ja'far to whom it is assigned. [I have since noticed that Goldziher, Shi'a, p. 456 n. 5, incidentally gives the same explanation.]

³ See Text, p. 68, l. 6.

⁴ Comp. Makr. 35216.

⁵ The verses are quoted anonymously IKhall. No. 419. The authorship of Hârûn b. Sa'd (Kashi 151, Sa'îd) is rather precarious, for it is he who is mentioned IKhald. II, 184 as the Râwi of this book. (He is designated in the same passage as the head of the Zeidiyya.)

The episode presupposes the allegorical method [68] of Koran interpretation current in Shiitic circles which explains the religious prohibitions as the names of persons and brings all religious commands in relation to the Imam, see Text, p. 35, and Comm. p. 1410 ff. It is obvious that the Hajj precept, if for 5 no other than political reasons, had to succumb to the same allegorical transformation1 and to become a mere "going to the Imam" (Text, p. 3511). Accordingly, the Gulât of Kufa arrange a regular hajj to Ja'far with all due requisites, including attire and religious exclamations (Labbaika Ja'far, l. 10).—An inter-10 esting parallel to this story is the incident related Agh. XV, The Ja'fariyya (as is evident from XIX, 58, identical with the Mugiriyya, the adherents of Mugira b. Sa'îd, Comm. p. 80) rebelled against Khâlid b. 'Abdallah al-Kasrî, the wâli of Kufa (Comm. 7935), "and they came out in short trousers, shouting: 15 'with thee ("labbaika") o Ja'far! with thee, o Ja'far!" At first sight one might feel inclined to identify the two stories. chronological considerations stand in the way of this identifica-For the rebellion of Mugîra took place in 119 (Tab. II,

² تَبَانِينُ pl. of تَبَانِينُ small breeches "without legs such as to conceal the anterior and posterior pudenda" (Lane), indeed a sort of sans culottes. It was the dress of the Mawâli, van Vloten, Chittisme, p. 70, note 2.—Prof. Nöldeke is inclined to take it as the plural of تَبَانُ and to translate (عَنَا التَّبُونِينَ التَبُونِينَ التَّبُونِينَ التَبُونِينَ التَّبُونِينَ التَبُونِينَ التَبْلُونُ اللَّهُ اللّهُ الللّهُ اللّهُ اللّ

المع anxious the Shitic leaders were to abolish the hajj to Mekka, the center of Sunnitic Islam, can be inferred from the pregnant utterance of Abû Ja'far. at-Tûsî (the author of List of Shy:ah books, died 459/1060) quoted by Mirza, fol. 65^b: معفر الطوسى ذكر في كتاب المطابيع وغيرة في الله المواسى ذكر في كتاب المطابيع وغيرة في الله والله عند تُعادِل ثُوابَ مائة الف نبيّ وانها الفضل عند الله من مائة الف حبّم ومائة الف عُمْرة ومائة الف عُمْرة ومائة الف عُمْرة ومائة الف عُمْرة ومائة الله عليه وسلّم.

- [68] 1619 f.), while the story related in our text plays in the beginning of the Abbasside period. Wellhausen, Opp. 97, note 1 is sceptical with reference to the hajj incident told in Agh. But it is not only supported by I. H.'s account. The general character of the Ultra-Shiitic tenets makes an incident of this sort quite probable.
 - L. 11. Abû Bekr Ibn 'Ayâsh died in 193, IAth. VII, 153;

 Dahabî, Ḥuffâz VI, 20.¹ The words كَانَّى أَنْظُر اليهم يومنَّذُ

 I take (with a great deal of reserve) to indicate that he rememobers the incident so vividly, as if it were before his eyes. Ibn 'Ayâsh probably narrated the incident long after it passed. He died 193, while 'Îsa b. Mûsa, who fought against the sectarians, died in 167.
 - L. 17. See Comm. 19³². Muhammed b. Ismâ'îl is the ¹⁵ seventh Imam beginning with Adam, de Goeje, *Carmathes* 168; comp. Comm. p. 104.
 - L. 19. Al-Hasan b. Bahrâm was the head of the Karmatians of Bahrein. He was killed by his servant in 301, IKhall. No. 186, p. 122; Tab. III, 2291.—The reading (n. 6) is found elsewhere, see de Goeje, Carmathes 111, note 3. The name al-Jannâbî comes from Jannâba, a small place on the coast of the Persian Gulf, opposite the island Khârak, Yakut II, 122. IKhall. ibidem and No. 650, p. 40, maintains that Jannâba is a place near Baḥrein. Yakut, however, brands 25 this assumption as a gross error.
 - as given in Codd. does not necessarily represent the consonants KSR. The middle letter may stand for a great many combinations of consonants with diacritical points which it is impossible to make out. The man so himself is no doubt identical with "the Isbahanian," de Goeje, Carmathes 129 ff. He managed to pass as a saint in the eyes of Abû Tâhir, the son of Abû Sa'îd (see preceding note), who believed in him and paid him Divine honors. He carried him about in a tent so as to hide him from the gaze of the multi-

¹ IAth., who gives the exact pronunciation, has no Tashdid. Yet, is frequently found, see, e. g., Tab. III, 2508³. Goldziher, Zahiriten, p. 3, writes "'Ajâś," the same ZDMG. 50, 492 "'Ajjâš."

tude (Arîb, p. 162). Ultimately, however, he was found out [68] and then killed by Abû Tâhir's sons. IAth. VIII, 263 f. places these events in 326, de Goeje in 319.—The same man is unquestionably identical with "the Işbahanian," briefly mentioned by Ibn Adharî, ed. Dozy I, 232: "Abû 'Obeid (read Abû 5 Sa'îd) al-Jannâbî . . . advocated publicly adultery, unnatural vice, lying, wine drinking and the omission of prayer. Simi-

larly to it acted the Isbahanian (الأصبهانيّ)." Masudi, Tanbîh, ed. de Goeje, 391¹⁶ describes him as "the young man (الغلام) known as az-Zakarî, one of the descendants of the Persian to kings of the lands of Isbahân." The other sources also give his first name, but in so many forms that it is impossible to make out the correct form; comp. de Goeje, ibidem.

- L. 20 and note 8. The man spoken of here is usually designated as Ibn Haushab; comp. IKhald. II, 185.1 The other 15 names differ widely in the various sources. The nearest to I. H. is Makr.: Abû 'l-Kâsim al-Hasan (or al-Husein) b. Faraj b. Haushab al-Kûfî (de Sacy, ccly note). IAth. VIII, 22, Abulfeda and Bîbars Mansûrî (quoted de Sacy, ib.) call him Rustem b. Husein b. Haushab b. Zadân (IAth. نافاری) an-Najjâr. 20 Nuweirî again (quoted de Sacv, p. ccccxliv) has Abû 'l-Husein Rustem b. Karhin b. Haushab b. Dâdân an-Najjâr. Dastûr al-Munajjimîn (de Goeje, Carmathes 204°) gives Abû 'l-Kâsim al-Faraj b. al-Hasan b. Haushab b. Zâdân.—The reason for this vacillation lies in the fact recorded, though, it seems, no more 25 understood, by I. H. that he "was called al-Mansûr." Mansûr was the title of the Karmatian Missionary-in-chief which approached in significance that of the Mahdî.2 There was a Mansûr al-Bahrein as well as a Mansûr al-Yemen who is referred to here; see de Goeje ib., p. 170, n. 1, 2046.—Ibn 30 Haushab made his public appearance in Yemen in 270, de Goeje ib. 204°. Abû 'Abdallah ash-Shi'î (p. 7510) was one of the best officers of Ibn Haushab (Blochet, 70), to whom he had been sent by 'Ubeidallah and Muhammed al-Habîb (IKhald. II, 185, in the name of Ibn ar-Rakîk, d. 340/952). On the death of 35

¹ Blochet 70 erroneously transcribes Abu'l Kasem ibn Djoushem (sic).

² On Manşûr as the title of the Mahdî (Messiah) see Goldziher, ZDMG. 56, 411; van Vloten, *Chiitisme*, p. 61; de Goeje, *ib*. p. 73.

- [68] Halwânî and Abû Sufyân, the Karmatian missionaries in Maghrib, Ibn Ḥaushab dispatched him to that country (Makr. II, 104 ff., Blochet ib.).
 - Note 8, l. 3-4. 'Ali b. al-Fadl (al-Janadî from the province Janad in Yemen, Ed. II, 38²³, see Comm. p. 17²) was the Janâh (a Karmatian technical term designating a sort of aidede-camp) of Ibn Haushab and accompanied him to Aden La'a, de Goeje ib. 204². The latter gives his name, similarly to I. H., as Ali. Otherwise he is called Muhammed, e. g., Istakhrî 24, ² de Sacy cclv. Nuweirî (quoted de Sacy ccclvi) has Abû'l-Kheir Muhammed b. al-Fadl, comp. Weil, Geschichte der Chalifen II, 510, Müller, Islam I, 595. The Banû Ziyâd traced back their origin to Ziyâd, who pretended to be a son of Abû Sufyân and was afterwards acknowledged as brother by ¹⁵ Mu'awiya, IKot. 176. They were settled in Zebîd. The Du-Manâkh lived in the neighborhood of Aden, Yakut IV, 472.
 - Note 8, 1. 5. البرازي is most probably identical with البراذي, mentioned de Sacy ccx. I quote this passage, as it is of great significance in connection with I. H.'s text. "En 20 année 295 un nouvel imposteur, nommé Abou Khatem, établit une secte particulière parmi certain Karmates du Sawad que l'on nommait Bouranijja, du nom de leur Dar Bourani (البوراني). Abou Khatem interdisait à ses disciples l'ail, le poireau et les raves³... Cette espèce de Karmates fut nommée Nakalijja

النجار الكومى Ibn Adhârî I, 292 is probably identical with Ibn Haushab. Read النجار الكوف, who is mentioned Comm. 17° among the Karmatian missionaries immediately before 'Alî b. al-Fadl (see next note), is identical with our man.—ابرواندی (ib.) is perhaps identical with Abû 'Abdallah ash-Shi'î.

The variant in note n عليه, instead of عليه, instead of عليه, may be due to the difference in name.

^{*}See above page 7616. A certain Mu'tazilite بيكر بير المناه (frequent variant اخشب الحاحث) prohibited garlick and onions, Isfr. 48b. On the prohibition of certain vegetables, see Chwolsohn, Ssabier, II, 10, 109 ff.

(النقلية)." The name Bûrânî does not occur elsewhere, but [68] Nakaliyya is found in various forms. Arîb (ed. de Goeje) p. 137 (anno 316) speaks of the Karmatians known (sic) بالنفلية بسواد الفرات. As one of their leaders is mentioned a certain 5 حریث بن who is no doubt identical with مسعود بن حُرَیث IAth. VIII, 136 (also anno 316). Interesting is Mas'ada's remark (Tanbih 3915): he had already mentioned in أَخْبارَ القرامطة البقلية (sic, see note e) بسواد الكوفة وغَلْبَتَهم عليها وذلك في سنة ٣١٩ والعلَّة في تَسْمِيتهم 10 البقليّة وهو اسم دِيانيّ عندهم وكان رؤساءهم مسعود بين حريث العز, comp. de Goeje ib. p. 99. I consider the reading Bakliyya the only correct one, as it no doubt stands in some relation to the prohibition of certain vegetables (بَقْل) recorded by de Sacy. The connection, assumed in the glossary to Mas'ûdî's Tanbîh (s. v. بقلية), between this sect and a certain 15 al-Baklî (Agh. XI, 7518, see Comm. p. 465) is impossible. Both material and chronological discrepancies (anno 129-anno 316) speak against the identification.

- 69, l. 1. On 'Ubeidallah and the rise of the Fatimides see [69] the detailed accounts by de Goeje, *Carmathes*, p. 5 ff. (the larger 20 part of the essay bearing on this subject), Blochet, p. 77 ff.
- L. 4. The Khattâbiyya and the numerous factions belonging to it are frequently mentioned by I. H. (see Index). The name of the founder as given by I. H. is found Fihr. 186 ult., Shahr. 136, IAth. VIII, 21. Kashi, who devotes a very long 25 article to him (pp. 187–199), calls him Muhammad b. Abî

¹ Perhaps حاتم بن حمل بن حاتم الباراني from Bârân, one of the towns of Merv (Yakut I, 462), may be the same man.—Lubb al-Lubâb explains البوراني من القَصَب as referring البوراني من القَصَب In the Appendix sub hac voce the editor remarks: "In separato articulo agit Ibn al-Athîr de البوراي, quae est alia tantum eiusdem nominis forma." I have not been able to locate the passage in IAth.

[69] Zeinab, but adds that his name was الحقاب ابن ابن ابن الجماع الأسلامي ويكنّى ابا اسماعيل ويكنّى ايضا ابا المبان (p. 187). Makr. 352° gives his name as عمد بن ابن ابن ابن يزيد or ثور the latter Kunya is declared to be correct by de Sacy ccccxl, note 2. Zeid. fol. 104° differs from all other authorities in calling him al-Haṭṭâb (with soft punder the line and without Abû): يقال لهم الحطّابيّة : الني الحطاب والحطاب خليفة زعموا ان الامامة انتقلت من جعفم الى الحطاب والحطاب خليفة جعفم ووصيّة وجعفم عائب (غائبُ read) حتى يرجع الى يرجع الى يرجع عائب (غائبُ وعموا الله عنه يونه عائب (غائبُ عائب (غائبُ وعموا الله عنه يونه عائب (غائبُ وعموا الله عنه يونه عائب (غائبُ ويونه عائبُ ويونه عائب (غائبُ ويونه عائبُ ويونه ويونه ويونه عائبُ ويونه ويو

The Khattâbiyya occupy a commanding position in heterodox Islam. Makr. 352' estimates their subdivisions at no less than fifty. Abû'l-Khattâb is designated as the originator of the allegorical method of Koran interpretation, see p. 14. IKot. 300, on the other hand, confesses to know nothing about him, 15 except that he permitted perjury against the opponents of his sect² as well as murder and adultery. The latter is also attributed to him by other writers.

The central point of the Khattâbiyya doctrine is the worship of Ja'far. They claimed to be in possession of his mystic work 20" Jafr," see p. 106. Fihr. 186 ult. ascribes to him the belief in the divinity of Ali. But this appears to be correct only in so far as he regarded all the Imams as higher Divine beings. According to Shahr. and Isfr. (56°), he claimed prophecy only when Ja'far had withdrawn from him. Zeid. (ib.) however 25 maintains that he asserted his claims only after Ja'far's death, pretending to have been designated by him as his successor.

¹ On Raj'a see p. 23 ff.

² See Makr. 352⁷.—Comp. Goldziher, ZDMG. 60, 222.

³ Isfr. 56⁶ and Makr. 352⁵ assign to the Khatţâbiyya the belief in a "speaking" and "silent" Imam (Natik and Sâmit), a conception which is of such incisive importance in the propaganda of the Bâţiniyya. One might feel reluctant to admit the existence of this belief at so early a period. But Fihr., too, assumes a connection between the Khatţâbiyya and the Meimûniyya, the party of Meimûn al-Kaddâh, the originator of the Bâţiniyya movement. Comp. de Sacy, CCCCXLI.

The orthodox Imamites are anxious to get rid of this unpleas-[69] ant partnership. Hence the numerous utterances put into the mouth of Ja'far which curse Abû'l-Khattâb (Kashi repeatedly, see esp. p. 195) and declare those who follow him to be worse than "Jews, Christians, Magians and heathens" (p. 192, 194; 5 in the year 138, p. 191 below). They maintain that Abû'l-Khattâb told lies about Ja'far (ib. 195, 146) and that his adherents "to this very day smuggle these traditions into the books of the adherents of Abû 'Abdallah (i. e., Ja'far)" (ib. 146).—

Abû'l-Khattâb was crucified in Kufa by 'Îsa b Mûsa (d. 167), Shahr. ib., Isfr. 56^b .

- L. 7. Comp. Iji 346 (read البا الباهُ لكنّ ابو (ابا) إلاهُ لكنّ ابو (ابا) وجعفر الصادق إلاهُ لكنّ ابو (ابا) بالخطّاب افضل منه similarly Bagd. 99^b, Isfr. 56^b.
- L. 9 f. الله وأحبّاؤة is quoted Koran 5, 21 as the 15 pretension of the Jews and Christians. According to Shahr., Abû'l-Khaṭṭâb applied this expression to the ancestors of Jaʿfar, i. e., to the Huseinids only. Makr. (352°) states that he believed that "the Imams were like Ali and that his (i. e., Ali's) children were all prophets." More distinctly Iji ib.: وَالْاَحْبَةُ اللّهُ وَالْمُعْبَةُ اللّهُ وَالْمِبَالِي اللّهُ وَالْمِبَالُولُ اللّهِ وَالْمِبَالُولُ اللهِ وَالْمُبَالُولُ اللهِ وَالْمِبَالُولُ اللهِ وَالْمُبَالِي اللهِ وَالْمِبَالُولُ اللهِ وَالْمِبَالِ اللهِ وَالْمِبَالِي اللهِ وَالْمِبَالِي اللهِ وَالْمِبَالِي اللهِ وَالْمِبَالِي اللهِ وَالْمِبَالِي اللهِ وَالْمِبَالُولُ اللهِ وَالْمِبْلُولُ اللهِ وَالْمِبْلُولُ اللهِ وَالْمُلْعِلِي اللهِ وَالْمُلْعِلِي اللهِ وَالْمُلْعِلِي اللهُ وَالْمُلْعِلِي اللهِ وَلْمُلْعِلِي اللهِ وَالْمُلْعِلِي اللّهِ وَالْمُلْعِلِي اللّهِ وَل
- L. 11. This strange belief was widespread in these circles, see p. 72³⁰. Thus the Mu'ammariyya (p. 114¹¹) believed that "men do not die but their spirits are lifted up into other (men?)" (Makr. 352°). This is evidently the belief in Transmigration. Philosophically tinged is the opinion of the Bazî-30 giyya "that the man who has attained to perfection cannot be said to have died" (p. 96¹¹).

[69] — L. 12. Instead of the translation offered in the text, which conveys no proper meaning, I would suggest to punctuate the Arabic phrase Ed. IV, 187¹⁴ in the following manner:

the most uncertain واشبع على الناس بهذا الشيئ الذى تَرُون the most uncertain in the opinion of men regarding this (the claim not to die and to be lifted up to heaven) is the Sheikh whom you see (i. e., Abû'l-Khaṭṭâb)." In other words, if anyone, then it is Abû'l-Khaṭṭâb who has no chance to get to heaven. L Br (note 5) read

تَشَبَّهُ. Perhaps in Ed., too, اشبع is to be corrected into اشبع.

10 The two readings would then coincide.

- L. 14. On the Mu'ammariyya see Shahr. 137, Makr. 352⁷ (who agrees with him verbatim). This Mu'ammar is possibly identical with the Mu'tazilite معتر بن عباد السامي Makr. 347²⁸, Iji 340, who expresses similar opinions, and with Mu'am-15 mar who advocates the Imamate of 'Abdallah, the son of Ja'far aṣ-Ṣâdiķ, Makr. 351³⁰. The latter view is assigned by Shahr. 126 to the Aftaḥiyya sect, which derives its name from al-Aftaḥ, the by-name of 'Abdallah b. Ja'far. The name of the founder is omitted.
- 20 L. 18. Abû Mugîth (Tab. III, 2289, Abû Muhammed) al-Husein b. Mansûr al-Hallâj, whose grandfather is said to have been a Magian (Zoroastrian), came from the town Beidâ in Fâris. He was executed in 309/922 during the reign of al-Muktadir and his ashes were strewn in the Tigris. His adher-25 ents considered this the cause of the rise of the Tigris in that year. Many expected that he would return to life after forty days (comp. p. 23²⁷), asserting that it was not Hallâj who was executed but an enemy of his on whom he had pressed his own features, IKhall. 186, see Comm. 30¹². He exercised a powerful 30 influence not only on his own age but on posterity as well. He had numerous admirers among orthodox Muhammedans (Bagd.

¹ One thinks of Makr.'s words (35210) in his account on the Bazîgiyya that Ja'far was a god وليس هو الذي يراه الناس واذّما تشبّه على But I do not know how to bring this meaning into I. H.'s sentence.

101^a)¹ and even among non-Muhammedans.² See on Hallâj, [69] Fihr. 190¹⁴ ff. (with a list of his writings), Arîb (ed. de Goeje) 86 ff., Bagd. 101ª, Isfr. 60ª f. (an extract from the latter, Haarbrücker II, 417), Dozy, Isl. 324 f., Kremer, Ideen 70 f., 130 note 26.

— L. 19. IKhall. No. 186 similarly has Hâmid (not *Ibn* H., note 9). He died 311.

— L. 22. The by-name of this sectarian is usually given as الشَّلْعَانَى, from Shalmagan, a town in the neighborhood of Wasit, IAth. VIII, 216, Yakut III, 314. It is evident that 10 I. H. took the name Shalmagan to be that of a person (see also note 10). Similarly IKhall. No. 186, p. 129 has Ibn ash-Shalmagan. Interesting in this connection is Yakut's remark (ib.): "ash-Shalmagan is the name of a man; possibly this town derives its name from him. But it is a mistake." He admits, 15 however, that elsewhere this word is found as a personal name, as can be confirmed by a verse of al-Buhturî. Aside from this coincides with the reading of A, note 10 of our text) and الشلعانى Bagd. 102°.—I. H. is the only one who designates 20 him as Kâtib. Perhaps this is due to a confusion with the Kâtib mentioned soon afterwards (Text, p. 70, note 2, l. 5).

The reading الفراقد (note 11) is confirmed by the variant المراقد , IKhall. No. 186, p. 129. The vast majority of writers,

I. H. quotes him repeatedly as the type of a miracle worker, e. g., Ed. I, 10921, 11011; he ridicules (V, 11713) the "adherents of Incarnation and the extremists among the Râfida" who believe that people like Hallâj, ابن ابی العزاقر (probably abbreviation for ابن ابی العزاقر, see Comm. 116 n. 1) and others are Divine beings, while they sit in their company, discharge the lowest human functions and exhibit human desires.

² See a poem of his transcribed in Hebrew characters published by Hirschfeld, Jewish Quarterly Review, 15 (1903), p. 176, 180 f. I myself found in the Oxford Genizah (Ms. Hebr. d 57) a poem of a similar nature in Hebrew characters with the superscription منافعة والله عنه الله عنه (He plainly says there الله الله الله عنه).)

[69] however, give him the Kunya ابو العزاقم. Bagd. 91°, 102° and Isfr. 61° call his adherents العزاقرة. IAth. VIII, 216 reads

The cardinal point of ash-Shalmaganî's doctrine is the theory of the "Addad" (Contrasts), the simultaneous revelation of God in a good and evil principle. Thus, e. g., he revealed himself first in Adam and Iblîs, etc. He called Moses and Muhammed impostors, because they merely were the apostles of Aron and Ali respectively and usurped a dignity to which they were not entitled. IAth. and Abulfeda II, 382, from whom I have drawn this information, point out the resemblance between this doctrine and that of the Nuseiriyya (p. 126 f.), suggesting that they are identical.

On ash-Shalmaganî see also Fihr. 1762, 1472, 1969, de Slane's English translation of IKallikan I, 439, note 18 (a biography extracted from Dahabî's Ta'rîkh al-Islâm), de Sacy ccxlii, Kremer, Ideen 75 ff.

Worthy of note is the relation of the official Shi'a to this heretic. Tusy allots him some space in his work (p. 305, No.

20 662), but cautiously adds كان مستقيم الطريق ثمّ تغيّر.
Mîrza fol. 55 rebukes the Imamites for this ambiguous attitude:

ومن الطرائف انهم نقلوا في كُتُب صِحابهم من محمد بن على الشلمغاني الفضايري (sic) وأَمْثالِه وأَشْباهِه أَصْادِيثَ متكثّرةً وذمّوها في كُتُب رجالهم غاية الذمّ حتى انه قال الجِّليّ في

أبن !is, of course, a variant of الفراقل. If Ed. V 11714 العزاقر, then this would be the original form of the name and the difference between I. Ḥ. (note 11) and the other writers could be easily explained. IAth. VIII, 372 calls him Ibn Abî'l-Ķarāķir.

² This idea is clearly identical with the Syzygy doctrine taught in the Pseudo-Clementines, *Recognitiones* III, 59, 61; *Homilies*, II, 15; *Recogn.* III, 61 assumes ten such opposite pairs.

³ Died 726h, Haji Khalfa II, 194.

و69 خلاصة الرجال وابن داود في رجاله ان الصادق قال في شأنه انه كُذّاب ملعون .

70, l. 1 f. and note 1. Comp. Bagd. 102a: واباح اللواط وزعم المعنصول واباح الباعد لله حُرَمَهم طَمَعًا انته إيلاجُ الفاضلِ نورَه في المفضول واباح الباعد لله حُرَمَهم طَمَعًا

في إيلاجه نورة فيهن .—He believed that the union in spirit is 5 possible only through the union in flesh, de Sacy II, 572.

- Note 2, l. 2–4. Al-Husein b. 'Ubeidallah' was Vizier under al-Muktadir. I. H.'s assertion that he was killed conflicts with the statement of all other authorities that he renounced ash-Shalmagani in time and thus saved his life. Bagd. reports 10 that the Shafiite and Malekite judges were of different opinion regarding the admissibility of his repentance, the former voting for, the latter against its acceptance.
- Note 2, l. 5. Ibrâhîm b. Aḥmad b. Muhammed b. Abî 'Aun (so Yakut III, 314; IKhallikan ib. omits Muhammed; 15 Bagd. ib. has ابراهيم بن محمل بن المنجّ b. Muhammed b. Abî was a writer of note, celebrated for the elegance of his style, Yakut, IKhall. Contrary to the vizier al-Husein (see preceding note), he refused

Contrary to the vizier al-Ḥusein (see preceding note), he refused to renounce ash-Shalmaġânî and was crucified and then burned in the year 322.

— L. 5. The same man is mentioned by I. H. as a typical sorcerer Milal V, fol. 62a (Ed. I, 10920 ff. as well as Cod. L leave the name out and differ considerably): ومن هذا النوع كان (sic) البصرى وسائر الكذّابين فقط . See the variants in our text note 3. There is no means to decide 25 which is the correct form.—This person seems to be identical with a man merely designated as البصرى and dealt with by IAth. VIII, 372 (anno 340). He pretended that Ibn Abî'l Karâķir (see p. 116 n. 1) had embodied himself in him and he had then become the legitimate head of the Karâķiriyya. The 30

¹ Comp. Brockelmann I, 406.

 $^{^2}$ The same form of the name also IKhall. 186, p. 129 (=de Slane's edition 2245), IAth. VIII, 217, Abulfeda II, 382, Bagd. 1028, Isfr. 615; only Tab. III, 21627 has $Ab\hat{u}$?l-Ḥusein.

- [70] identification suggests itself the more readily, as in I. H.'s account he also follows immediately after ash-Shalmaganî.—The clause "in our time" is scarcely correct, as I. H. was born 384^h (died 456). The mistake, however, is excusable when we think 5 of the distance between Cordova and Basra.
 - L. 7. Abû Muslim, usually styled Ṣâḥib ad-Daula, was born about 100^h and was assassinated at the command of Mansûr about 140, IKhall. No. 382; IKot. 191 gives the year 137. The by-name السراح (as-Sirâj "Lamp" or, better, as-Sarrâj 10 "Saddler"?) I found only in I. H. (Text here, 36¹¹, 45¹⁸).

Abû Muslim was dealt with Text 4513. Here I. H. records the additional belief in his divinity. According to Shahr. 114, it was the Rizâmiyva who advocated this belief. The founder of this sect, Rizâm b. Sàbik,2 rose in Khorâsân during the lifetime He maintained that Ali transferred the 15 of Abû Muslim. Imamate to Muhammad b. al-Hanafiyya, who passed it over to Abû Hâshim, who, in turn, bequeathed it in writing to the Abbassides. At the same time he believed that Abû Muslim was an associate in the Imamate and an incarnation of the Similarly Iji 347. Bagd. 100^a (and alike Isfr. 59^a) ثم زعموا ان : confine these doctrines to a fraction of the Rizâmiyya الامامة بعد السفّاح صار (صارت read) الى ابي مسلم وأُقروا مع ذلك بقَتْل ابي مسلم وموته آلا فوقةً منهم يقال لها ابو مسلميّة أُفرطوا في ادى مسلم غايةً الإِفْراط وزعموا انه صار إلاهًا بحلول روح الإلاه فيه وزعموا أن أبا مسلم خَيْر من جبريل وميكايل وسائم 25 الملائكة وزعموا ايضا ان ابا مسلم حيّ لم يمت وهم على

¹ Makr. reads , see Text ib. note 6.

² Instead of سابق Cureton's edition has a blank. It was apparently missing in his Ms. I have supplied the name from Makr. 353^a. Haarbrücker, p. 173, curiously translates: "Die Anhänger von Rizâm, dem Sohne eines unbekannten Vaters"!

³ Hence their classification among the Keisâniyya.

⁴ The Bazîġiyya (p. 95³⁴ ff.) believed "that some among them were better than Gabriel, Michael and Muhammed," Makr. 352¹¹.

[70] انتظاره وهاولاء بمَرْو وهُواة يُعرَفون بالبركوكية فإذا سُمَّل هاولاء عن الذي قتله المنصور قالوا كان شيطانًا تصوّر للناس في مورة أبي مسلم Masudi VI, 186, on the whole, agrees with this presentation: "When the (news of) the assassination of Abû Muslim reached Khorâsân and the other mountainous regions. 5 the Khurramiyya (comp. the variants) became agitated. are the party called Muslimiyya, which believed in Abû Muslim and in his Imamate · · · Some among them were of the opinion that he has not died nor would he ever die until he has appeared and filled the earth with justice." Fihr. 34427 ff. similarly 10 describes the Muslimiyya as the sect which believed that Abû Muslim was alive (انّه حتَّ يُرزَق, comp. Comm. 3813). He mentions particularly a certain Ishâk who acted in Transoxania as Abû Muslim's missionary, claiming that the latter was imprisoned in the mountains of ar-Rayv and that he would come forth at a 15 certain time which was known to him only. Makr. 3532 is not correct when he describes the Rizâmiyya as the party which passes the Imamate down to as-Saffah and quite separately enumerates among the Râwandiyya (p. 121 ff.) the دسلمنة (see footnote below) which transfers the Imamate from as-Saffâh to 20

Abû Muslim.²

1 See p. 30¹⁰.

² Makr. 354² البي العباس أنه العباس أنه العباس أنه is to be read instead of البي مسلم. —de Sacy LIX connects the بسلمية with Abû Salma, Abû Muslim's general. But then it would be most surprising that Makr. mentions nothing about the worship of Abû Muslim and that the other sources again mention nothing about Abû Salma. Besides, Abû Salma would scarcely be styled "Sâḥib ad-Daula." The proposed emendation removes these difficulties. The name of the sect البسلمية is either to be read البسلمية (as Masudi and Fihrist have) or to be explained as a contracted Nisba for عبشمية (as Bagd. gives), e. g., عبد القيس بعبد القيس

عبد القيس comp. Wright, Grammar of the Arabic Language (3d ed.) I, § 264 Rem. b. True, this contraction does not exactly correspond with the examples given, I, p. 162 A. But one knows that the abstractions of the Arabic grammarians are of little avail, especially in the case of the Nisba endings.

[70] On Abû Muslim and his connection with Mazdaism, see Blochet 43 ff.

See also Text 36°. The first name of al-Mukanna' — L. 9. is not certain. IKhall. No. 431 gives 'Atâ and Hakîm respect-The latter name is recorded Tab. III, 48415 and IAth. Håshim (reading of L. Br, note 6) is also found Makr. 354°, while Bagd. 100°, perhaps correctly, calls him Hashim b. He was from Merv (note 7), according to Bagd. من اهل تَرْيةِ يقال لها كاره كيمان دات. He was a fuller by 10 profession, I. H., Bagd. Abulfeda II, 44, IAth. VI, 25 (read instead of قصارًا). He belonged to the Rizâmiyya (Shahr. 115, Bagd.) and believed in the divinity of Abû Muslim, regarding himself as his incarnation (IAth.). He committed suicide while besieged in his stronghold in 163. According 15 to one version, he died through poison, Tab. III, 49010, IKhall. ib., Abulfeda ib., Dozy, Isl. 245 f. According to another (recorded by Bagd. and Isfr.), he threw himself into a burning furnace so that his adherents were unable to find his body and were therefore induced to believe that he had been lifted up to 20 heaven. IAth. VI, 34 f. gives room to both versions. 100^b (shorter Isfr. 60^a) adds the following interesting notice about the adherents of Mukanna' at the time of this writer: وأَتْباعه اليوم في جبال إيلاق أَكْرَه أَهْلِها ولهم في كلّ قرية من قُراهم مسجد لا يصلون فيه ولكن يكترون مؤذّنًا يؤذّن فيه وهم يستحلون المَيْتة ولخنزيم وكلُّ واحد منهم يستمتع بامرأة غيره 25 وإنْ ظفروا بمسلم لم يَهَ المؤذِّن الذي في مسجدهم قتله ا وأَخْفَوْه غير انهم مَقْهورون بعامّة المسلمين في ناحيتهم والحمد لله على ذلك .

Very important is Bagd's statement (100a) concerning his مرقعم لأَتْباعد اند هو الإلد واند قد كان تصور مرّة في

¹ On the border of Farġâna, Yakut I, 421.

² This word gives no sense. Isfr. has instead يستاجرون.

— L. 13. Read Râwandiyya (with long â in the first syllable). The name Râwandiyya is generally applied to the people who came in 141 or, according to another version, in 136 or 137, to 10 Hâshimiyya, then the capital of the Caliphate, to pay divine homage to the Caliph al-Mansûr, Tab. III, 129=IAth. V, 383; Dozy, Isl. 242; Kremer, Ideen 12; Müller, Islam I, 494; Weil, Geschichte der Chalifen II, 37 f.; van Vloten, Chiitisme 48. This application, however, is correct only in part. Originally, 15 it seems, the Râwandiyya were but a political party which assigned the Imamate to the Abbassides, just as other parties assigned it to the Omeyyads or Alides. Masudi repeatedly describes them as the شيعة ولد العباس who justified the transfer of the Imamate to the Abbassides on the basis of Koran 8, 76 20 and who hired the corruptible al-Jahiz (d. 255/869) to write for them to order the book "Kitâb Imâmati waladi'l-'Abbâs." (Masudi VIII, 56.) The latter fact alone, which brings the Râwandiyva down to the third century H., suffices to show that the Râwandiyya, at least, chronologically, 25 extend far beyond the ill-fated "guluww" attempt in 141. It was only at a later time that the Râwandiyya claimed that the Imamate had been transferred to the Abbassides by a written will of Abû Hâshim, the son of Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyya (Masudi VIII, 58), thus appearing as a branch of the Keisâniyya. 30 Bagd. apparently holds the same view on this matter when, in formulating the orthodox doctrine of the Imamate, he adds وقالوا (يعنى اهل السُّنّة والجماعة) بامامة الي بكر : (fol. 133a) الصِّدّيق بعد النبيّ صلَّى الله عليه وسلَّم خلافَ قول مَنْ

122

أَثْبتها لعلى وَحْدَه من الرافضة وخلاف قول الروندية (70] (sic)

الذين اثبتوا امامة العبّاس بعده Comp. also fol. 12^a. I. H. expresses himself similarly Ed. IV. 90^{1a}: "Another party says: the Caliphate is only permissible in the children of al-5 'Abbâs b. 'Abd al-Muttalib. This is the opinion of the Râwandiyya."

It was only a small group out of this large party which cherished extravagant ideas and, as the Muhammedan theologians would say, exaggerated concerning the 'Abbassides. This is so still evident from Tab.'s statement III, 41810, that it was a certain man called Ablak who arranged the attempt at the deification of Mansûr and "called upon the Râwandiyya to join him," in other words, used an already existing party for his special purposes.³

The name of the sect is written לְּכָּטֹבֵּׁבְּ, Tab. ib., I. H. and others; אָבְיָטִבָּּ, Bagd. and Isfr., and בְּיָטֹבֵּּ, Suyûti, Ta'rikh, 263, which the English translator, p. 266 note, unjustifiedly, as will presently be seen, regards as incorrect. For it is the latter variant, reflected as well in the reading of Ed. Y. 20 (our text, note 9) and this page, note 2, which gives us the clue to the origin of the sect. As a matter of fact, the Râwandiyya are unanimously connected by the Arabic authors with the province of Khorâsân, which was, as is well known, the centre of the Abbasside propaganda (Masudi VI, 54, Tab. 25 III, 82, 129¹⁵=IAth. V, 383, comp. Abulfeda II, 13). A locality by the name of Râwand, however, is unknown in that province. A place of that name is mentioned by Yakut II, 741 as being in the vicinity of Isbahân. Accordingly, Dozy, Isl. 242 and Weil, Geschichte der Chalifen II, 38 (the latter quotes

י may refer to the Prophet or to Ali. The latter is more probable, for Masudi, too, tells us that they made an exception in the case of Ali.

² Cod. L. الزويدية, see later. Masudi VI, 26 says rather vaguely: "The Râwandiyya (maintain) that the Imamate is permissible in the Kureish only."

³ The view set forth in the text is in the main anticipated by de Sacy LVI f. who similarly takes Mas'ûdî's statement as the point of departure.

⁴ It is to be regretted that the editor omitted the variations of this name, which he declares (III, 82, note b) to be numerous.

also other views, note 1) seek the origin of this sect in that [70] region. But this view contradicts the express statements of the Arabic sources. Considering the variations of the name of this sect, I am inclined to place its origin in ريوند, a region near Nîsabûr, the capital of Khorâsân, Yakut II, 891, comp. Lubb 5 al-Lubâb s. v., الريوندي. This conjecture is raised to certainty by the fact that IKhall. calls the very same region راوند بين الراوندي are two various pronunciations of the same name which in Persian sounded Rêvend.

Aside from this geographical explanation of the name, another derivation is found which must be discussed here. speaking of the succession of the Imamate after Abû Hâshim, ثم قال قومٌ رجعت (يعني الامامة) بعد ابي remarks as follows: 15 هاشم الي محمد (بن على add?) بن عبد الله بن عباس بوصية بن (برن strike out) ابے هاشم له بها وهذا قول ابرن (strike out) I combine this statement with the notice Makr. 35111 (in his enumeration of the sects of the Rawafid) وقد اختلف الناس في الامام بعد رسول الله صلَّى الله عليه و وسلم فذهب الجمهور الى اند ابو بكر . . . وقال العباسية والربوبدية اتباع ابى هريرة الربوبدي وقيل اتباع ابي العباس الربوبدي هو العباس بن عبد المطلب رضى الله عنه لأنه العم I do not hesitate to read . والوارث وهو أحقّ من ابن العمّ (الربوبدى and الربوبدية instead of الريوندي and الريوندية and, taking into account their identity in doctrine, to regard 25 them as one.

Examining our material as a whole, we are led to believe that there were two sects of this name: the one, properly so called, from Rîwand in Khorâsân, appeared in the time of Abû

¹ De Sacy LVII recognized in part this relation.

[70] Muslim and professed the extravagant doctrines set forth above; the other, called so after their founder or leader ar-Râwandî, was a political party for which al-Jâhiz as late as in the third century composed his treatise in favor of the Abbasside claims 5 to the Imamate.

Finally, attention may be called to another sect which stands in a peculiar relation to the Râwandiyya. It is a remarkable fact that our sect which, as can be inferred from the above, is by no means insignificant, is mentioned neither by Shahr. nor ¹⁰ Iji nor Makr. In its stead we find the Rizâmiyya, credited with exactly the same views, as have been set forth above as those of the Râwandiyya. And what is even more significant, the Baslamiyya (or Muslimiyya, see p. 119 n. 2), which worshipped Abû Muslim as a Divine incarnation and is counted among the ¹⁵ Râwandiyya (Makr. 353 ult.,—the only passage in which the name occurs—, Tab. III, 129¹⁶=IAth. V, 383; Bagd. 103^b:

other sources among the Rizâmiyya (p. 118 f.). It is clear that the two sects are intimately connected with one another. One 20 feels naturally inclined to take them for one. The difference in the names and their derivations seem to speak against their identity.

— L. 15. · See p. 100³² ff.

61, n. 8, Text 71" (see note 12). Very interesting in this con-[71] nection is Makr.'s notice quoted from a manuscript by van

Vloten, Worgers ib.: ومنهم الخربية أَتْباع عبد الله بن الحرب ومنهم الخربية أَتْباع عبد الله بن الحرب وهو من بنى واسم الحرث سلم بن مسعود بن خالد بن اشرم وهو من بنى أو الطميح بن الخرب بن معوية بن الحرث بن معوية بن أحرث The notice is not quite clear, but this much can be inferred from it that there is both الحرث and الخرب among 'Abdallah's ancestors who may be responsible for the variations and that the name of the sect does not, at least in this case, necessarily conform with the immediate ancestor of the founder. 10

Very peculiar is the notice Shahr. 113 that after 'Abdallah b. Mu'awiya's death (comp. Text 71") his adherents believed that his spirit was transferred to "Ishak b. Zeid b. al-Ḥarith al-Ansarî. These are the Ḥarithiyya who permit forbidden things and live the life of one who has no duties imposed on 15 him" (comp. de Sacy, II, 593). It would thus seem that the Ḥarithiyya are not identical with the Ḥarbiyya and represent but a later development of the Ḥarbiyya (or Kharbiyya).

¹ Van Vloten is inclined to pronounce the name al-Kharibiyya to suit the metre. This is scarcely permissible considering that the word itself stands in the verse by emendation.

² The genealogical chain Marta'—Thaur—Mu'âwiya—al-Hârith—Mu-'âwiya is found Wüstenfeld, *Tabellen*, 4²¹.

³ Comp. Goldziher, ZDMG. 61, 75 n. 2.

⁴ I have no means to ascertain whether the following passages have any bearing on this sect, although several points seem to suggest it: Belâdorî, Futûh al-Buldân, ed. de Goeje, 295 penult.: خاربية نُسب الله البلخي (see variants): 'Abu'l-Maḥâsin, Leyden (كان بها (يعني بمدينة تفليس) حرب بن عبدالله الريوندي الذي تُنسَب اليه الحربيّة ببغداد. (IKhall.

ودُفن بمقبرة باب: (biography of Ahmad b. Hanbal): حرب وباب حرب منسوب الى حرب بن عبد الله احد المحاب ابى جعفم المنصور والى حرب هذا تنسب المحلة المعروفة مالحربية.

- [71] According to Bagd. 97a, 'Abdallah adhered to the doctrine of Bayân that God embodies himself in the prophets and the Imams, claiming that the Divine spirit went over from Abû Hâshim to him; comp. Shahr. 112 penult.
 - 5 L. 4. On the number of prayers see the variants here and Text 37, n. 3. 17 is attested by most manuscripts, Makr. 362¹⁶ (quotation from I. H.), also in the notice quoted by van Vloten, Worgers ib. Is 17 (7+10) a holy number? The "Greatest Name" is said to consist of 17 letters, p. 87²⁶.
 - 10 L. 6. The Sufriyya (or Sifriyya, see Haarbrücker, II, 406) is a very moderate Khârijite sect.
 - L. 8. Makr. quoted van Vloten, Worgers, ib. expresses himself similarly ورجع الى قول الصّفْريّةِ الخوارج فبرى منه امحابه الحقاقة المحاققة المحاق
 - L. 14. On 'Abdallah b. Mu'âwiya see Text 45¹⁵, Comm. 44¹¹ ff. and Wellhausen, *Opp.* 98 f.
 - and نصرية (see the readings note 15). The former is also found Abulfeda II, 388 (IAth. VIII, 220, which is his source, reads النصيرية), Dictionary of Technical Terms, p. 1385 (quotation from Iji; ed. Sörenson has النصيرية). This difference 30 is of importance for the understanding of the origin of the sect, which is controversial; see de Sacy CLXXXIII, II 559 ff., Wolff, Drusen 214 ff. Abulfeda derives the name from the citadel Nasariyya and places the origin of the sect in the year 270/891. Nuseiriyya again is interpreted as a term of constempt: "little Christians," ZDMG. III, 308 note. On the other hand, Guayard, "Un grand maître des Assassins," Journal

Asiatique 1877, I, p. 349, derives the name from a man called [71] Muhammed b. Nuseir, an adherent of al-Ḥasan al-'Askarî (died 260), the eleventh Imam of the Imamiyya, Text 58'. The Catechism of the Druzes considers the founder of the Nuseiriyya a man named Nuseirî, Blochet 101.

The cardinal point of the Nuseiriyya doctrine is the deification of Ali. This accounts for the fact that they are considered by I. H. an outgrowth of the Sabâ'iyya.—Ali, they believed, existed before the world was created, Shahr. 144. To the question "who has created us?" the modern Nuseiriyya cate-10 chism gives the reply: "Ali", ZDMG. III, 302. In other words, Ali is the Demiurge, see p. 91. They believed in the simultaneous incarnation of God in a good and evil being (Shahr. 144, Iji 348), more exactly, in seven such successive incarnations; see the list of the seven incarnations, ZDMG. III, 303; on the 15 number seven see Index s.v. Seven. This theory strikingly resembles ash-Shalmagânî's doctrine of the Addâd, p. 116°. Muhammed was Ali's apostle and was sent to bring mankind to his recognition, ZDMG. III, 302.

The Nuseiriyya are closely related to the Ishâkiyya (p. 102¹³). 20 They are mentioned together, Shahr. 143, Iji 21, 348. Yakut III, 275, appears to identify them. He says briefly of ash-Shorṭa, a district near Wâsiṭ, اهلها كلّها اسحاقية نصيرية.

- 72, l. 1. Instead of "army" read "district." أَجْنَاكُ, pl. [72] أَجْنَاكُ, originally "army district," became afterwards a pure 25 geographical designation. The Jordan district with Tiberias as capital corresponds to the Roman province Palestina Secunda (Prof. Nöldeke in a private communication).—I have found no reference to this occupation of Palestine by the Nuseiriyya outside of I. H.
- L. 2 ff. Yakut probably refers to the same fact when he says, referring to them (II, 338, sub voce أَصُلُهُم الأماميّة (حِمْص

The reason for their hatred of Fâtima and her children lies probably in their conception of Ali as Divine being, who, as such, can have neither wife nor children. Abu'l 35 Maali 158 enumerates among the Gâliya a sect Azdariyya: "They say that he who was the father of Ḥasan and Ḥusein was

- [72] not the (real) Ali. He was rather a man called Ali al-Azdarî. But the Ali who is an Imam has no children, as he is the Creator." I. H.'s statement contradicts the assertion of Shahr. 144° (comp. Haarbrücker II, 413), Iji 21, 348, see de Sacy II, 559, that the Nuseiriyya (and Ishâkiyya) worshipped the children of Ali as well. The modern Nuseiriyya catechism (ZDMG. III, 305) also recognizes this relationship of Ali in his capacity as man.
 - L. 8. This is a reflex of the belief in Docetism, p. 30.
 - o L. 13. In his polemic against the Sabâ'iyya, who believe that a devil was killed in Ali's stead, Isfr. 56a uses the same ويزعمون انّ الذي قتله ابن مُكْبَم كان شيطانًا ومَنْ :argument
- [73] 73, note 2. The addition in L. Br is characteristic of I. H.'s Zâhirite standpoint.
 - L. 3. See Text p. 3411 and Comm. p. 1311 ff.
 - L. 4. The words enclosed in quotation marks make the impression of a citation from some Sufi author. Perhaps it would have been more correct to translate بعض as "one": 20 "one of them adds."
 - L. 6 f. The name of this Sûfi is Abû Sa'îd Abû'l-Kheir, as I. H. expressly states, with two kunyas joined together; de Sacy, Journal des Savants 1821, p. 725 gives the same form of the name. Browne, A Literary History of Persia, 25 New York 1906, writes consistently Abû Sa'îd bnu Abî'l-Kheir (see passages in his index). The same Dozy, Isl. 320, Goldziher, Abhandlungen zur arabischen Philologie I, 186, note 3 (from Ibn Abî Useibi'a, ed. Müller II, 91').

Abû Sa'îd was born December 7, 967 and died January 12, 30 1049. He was a contemporary of Avicenna (980–1037)—he is said to have been his friend, Goldziher, ibidem¹—and consequently of I. H. (Text 73°). According to Dozy, however, ib. (=Kremer, Ideen, p. 66), he founded a monastery (Khânkah) in Khorâsân as early as in the year 200/815. But the date is no 35 doubt incorrect.

The Sufis regard him as the originator of their doctrine. De Sacy ib, thinks that this is unhistorical.

¹ He is buried by his side, in Hamadan. See the picture of their tombs in Jackson, *Persia Past and Present* (New York 1906), p. 167.

15

Abû Sa'îd was a famous Rubâ'î poet, Browne, ib. 261 ff. [73] Even now his Rubâ'îs are believed to have a magic power. They are recited a certain definite number of times as prayers for forgiveness of sins, for rain, etc. 1

On his spiritual conception of the religious obligations comp. 5 Browne, ib. p. 268.

- L. 9. On the prohibition of silk see Hughes, *Dictionary* of Islam, sub voce Dress.
- L. 21 ff. The belief in a written will (دُقُونُ) of the Prophet bequeathing the Imamate to Ali is the cardinal tenet of the 10 Imamites in contradistinction from the Zeidites; see Introduction p. 22, Shahr. 122 ff., Iji 353, Makr. 351, IKhald. I, 356. On the reflex of this struggle in the Hadîth see Goldziher, Muh. St. II, 115 ff.
 - 74, l. 4. On the name Rawâfid see Appendix A.
- L. 9. On Zeid see Shahr. 116. He was a pupil of Wâșil [74] b. 'Aţâ, the founder of the Mu'tazila.
 - L. 13. See p. 22.

¹ Zhukovski in the Memoires (Zapiski) of the Oriental Department of

أَتّْبَاعُ الحسن بن صالح بن كثير الابتّر .

VOL. XXIX.

¹ Zhukovski in the Memoires (Zapiski) of the Oriental Department of the Russian Archeological Society, XIII (1900), p. 145.

² See following note.

³ Cf. p. 130³⁰.—Makr. 352²⁹ curiously connects the two men

ابن ابی حفصة والحکم بن عتیبة وسلمة بن کهیل وابو (sic) [74] المقدام ثایت (sic) الحداد وهم الذین دعوا الی ولایة علی رضی الله عنه ثم خلطوها بولایة ابی بکم وعمر ویثبتون لهما امامتهما ویبغضون عثمان وطلحة والزَّبَیْم وعایشة ویرون الحروج مع بطون ولد علی بن ابی طالب.

— Note 6. The word مقالة in the sense required here is not found in the dictionaries. It obviously stands here for "heterodox view or belief, heresy." The word is frequently found in the kindred literature. Thus I. H. at the very begin-10 ning of his Milal Ed. I, 117 says: The previous writers on the same subject omitted "many of the strongest objections of the adherents of, makâlât, heterodox views." IV, 18820: ذكر بعضُ It has been mentioned ''It has been mentioned by some (or one) of the compilers of the heterodox views of 15 those who (wrongly) consider themselves Muslims." Comp. also IV, 1893; III, 234 and often. Shahr. uses the word in the same sense: 15; 601 المصنّفون في المقالات the heresiologists, (Ḥâji Khalfa VI, 117, 118 المحاب المقالات). Masudi V, 473 similarly refers to the مصنِّفي كُتُب المقالات. His well-known, الكتاب في المقالات المقالا It appears from this as well as from Ed. I, 14 and Shahr. 218 that مقالات is contrasted with ديانات "the religious (and legitimate) views."

— L. 19. See Text 30⁵, 75³.—The name of this theologian 25 is subject to a great many variations. It appears most frequently in the form حمى, the latter name also in the form of حمى, بعنى variously pointed as خبى, جنى and خبى; see the readings Text 30, note 2; 79 n. 1; IAth. in the index; Masudi V, 474 and VI, 24 (comp. p. 490; the editors make 30 of it بحيى); Kashi 152⁶ (sic) بالحسن بن صالح بن يحى.—I. H.

¹ Freytag records a slightly similar significance of the word from Golius: "opinio, sententia."

calls him *promiscue* al-Ḥasan b. Ṣâliḥ (b. Hayy), Text 30⁷, 74¹⁹ [74] (note 10), 75 n. 1, and al-Ḥasan b. Ḥayy, 74^{23,26}, 75², 79¹⁸. This peculiar circumstance is rendered intelligible by the fact that Ḥayy or, more exactly, Ḥayyân is identical with Ṣâliḥ; see Tab. III, 2516¹², 2517³ (and notes), Wüstenfeld, *Tabellen* 9³¹. *Fihr*. 5 178¹⁶, however, calls his father Sâliḥ *bnu* Hayy.

Al-Hasan, with the Kunya Abû 'Abdallah, was a member of the Thaur Hamdân (Bagd. 10^a, comp. Isfr. 9^a, l. 8).¹ His daughter was married to 'Îsa, the son of Zeid b. Ali, the founder of the Zeidiyya. Together with his son-in-law, who 10 was pursued by the Caliph Mahdî, he was compelled to hide in Kufa for seven years. He died in the same city; the year of his death is variously given as 167, 168 and 169; see Wüstenfeld, Register, sub voce, Tab. ib., Fihr. ib., Dahabî, Huffâz V, 45. He was famous for his piety, see especially Dahabî. 15 Muslim in his Saḥiḥ quotes him as Râwi, while Bukhârî mentions him honorably, Bagd. 10^a (comp. Isfr. 9^a): حديث مسلم بن الحجيم ولم يُخرج عبد بن الساعيل البخاري حديث مشنده العجيم ولكنة قال في كتاب التأريخ الكبير الحسن بن صالح بن حي الكوفي سبع سباك بن حرب ومات سنة سبع صالح بن حي الكوفي سبع سباك بن حرب ومات سنة سبع وستين ومادة وهو من دور همدان كُنْيَتُه ابو عبد الله.

I. H.'s account on al-Hasan's views flagrantly contradicts the statements of the other sources. The latter generally count him among the Zeidiyya, who confine the Imamate to the 25 descendants of Ali or, still narrower, to those of Fâțima (see later p. 132¹⁶ ff.), Shahr. 121, Bagd., Isfr.; IKot. 301 counts him, more vaguely, among the Shi'a. Fihr. 178²⁰, who mentions him

among the كبار الشيعة الزيديّة, registers a book of his entitled "A book on the Imamate of the descendants of Ali by Fâtima." 30

¹ The South-Arabic tribe Hamdân in Irâk adhered to the Alides, Kremer, Culturgeschichte unter den Chalifen, II, 144.

² The editors (note 16) bring al-Hasan b. Sâlih in connection with the Mu'tazilite sect Salihiyya, Iji 340², comp. Shahr. 107. This assumption is inadmissible. Our al-Hasan is rather connected with the Sâlihiyya among the Shiites, Shahr. 120 and the Buteiriyya, Iji 353. Comp. Masudi V, 474 and Comm. p. 129²² ff.

- [74] In contradiction to all these authors, I. H. insists that al-Hasan shared the orthodox view which admits the Imamate "in all the descendants of Fihr b. Mâlik," i. e., the Kureish (comp. Wüstenfeld, Tabellen O''). One might feel inclined to charge 5 I. H. with the attempt to claim this famous theologian for the Sunna. But it must be remembered that I. H. quotes as his authority an Imamite.—Quite isolated is Masudi's statement (VI, 25) that he went as far as to admit the Imamate even outside the Kureish.
 - L. 22. On Hishâm see p. 65^{11} ff.
 - L. 23. The book is recorded Fihr. 175, Tusy p. 355, No. 771
- [75] 75, l. 4. This is intended to show that al-Hasan considered even these men legitimate Imams. Al-Hasan was also very 15 mild in his opinion about 'Othmân, see p. 129^{10,27}.
 - L. 8. I. H. stands quite alone with this assertion. According to all other authorities, including Masudi V, 474, IKhald. I, 357, comp. Kremer. *Ideen* 375, the Zeidiyya restrict the Imamate to the descendants of *Fâtima*. The Keisâniyya 20 are thus excluded. See Introduction, p. 23 and Comm. p. 35.
 - L. 9. Comp. IKhald. ib. The Zeidiyya recognize the Imamate of every descendant of Fâțima وبشرط ان يكون الامام
 - منهم عالمًا زاهدًا جَوادًا شَجَاعًا ويتخرج داعيًا الى امامته.

 Note 6. Instead of the enigmatic words of Ed.
 - 25 معد السيق معد I would suggest (although with some hesitation) to read دُبُّ سَلِّ السيف معد "and the love of unsheathing the sword is in him."
 - L. 14. According to IKhald. I, 356, the Imamiyya claim a written will of Ali in favor of Fâțima's sons.
 - 30 L. 20-21. Similarly Shahr. 124¹⁰: "They (the Imâmiyya) agree as to the transfer of the Imamate down to Ja'far b. Muhammed as-Sâdik. They disagree as to the person he appointed (Imam) by a written will after him." See Text 76² and Comm. p. 104²⁵ ff.
 - $_{35}$ L. 22. On Hishâm b. al-Hakam see p. 65^{11} ff.
 - Ibidem. On Hishâm b. Sâlim al-Juwâlîkî see Shahr. 141. See also *Fihr*. 1772, note 20, Tusy p. 356, No. 772, Kashi 181 ff.—On his anthropomorphistic doctrine comp. p. 668.

[75]

- L. 23. On Dâwud al-Hawârî see p. 6732 ff.
- Ibidem. On Dâwud b. Kathîr ar-Rakkî, from Rakka in Babylonia, see Kashi 256 f. Tusy No. 281, p. 131 designates him as "weak," because the "Gulât" quote him as authority for their traditions. Kashi 257 defends him against this charge. 5 He is said to have died about 200^h, Tusy *ib.*, comp. Kashi *ib.*
- Ibidem. 'Ali b. Mansûr is enumerated Shahr. 145 among the writers of the Shi'a. Masudi VI, 369 calls him an Imamite and a follower of Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam. He is mentioned in connection with the latter, Kashi 165 ult. See also below l. 22. 10
 - Ibidem. On 'Ali b. Mîtham see p. 60° ff.
 - L. 24. On ash-Shakkâk see p. 6614 ff.
 - L. 25. On Sheitan at-Tak see p. 59.
- L. 26. Abû Mâlik al-Hadramî is mentioned Bagd. 21^b in connection with Ali b. Mîtham, both being styled المروافض. Fihr. 177²⁵ counts him among the dogmatists of the

Shi'a (من متكلّبي الشيعة).¹ Contrary to this, and no doubt incorrectly, Masudi VI, 369 designates him as a radical Khârijite.—He took part, together with most of the other men mentioned in our text, in the famous discussion before the Barmekide 20 Vizier Yaḥya, Masudi ib.; comp. on this discussion Kashi 167 ff.—Abû Mâlik is mentioned, together with Ali b. Manṣûr (see above l. 7), Kashi 179 ult. They both belonged to Ja'far's circle. They outlived Ja'far, ib.

- 76, l. 3 and note 1. According to most authorities (quoted 25 Comm. p. 19²⁷ f.), Ismâ'îl died *before* his father (five years, [76] Blochet 51). The Ismâ'îliyya remove this difficulty by the assumption that Ja'far purposely spread the rumor about his death so as to save his life. See the story told Shahr. 146.
- L. 4. These are the Karmatians. See on these Comm. $_{30}$ p. 19^{32} and p. 79^{5} .
 - L. 7. These are the Ithnâ'ashariyya, see p. 78²⁵
 - L. 10 ff. See on this passage Text p. 48 and Comm. 52 ff.

which follows immediately is most probably a dittography from the next line. The editors identify this name with Abû Mâlik. The difference in the Kunya (Abû Mâlik and Abû 'Abdallah) as well as in the Nisba (al-Hadramî and al-Işfahânî) speak decidedly against this conjecture.

- [76] L. 20. On the contest about the inheritance see the allusive statement Shahr. 129 and a more elaborate account IBab., *Ithbat* 41 penult. It is natural that Ja'far gets the worst of it.
 - 5 77, note 3. I prefer the reading of L. Br غُورَ بها "People"
- [77] sneered at her." See on this expression Goldziher, Muh. St. II, 143 ult. and note 7. The nature of the accusation is not quite clear. Did she become the concubine of al-Ḥasan b. Ja'far?
 - 10 L. 5. I have not been able to find any reference to this Kâtib.—The details set forth here are not found elsewhere.
 - —L. 8. In the time of Abu'l Maali (wrote about 485^h) people made pilgrimages to the cellar (sardâb) in which he was said to have disappeared, Blochet 155. Even as late as Ibn 15 Khaldûn a peculiar ceremony connected with this cellar was still in vogue. Comp. the interesting passage, IKhald. I, 359.
 - Ibidem (comp. note 7). The same number of years (180) also Ed. IV, 96⁵.
 - L. 10. On Mukhtâr see p. 79¹⁷.—On Keisan and the 20 Keisâniyya p. 33 ff.
 - L. 13. Muhammed b. Ismå il as-Sayyid al-Himyari was born 105/723 and died 173/789, Brokelmann I, 83. To the sources quoted by Brockelmann add the biography in Dahabi's Ta'rikh al-Islâm (Ms. of Strassburg University Library, not paginated), which is in part closely related to that given in Fawât al-Wafâyât I, 24.—On as-Sayyid comp. also the index to this treatise.
 - L. 14. Kuthayyir 'Azza, so called because of his love to 'Azza, a girl of the Khuzâ'a tribe, died in 105, the year in 30 which as-Sayyid was born, Brockelmann I, 48.
- [78] 78, note 2. The drift of this anecdote is probably this, that, as no decent man shared the views of as-Sayyid, he could only point to a cobbler in Ray as his associate in doctrine.

¹ The remark in Agh. Tables p. 395° s.v. السمّل الحمري: "loué par Kutayyir" is, of course, a misunderstanding. The passage referred to (Agh. VIII, 32) merely states that the verses quoted there in the name of Kuthayyir are ascribed by others to as-Sayyid. Comp. Agh. VII, 7.

- L. 4. The accusation of forgery, which is certainly more [78] justified than the reciprocal charge of "tabdîl" (see p. 61 f.), is often made against the Shiites, see Goldziher, Muh. St. II, 111. IAth. (VIII, 21) puts it forcibly as well as briefly: "When the enemies of Islam gave up the hope to uproot it by force they 5 took to inventing false traditions." The Rawafid are on this score brought in comparison with the Jews and this comparison is put into the mouth of ash-Sha'bî (d. 103), Ikd 269 (in a briefer form, also in the name of ash-Sha'bi, Isfr. 15a, see p. 1916. Ash-Sha'bî, of course, is not responsible for this 10 invidious comparison. The Sunnites in protesting against the Shiitic forgeries found no better spokesman than ash-Sha'bi, who was revered by the Sunna and at the same time known as It must be noted, however, that, according to Isfr. a Shiite. 70^b penult., the tertium comparationis in the analogy between 15 the Rawafid and the Jews is not the forgery of traditions but "tashbîh," the anthropomorphistic conception of God. The Shiites incline towards "tashbîh" (see, e. g., p. 667) and the latter is regarded as characteristic of Judaism by the Muhammedan theologians. [Cf. Kauffmann, Attributenlehre 81.]

The Sunnites answer the Shittic forgeries with forgeries of their own which are directed against their opponents (Goldziher, Muh. St. II, 117 ff. A few instances can be found Isfr. 15^b f. Some of them are rather clumsy. Thus the Prophet is reported to have ordered Ali to kill the Rawâfid. [See p. 143 ult.] 25

— L. 6. In the expositions following in Ed., I. H. endeavors to refute the Shiitic view that the Imamate is admissible only in the descendants of Ali. His expositions, however, are of a theological nature and do not offer any historical material.

30 انت مِنّى Me author very cleverly points out that the hadîth

" איים אוני איים אוני איים אייט איים אוני which is a standing argument of the Shiites—it is at the same time binding for the Sunnites as being recorded in the two Sahihs (Nawawî, Tuhdib 438)—proves nothing in favor of Ali, as Joshua, and not Aron, was the successor of Moses.

¹ Comp. Goldziher, ZDMG. 50, 119. See Comm. p. 48²⁹.

- [78] L. 10. تَمْثَيْلُ here and in the passages quoted Comm. p. 7³⁰ and 9²⁸ obviously means "Synopsis." This meaning of the word is not recorded in the dictionaries.
 - L. 17. Read كُفّار (misprint).
- ⁵ 79, l. 3. Read البسبّب "who caused" (Nöldeke); correct [79] accordingly Text 80°.
 - L. 12. This is the opinion of Suleimân b. Jarîr (see l. 21). Comp. Shahr. 119: "The nation committed . . . a sin which does not reach the degree of impiety." See Iji 353, Makr. 10 352. (سليمان instead of سليم), Masudi V, 474. His party is called Jarîriyya¹; Isfr. 7° calls it Suleimâniyya.
 - L. 13. On al-Hasan b. Havy see p. 130 f.
 - L. 18. This is the view of the Jârûdiyya, see p. 2220 ff.
 - L. 20. Comp. a similar utterance Ed. I, 41² (directed 15 against the Apostles): "It is not permitted to believe an apostate nor to receive (true) religion from an apostate."
 - L. 21. On at-Tammâr see p. 60° f.
- [80] 80, l. 2. On Abû Kâmil see p. 7621 f.
 - L. 7. See Text 56⁵ ff.

CORRECTIONS.

Introduction, p. 13 l. 3 from below: Joseph is oversight for Samuel. Comm. p. 15, n. 3. Al-Warrâk is probably identical with Abû Îsa Muhammed b. Hârûn al-Warrâk, of Bagdad, quoted by Mas'ûdî, Murûj VII, 236.

-Page 78 l. 15. Comp. also IKot. p. 106: عظيم البطن.

¹ Comp. Goldziher, ZDMG. 61, 75 n. 2.

APPENDIX A.

The term "Rawafid." 1

The term Rawafid which figures so conspicuously in the literature bearing on Shiism as well as in the texts of Ibn Hazm can lay claim to a long and eventful history. The word has undergone numerous changes and modifications which are sometimes of so fluctuating a nature as to defy all exact definitions. In the following an attempt is made—for it cannot be more than an attempt—to trace the principal stages in this development and to classify the various, sometimes contradictory applications of this word.

Rawafid, in the collective singular Rafida,² occasionally Arfad³ and Rafidan,⁴ in the singular Rafida,⁵ originally signifies "an army, or a military force... which has deserted its leader" (Lane), in other words "deserters," or "traitors." It is obviously meant as a nickname, more exactly, an abusive nickname, a nomen odiosum.⁶ Its application, in consequence,

¹ The abbreviations under which the sources are quoted in this appendix are the same as in the body of the article.

² The form دفضة which may only represent a different spelling of is quoted by Goldziher, ZDMG, 36, 281, n. 1, and Shi'a, p. 5116. Another example is recorded by Dozy sub voce (from Nuweirf).

 $^{^{3}}$ Comp. Tâj-al-'Arûs (see Lane s.v.), Dozy s.v. and Goldziher, ZDMG. 36, 280, n. 1.

⁴ See p. 140, n. 3, and Comm. p. 106¹⁸, Goldziher, *Shi*⁴a 460⁶. The first two examples occur in poetry, the third in rhymed prose.

⁵ Whether the variant الرافض (Text 63, n. 2) represents an actual usage or is merely a scribal error is difficult to determine.

فَأُمّا الْمِلْقَبَةُ فَالْرُوافِصُ وَالْمِجْبَرَةُ وَالْمُرْفِقُ وَالْمُجْبَرَةُ وَالْمُجْبَرَةُ وَالْمُجْبَرة فأمّا الملقّبة فالروافض والمجْبَرة والسّبّاك. The abusive nature of the name is evident from the remark immediately following: والمرجئة فأهل السنّة والحاماءة واهل العدل والتوحيد الح

largely depends on the mental attitude of the person using it. Hence its preëminently polemical character.

Historically the name is connected with Zeid, the great-grandson of Ali, the originator of the Zeidiyya. Tabarî² has preserved an elaborate account of the incident to which the word owes its origin.

Zeid b. Ali b. al-Husein b. Ali b. Abî Tâlib had been encouraged by the people of Kufa to assert his claims to the throne of the Omeyyads. Relying on their promises of assistance, he organizes in the year 122^h an open rebellion which is to take place on a prearranged day in Kufa. The governor Yûsuf b. 'Omar receives timely information and takes energetic measures to nip the rebellion in the bud by getting hold of its organizer. In this moment of danger the leaders of the rebellious Kufiotes, who had always been noted for their fickleness of character, gather around Zeid to cross-examine him as to the legitimacy of the first two Caliphs, Abû Bekr and 'Omar. 'Zeid's said: 'May Allah have mercy on them both and grant them forgiveness! I have never heard anyone of my family repudiating them' or speaking of them otherwise than favor-

¹ The Shiites never designate themselves as Rawâfid. According to Mukaddasî (p. 142, n. 6), they apply this word to their opponents. As-Sayyid protests against the affront implied in it (p. 140, n. 3). The expression has, it seems, always (see, however, p. 151, n. 5) carried with it a derogatory meaning. The term Mu'tazila, "secessionists" or "schismatics", affords, both as regards origin (see Shahr. 33¹¹ and the other sources) and subsequent development, an interesting parallel to Rawâfid. The Mu'tazila themselves prefer the designation Ashâb (or ahl) al-'adl wa't-tau-hâd (Shahr. 29 bottom, cf. preceding note, and Zeid. Mu'taz. p. 2). Bagd. 40°, 137° uses the word polemically;

المعتزلة عن الحق, while Zeid. Mu'taz., who is himself a Mu'tazilite, endeavors to find for the name a different and more complimentary derivation.

² II, 1698 ff.

³ Tab. II, 1699. We quote the passage *verbatim*, as it strikingly illustrates the fundamental points of difference between the two most important sections of the Shi'a.

التَّبَرِّيُ عن (من cr الشَّيْخُيْنِ The expression الشَّيْخُيْنِ (or الشَّيْخُيْنِ is the technical term in this connection. The opposite attitude is designated as الترضّى عن الشخير. See Goldziher, ZDMG. 36, 280 n. 2, Snouck-Hurgronje, Mekka I, 33 n. 2, and the references quoted in the course of this appendix.

bly.' They said: 'Why, then, do you seek the blood of this family (the Omeyvads), if they have not (illegally) seized upon vour throne and wrenched it from your hands?' He replied to them: 'The most I can admit in the question you are discussing is that we (the family of Ali) were the worthiest among men of the Prophet's throne and that the people appropriated it in preference to us and pushed us away from it. Yet, this, in our opinion, does not constitute apostasy on their part. They were Caliphs, they were just in their dealings with the people and acted in accordance with the Book and Tradition.' They said: 'If those did not wrong you, then these (the Omeyvads) have not wronged you either. Why, then, should you call (us) to fight people who are not doing you any wrong?" He answered: 'These here are not the same as those. here do wrong me and you and themselves. For we only call you to Allah's Book and the Prophet's Tradition (so that) the traditions be revived and the innovations extinguished. If you follow us, you will be blessed. If not,-I am not responsible for you!' Thereupon they withdrew from him, violating their oath of allegiance, and declared: 'the Imam has died!'2, henceforward maintaining that Abû Ja'far Muhammed b. Ali, the brother of Zeid b. Ali, was the (legitimate) Imam. The latter, however, had died in the meantime, but his son Ja'far b. Muhammed was alive. So they declared: 'Ja'far is now our Imam after his father. He is the worthiest of the Imamate after his father. We will not follow Zeid b. Ali, for he is no Imam.' Zeid thereupon called them Rafida. At present, however, they maintain that it was al-Mugira who called them Rafida at the time when they had withdrawn from him."

The last sentence is highly significant. The partisans of Zeid apparently repented their faithless action which resulted

¹ Abû Bekr and 'Omar. It is not clear whether 'Othman is included. The attitude of the Zeidiyya toward the latter is vacillating. See Comm. p. 129²⁶.

² سبق الإمام. On this meaning of سبق see Tabarî glossary sub voce and compare the next sentence.

³ اليوم. Codex B omits it. The narrator was a contemporary of Zeid. See later p. 141.

in his pitiful death. They felt the scathing shame of having been branded as "deserters" or "traitors" by so distinguished and pious a descendant of Ali. Shrewd as they were, they pretended that this name was hung on them by al-Muġîra b. Sa'îd' under whom they had risen shortly before and from whom they had betimes withdrawn.² To have been thus nicknamed by so rank a heretic constituted, in their opinion, a title of honor.³

Tabarî's derivation of the word from the unfortunate uprising of Zeid b. Ali in 122 is almost universally confirmed by the Arabic authorities. So all the national lexicographers (see the quotations in Lane sub voce); Bagd. 10^b f. ; Isfr. 9^a; Shahr.

We, however, are—whether you like it or not—men who 'desert' people of error and ungodly works." This apparently means: You may nickname us "deserters." But we are such only because we "desert" Abû Bekr and 'Omar who disregarded the Prophet's will and usurped the Caliphate rightly belonging to Ali. See later p. 142 f. Barbier de Meynard, Journal Asiatique 1874, p. 210 misunderstood the verse.

⁴A few (see later p. 142, n. 6) add another explanation which is no doubt secondary.

ففارقوة عند ذلك حتى قال لهم رفضتمونى : Comp. fol. 11 ومن يومئل سُمُّوا رافضة Bagd. winds up his lengthly account with the interesting observation الكوفة والنجال عبد القاهر والبُخْل وقد سار المَثَلُ فيهما حتى قيل أَبُخْلُ من كوفتى وأَغْدَرُ من كوفتى وأَغْدَرُ من كوفتى وأَغْدَرُ من كوفتى .

¹ The text merely gives al-Mugîra. But if this is to have any meaning, it can only be taken as referring to al-Mugîra b. Sa^*id , Text 59 f., Comm. 79. This identification is also assumed in the index to Tabarî. Wellhausen, Opp. 96 n. 1, substitutes, without justification, the name of al-Mugîra b. Shu^*ba . It is difficult to account for his name in this connection.

² Cf. Text 60¹⁴.

³ The derogatory character of the word (comp. p. 137 n. 6) is aptly illustrated by a verse of as-Sayyid, Agh. VII, 17. The latter had been accused by the poet Sawwâr, in the presence of the Caliph Manşûr, of being a fanatical Shiite. As-Sayyid replies to Sawwâr in a scathing poem in which he says:

⁵ Comp. also Nawawî on Muslim's Ṣaḥîḥ I, 51.

17, 116; IKhald. I, 357; Makr. 351^s (=de Sacy XLVIII, II, 588)¹, and others. Mukaddasî, who records various applications of our term, distinctly states²: "with the Zeidiyya (it signifies) those who denied the Caliphate of Zeid b. Ali, and this is the original meaning."

It is well known that historical incidents quoted by Muhammedan authorities for the purposes of philological interpretation cannot always be relied upon, as they are not infrequently manufactured for the occasion. But no such scepticism is justified in our case. Tabarî's report is derived from Abû Mikhnaf, who was a contemporary of Zeid, lived in the same city and is the best authority for the early history of Islam, especially in Besides, the incident has every internal evidence in its Zeid b. Ali was the pupil of Wâsil b. 'Atâ, the founder of the Mu'tazila. Like the latter, he looked at the problem of the Imamate from a rational point of view. 5 To Zeid and his followers the Zeidiyya the Imamate was essentially a question of personal qualification.6 They denied the existence of a written will' and, while maintaining the superiority of Ali, they justly enough admitted that the first two eminently successful Caliphs were legitimate rulers. To Zeid's opponents the Imamate was exclusively a question of birth. It was hereditary in its very nature and bequeathed to Ali by the Prophet. Accordingly, Abû Bekr and 'Omar were usurpers and, disregarding, as they did, the express will of Allah's Prophet,

¹ Who also quotes the other explanation, p. 142, n. 6.

² See p. 142, n. 6.

³ See on this historian Wellhausen, Das arabische Reich, Preface.

⁴ See Comm. 11³⁶. ⁵ Comp. Iii 297.

⁶ Comp. Text 75⁹ and Comm.

¹ Comp. Introd. p. 22 and Text 74⁵.

they are to be looked upon as apostates.' Thus the "repudiation of the two Eiders" became the equivalent and complement of the recognition of the claims of the Alids. It was the propelling force of all Shiitic uprisings and it is but natural that the same question formed the point of issue between the legitimists of Kufa and the rationalistic and fair-minded Zeid at the moment when they were both about to take up arms against the ruling dynasty.

Thus we may consider it certain that the word Rawafid originated in Kufa in the year of the Hijra 122, in connection with the rebellion of Zeid b. Ali.

The specific characteristic of the Rawafid, of those who deserted Zeid and were termed by him "deserters," was the negative attitude towards Abû Bekr and 'Omar, and, in a lesser degree, of 'Othman and the other Companions, —an attitude which was not a mere theoretic notion but soon grew into violent hatred and vented itself in the action—believed to be meritorious—of "ithe public denunciation of the Companions." Hence Rafd or Taraffud, i. e. "to act as Rafida" became the designation for this hostile attitude toward the "two Elders" and the Companions, Rawafid and its parallel forms the name of those who maintain this attitude. Thus

¹ See Introd. 22 and Text 79¹⁸.

² Ash-Sha'bi's (died 103) reference to the Râfida (Comm. p. 1916) is no doubt apocryphal. This scathing criticism of the Râfida is attributed to him purposely because he was known to be favorably inclined towards Shiism. The sentence quoted ibidem 1920 الروافض يهروي والمنافذة المنافذة ال

is attributed Isfr. 15a to the Prophet himself, whilst according to ash-Sha'bî (see p. 144a), the Rawâfid were even worse than the Jews. Again, according to Shahr. 9, the Prophet compared the Rawâfid with the Christians.

³ Whether Zeid gave his opponents that name or it was given to them by others in connection with that event is quite immaterial to us.

⁴ Cf. p. 139, n. 1.

⁵ On "Sabb" see Goldziher, *Shi'a* 455 ff. and ZDMG. 36, 280. For some very characteristic instances see Comm. 28, n. 1 (quotation from *Mirza*), 42⁴ ff., 65¹ ff. and Goldziher, *Shi'a* 456 middle.

[&]quot;Rawâfid" as رفضوا أبا بكر وعبر, as those who deserted Abû Bekr and 'Omar, so Ikd (cf. p. 148). Makrîzî 3518, who defines (ib.) the

Bagd 12^b introduces with the words وقال كثيّر في رُفُّتُه the following two verses as characteristic of "Rafd":

الغُلاة في حُبّ على بن ابع طالب وبُغْض ابع بكر Rawâfid as quotes both ,وعمر وعثمان وعائشة ومعاوية في آخرين من العجابة explanations: 1) those who "deserted the opinion" of Zeid; 2) those who "deserted the opinion" of the Companions in electing Abû Bekr, cf. de Sacy XLVIII, II, 588.—Very significant—although not unmistakable—is the remark Mukaddasî's (385): مُن عند الشيعة مَن والروافض for ,أخر خلافة على وعند غيرهم من نفا خلافة العُمرَيْن which Codex C reads more elaborately: والرَّفْض عند الشيعة تأخير خلافة على وتقديم غيره عليه وعند الريدية مَنْ نفا خلافة زيد وهذا هو الأصل وعند غيرهم مَنْ نفا خلافة العُمَرَيْن . We are thus presented with three derivations of the name: 1) according to the Shiites, the name applies to those who gave the precedence to someone else over Ali in the Caliphate, i. e. who elected Abû Bekr (and 'Omar) instead of Ali. 2) According to the Zeidiyya, it applies to those who rejected Zeid's Caliphate (in 122), 3) According to the others (i. e. the Sunnites), Rawafid are those who rejected the Caliphate of Abû Bekr and 'Omar. Historically, the first and third explanation are identical: they both assign an earlier origin to the name, deriving it from the events following Muhammed's death. They are substantially identical with the second explanation quoted by Makrîzî. The second derivation of Mukaddasi assigns the name to the year 122. This explanation is, according to Mukaddasî, the original one, while the third, although the ordinary one, is apocryphal. From the expositions in the text it will have become obvious why the latter explanation had become so favorite. From the remark above quoted we also learn the fact-otherwise unknown-that the Shi'a,in this case the Imâmiyya, see later p. 158,—applied the same nickname to their adversaries. This apparently means that the Rawafid, smarting under the offence implied in that term (comp. p. 140), endeavor to explain it differently: i. e. as those who deserted Ali, the opposition to Ali being objectionable even in the eyes of many Sunnites. - Another example of a polemical explanation of Rawâfid is found in a hadîth (apocryphal, of course) quoted Isfr. 15b penult: (sic) وروى عن بن عباس رضى الله عنه انّ النبيّ صلّى الله عليه قال سيكون في

بَرِئْتُ إِلَى ٱلْإِلْهِ مِنَ ٱبْن أَرْدِي ﴿ يَهِ وَمِنْ دِين ٱلْخُوارِجِ أَجْمَعِينَا ﴿ لَا اللَّهُ اللَّهُ ال وَمِنْ عُمَرٍ مَرِدُّتُ وَمِن عَتِيقٍ ﴿ خَدالَةَ دُعِي ۚ أَمِيمَ ٱلْمُؤْمِنِينَا ۗ In a dictum quoted Isfr. 15^a in the name of ash-Sha'bî (d. 103) the Rawafid are characterized thus: الروافض شَيِّ من اليهود والنصاري فإنّ اليهود سُتُلوا عن أُخْيار ملَّتهم فقالوا امحاب موسى عليه السلام والنصاري سئلوا عن اخيار ملتهم فقالوا الحواريّون [150] الذين كانوا مع عيسى عليه السلام وسُتُلت الرافضة عن شرّ هذه الأمّة فقالوا اتحاب محمد. "These here are Rafida, those opposite them are Sunnites

.... the Sunnites are pleased with Abû Bekr and 'Omar, the

آخر الرمان قوم لهم ذبي (? Mahdî) يقال لهم الروافض The same hadîth with يرفضون الاسلام فأقتلوهم فانهم مشركون a different isnad and a few variations is quoted Goldziher, Shi'a 444.

seems to be more archaic than the ordinarily used form

Thus, according to al-Aşma'î (died 215, quoted $Lis\hat{a}n$ sub voce (روافض), Zeid was told ابرأ من الشيخير. Kāmūs (s. v. روافض) says instead تبراً من الشيعين.

.ومن فعل يريب ومن فعيل الله غداة دعا امير المومنينا The elimination of Abû Bekr's and 'Omar's name is certainly not accidental. See on Abû'l-Faraj al-Işfahânî's attitude towards Shiism, and especially towards as-Sayyid, Goldziher, Shi'a 441 f.

² Arwa was 'Othman's mother.

³ Ms. جميعا; corrected according to Agh. VII, 24 (see n. 6).

⁴ i. e. Abû Bekr.

⁵ sic !-- "was proclaimed."

⁶ Agh. VII. 24¹¹ ascribes these two verses to as-Sayyid, who is said to have uttered them with his last breath. The second verse appears here in a considerably different form:

⁷ See p. 142, n. 2. Although apocryphal, the utterance illustrates the meaning attached to "Rawafid" by those who invented it.

[.] Cf. p. 138, n. 4.

Râfida denounce them" (Dozy sub voce زفضة) from Nuweirî).

Characteristic is the anecdote Agh. XVIII, 59⁴: the poet Di'bil (died 246^h), who is an enthusiastic Shiite, denounces a descendant of Zubeir, the son of Safiyya bint 'Abd al-Muttalib, the Prophet's aunt. The Kâdi 'Amr b. Humeid interposes on the plea that this is equal to insulting a close relative of the Prophet. Di'bil retorts: "I have never seen anyone more stupid than thyself, except the one who hath appointed thee Thy mind can conceive that I am a Râfidî because of calumniating Safiyya the daughter of 'Abd al-Muttalib . . . Is calumniating Safiyya a religious tenet of the Râfida?" Di'bil shrewdly implies that other personalities, far more important than Safiyya, are the target of the Rawâfid's hatred.

Because of this "denunciation of the Companions" the Rawâfid are nicknamed "Sabbâbûn," "denouncers." 2

To realize the full significance of this usage, we must bear in mind the fact so lucidly expounded by Goldziher, that the Shi'a

¹ Cf. Agh. XVIII, 29.

² رافض سبّابين, وكانوا أَوْفاضا سبّابين, وكانوا أَوْفاضا سبّابين, وكانوا أَوْفاضا سبّابين, وكانوا أَوْفاضا سبّابين, ZDMG. 36, 280, n. 1.—This general aspect of our term is to be thought of, whenever it is found difficult to assign it to any of the more definitely circumscribed categories to be mentioned in the course of this article.—Mukaddasî affords us two interesting examples derived from his personal experience. While travelling in 'Irâk, where there are ''Gâliya, exaggerating their love for Mu'âwiya" (p. 126, l. 14 and note m; cf. Comm. 128), our author hears in the principal mosque of Wâsiţ a man reciting a hadîth (forged, of course) in favor of Mu'âwiya. He remonstrates. The man shouts and the mob advances to attack him (p. 126). Similarly, in Ispahan, which is equally distinguished by its ''Guluww for Mu'âwiya" (cf. also Goldziher, Shi'a 495, n. 3) the author protests against a man who denounces Ali and is angrily pointed at as

tici زفضی vocant quoque orthodoxos moderatos" (Glossary to Mukaddasî s.v. رفض), but simply means that the people seeing that he objects to Mu'âwiya or that he defends Ali, think that he is a "repudiator" of the Companions. In point of fact, Mukaddasî is very favorably inclined toward the Zeidiyya (see p. 158).

³ ZDMG. 36, 280 f., 50, 111 f., Shi'a 460 ff, Muh. St. II, 110 f. Cf. Snouck-Hurgronje, Mekka I, 33.

but slowly and gradually developed into an independent religious organism and at first represented rather a different current within Islam than a separate sect. Shiism (Tashayyu') in itself, i. e. attachment to Ali and the Alidic family, is, from the Sunnitic point of view, by no means objectionable, nay, is even commendable; the word Shi'a in itself does not imply any heresy.1 It does become objectionable when the attachment to Ali is coupled with the denunciation of the Companions, in the first place of Abû Bekr and 'Omar.' Hence, even in later times, Rawafid is frequently used side by side with and at the same time as distinguished from Shi'a, the former denoting the radical and improper expression of Alidic sympathy, the latter the moderate and permissible one. Thus Ibn Hajar al-'Askelânî كان ابوه فاضلًا : (died 852/1449) characteristically says) متشيّعًا من غيم سَبّ ولا غلوّ فنشأ وللهُ عالبًا في الرَّفْض 4 This differentiation has even found expression in a hadîth, وروی بن (sic) عمر رضی الله عنه ان رسول : quoted Isfr. 16° الله صلَّعم قال يا على تكون انت في الجنّة وشيعتُك يكونون في الجنّة وسَيكون بعدك قوم يدّعون وَلايتَك أَيْدُعُون الروافضَ فانَّهم إِنْ وجداتَهم فاقتلهم فانَّهم مُشْركون وقال عليٌّ وما علامتهم يا رسول الله فقال لا يكون لهم جُمْعة ولا جماعة أ Thus even the partisans (Shi'a) of Ali

¹ Shi'a 443, n. 3. Cf. Snouck-Hurgronje ib. 32, n. 1.

² Comp. the characteristic utterance Damirî's (quoted Shi'a ib.) يتشيّع تشيّعًا حسنًا يقول بتفضيل اهل البيت من غير تنقيص يتشيّع تشيّعًا. See other examples quoted ib.

³ ZDMG. 36, 280 n. 2.

⁴ Similarly the well-known Shiite Zurâra b. A'yun is said to have been أحد الغلاة في الرَّفْض (Makr. 35310).

[.] ولايمك . Ms.

⁶ Comp. the hadîth quoted at the end of p. 142, n. 6.

i Comp. the hadîth quoted Goldziher, Shi'a 44714: فيمخرجون من الجمعات.

are sent to Paradise, while those partisans who cannot refrain from denouncing Abû Bekr and 'Omar' deserve extermination.2 In consequence, الشيعة والرافضة for the moderate and radical wing of Alidic sympathizers is an expression often to be met with. Several examples can be gleaned from the abundant polemical material collected by Goldziher in his Shi'a: 453 ult., 486 l. 6, 511 l. 6, 312 l. 17 and penult. A further instance may be added from IKhald. III, 74. Speaking of the later Sûfîs, Ibn

³ In this passage the author (a Persian Shiite of the eleventh century

of the Hijra) incidentally explains the origin of the word افضة, (or, as he spells it, فضة, cf. 137 n. 2) in a manner different from the éxplanations previously quoted. He says, Shi'a 51112 ff.: وتُعرِن ومَرِنْ لا يكادم عقله ووجدانه نعلم علمًا يقينًا قطعيًّا بان هؤلاء الجوارى والموالى والحجتهدين في مذهب اهل البيت عليهم السلام كانوا اخصَّ بهم واعلم بأصولهم وفروعهم لأنَّ اهل البيت ابصر He and " . بما في البيت وانَّهم سُمُّوا بهذا السبب شيعة ورفضة those who do not defy their reason or senses are truly and positively aware that these maid servants and male servants (of the Alids) and the students of the doctrine of the Prophet's family were nearer to the latter (cf. Goldziher ib. 508, n. 6) and better acquainted with their fundamental and derivative principles. For the Prophet's family is best aware of what is in the Family. It was for this reason that they (apparently referring to the people mentioned at the beginning of the quotation) were called Shi'a and Râfida." Shi'a=partisans of Ali. Râfida seems to convey to the author's mind an essentially positive meaning: intense devotion to the Alidic family, while originally this meaning was merely the complement of the negative idea: the repudiation of the Companions.

¹ The hadîth is shrewdly enough transmitted through the son of 'Omar. 'Goldziher, Shi'a 444, quotes a hadîth which is obviously identical with ours. But it is undoubtedly expurgated and almost entirely shorn of its pro-Alidic—one might almost say, Zeiditic—tendency. The words تكون انت في الجنّة وشيعتك يكونون في الجنّة وشيعتك يكونون في الجنّة وشيعتك يعظّمون في الجنّة وشيعتك من In the latter form the Prophet also protests against those who, like the Zeidiyya, acknowledge Abû Bekr and 'Omar but reject the other Companions.

Khaldûn says: They exalt Ali above all other Companions in accordance with the beliefs of '' ذهابًا مع عقائد التشيّع واتما هو مأخوذ من Shiism," shortly afterwards remarking: This (the system of " كلام الشيعة والرافضة ومذاهبهم في كُتُبهم the Sûfîs) is merely borrowed from the religious philosophy of the Shi'a and Rafida and their doctrines in their writings." 1 All these examples are of rather late origin.2 For an earlier instance see the curious quotation from an ancient poet, Makkarî I, 799: "Thou sayest: 'Shiism consists in the love of the Bald one of Hâshim.'3 Be then, I pray, a Râfidî, if thou wishest it, or become thou a Shiite!" As clearly differentiated the two terms appear in the definition Ikd 267: "They were called Râfida, because they 'deserted' Abû Bekr and 'Omar" (cf. p. والشبعة دونهم وهم الذين يفضّلون عليًّا على . . . (142, n. 6 وعمر '' the Shi'a, however, are outside of them (the Râfida). They are those who prefer Ali to Othman but follow Abû Bekr and 'Omar." Compare also above, Comm., p. 1915.

In this connection may also be mentioned the title of Jâḥiz' treatise (Masudi VI, 57), كتاب امامة امير المؤمنين معاوية بن المعالية وشيعته البي سفيان في الانتصار له من على بن ابي طالب رضة وشيعته الرافضة.

With the consolidation of the Shi'a the "deserters" of Zeid b. Ali in $122^{\rm h}$ developed into the Imamiyya sect which out of the belief in the hereditary nature of the Imamate and the repudia-

¹ In this case, however, and possibly in some other cases *Râfiḍa* may be taken in the more limited meaning of *Imâmiyya*, see later in the text, p. 149 f.

² For a few more equally late examples see Ahlwardt's Berlin Catalogue No. 2152.

³ Ali was bald, cf. Text 576.

⁻ The pun contained in these words is as clever as it is frivolous.

⁵ See later p. 158 middle.

"The early Zeidite al-Kâsim b. Ibrâhîm (died 246h) applies the word in the same manner, e. g., Comm. 1042s, similarly Zeid. Mutaz., p. 48. The Zeidite Suleimân b. Jarîr (see Comm. p. 7220) على "criticized the Râfida," i. e. the Imâmiyya (Shahr. 119). Jâhiz begins his "Epistle on the Doctrines of the Shi'a" (Majmā'at ar-Rasāil, Cairo 1324h, p. 178) with the characteristic words: "Know . . . that the Shi'a of Ali is Zeidite and Râfidite (زيدتي ورافضي). The rest of them are isolated and not classified. The description of these two (Zeidites and Râfidites) makes (the description of) those outside of them unnecessary." Masudi VI, 23 designates as the

sects of Islam الخوارج والمرجمّة والرافضة والريديّة والحشويّة; the same meaning apparently attaches to the word ibidem, V, 442.3

So, also, Tab. III, 168415 . . . وزيداية ي

In the same sense our word is constantly applied by Ibn Hazm. He consciously defines it as contradictory to Zeidiyya Text 74 ff. and very often applies it in this meaning in his Milal. Thus Ed. IV, 176°: الخوارج والزيدية والروافض وجمهور المعتزلة: والروافض وجمهور المعتزلة: شمن الشيعة أن جميع الرافضة من الشيعة ... وجميع الرافضة من الشيعة ين الشيعة الرافضة من الشيعة Years Inamites are designated as

¹ Comp. Snouck-Hurgronje, Mekka I, 33 ult.: "Ursprünglich bildeten die Zeiditen einen Gegensatz zu den R\u00e4fidhiten."

 $^{^2}$ Fihrist 193 ult. For another example see Brockelmann I, 186.—" Die imamitische Sekte der Zaiditen," ibidem, p. 185, is a contradictio in adiecto.

³ Elsewhere (V, 473) he allusively refers to the origin of the name of the Zeidiyya, for which he claims to have several explanations.

⁴ In this passage I. H. exactly defines the position of the Imâmiyya in the question of the "Imamate of the Inferior" (cf. p. 141, n. 8).

Râfidis, e. g., Ali b. Mîţam (Comm. 60²⁵),¹ Text 51⁴,² Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam (Comm. 65¹¹), Text 63², 74²², Ed. II, 121⁵,³ Muhammed b. Ja⁴far (Sheiţân aṭ-Ṭâk, Comm. 59³), Text 50¹⁵. As synonymous with Imâmiyya the word also appears Text 62¹˚; Comm. 14⁴°, 15²; Ed. IV, 100 f. and elsewhere.

It is only a slight variation of this usage when I. H. employs Rawâfid as an apposition of Imâmiyya. Thus Text 4416 (= Ed.

وقال بعض الروافض الإِمامية وهي الفرقة التي (IV, 179 penult.)

وقالت القطّيعية من (= Ed. IV, 181) وقالت القطّيعية من (= Ed. IV, 181), or = 31° (= Ed. I, 112 ult.), where several Imamites are characterized as كلهم شيعة رافضة = , for which Br. and V. (see ib. n. 4) read شيعة رافضة = .

On the other hand, the original meaning of Rawafid as "repudiators," without the restriction of an organized sect, seems to be unconsciously present in Ibn Hazm's mind when he

speaks of الأماميّة من الرافضة, see this page note 5 and Text 4218=Ed. IV, 1798.

As an equivalent of Imâmiyya our word shares in all the modifications of that term, embracing all those who believe in the hereditary nature of the Imamate and in a written will of the Prophet (comp. Text 74). Thus the Zeidite al-Kâsim consciously defines Rawâfid as those who carry the Imamate

¹ Bagd., too, counts him among the شيوخ الرافضة (ib.).

² In the Arabic text (Ed. IV, 181 penult.) is to be read instead of .

³ Comp. Masudi VII, 231.

⁴ L. and Br. merely read (ib. note 8) وقالت فرقة من الرافضة.

وقالت القطّيعيّة كلّها وهم من الاماميّة (ib. n. 13) وقالت القطّيعيّة كلّها وهم من الرافضة اليوم, see later.

⁶ Comp. Burton, Personal Narrative of a Pilgrimage to al-Medinah and Meccah (ed. 1898), I, 206: "The Maghrabis, too, hearing that the Persians were *Rafaz* (heretics) crowded fiercely round to do a little *Jihad*, or Fighting for the Faith." The Persians were no doubt "Twelvers."

down to Ja'far.¹ In the same sense probably,² IKhald. counts (II. 165, III, 72, 74) the Ismâ'iliyya, who transfer the Imamate from Ja'far to his son Ismâ'il, and for a similar reason the Fatimides (III, 8) among the Rawâfid.³ Ja'far's son Mûsa is termed "Imâm ar-Râfida," and so is al-Hasan al-'Askarî, the Mahdi's father.° With the spread of the Ithnâ'ashariyya, Rawâfid just as Imâmiyya became a designation of the "Twelvers." Thus Mirza 49a unmistakably says الاثنى عشرية التي يعبّر عنها بالرافضة والروافض في ردّ الروافض is directed against the same sect. I. H. applies the word similarly, Ed. I, 139¹ and Text 76¹, 77¹.

The further development of our term seems to have been influenced by the relation of the Sunnites to the Imâmiyya. However bitterly the former resented the Imâmiyya's attitude towards the Companions, they still regarded them as being within the fold of Islam.' Excluded from the Muhammedan community were only the Gulât or Gâliya, who were considered

¹ See Comm. p. 104²⁵.

² Another explanation is possible, see p. 152, n. 4.

³ One must, however, bear in mind that under the Fatimides the "repudiation" of the first Caliphs became obligatory as a state law, cf. Goldziher, *Shi*'a 456.

⁴ IBab., Ithbat 38.

⁵ Ib. 41. It is worthy of notice that al-Hasan is so designated by one of his *admirers* (although not a Shiite). This would indicate that, in Shiitic countries at least, our appellation lost much of its derogatory character.

وامّا الاثنى عشرية ورُبّها خُصّوا باسم Comp. IKhald. I, 362 وامّا الاثنى عشرية ورُبّها خُصّوا باسم الاثناء المتأخّرين منهم.

⁷ See following note.

وجميع فِرَق الغلاة منهم (أَيْ من الشيعة) وحميع فِرَق الغلاة منهم (أَيْ من الشيعة) خارجون عن فرق الأسلام فاما فرق الزيدية والامامية والإمامية, see ib. 99° and Makr. 845. Comp. also Introduction, p. 21.

an outgrowth of the Imâmivva, but not identified with them. The nature of our term as a nomen odiosum sufficiently accounts for its occasional application as Gulât in distinction from the Thus IKhald. II, 164, in speaking of the Sufis who فشاركوا :believe in the Divine nature of the Imams, observes فيها الامامية والرافضة لقولهم بألوهية الأئمة او they share this belief with the Imâmiyya "they share this belief with the Imâmiyya and Râfida (i. e. Gulât³), because they maintain the divinity of the Imam or the incarnation of the Deity in them." 1. 1: "the tenets of the Imâmiyya and Râfida of the Shi'a as to the recognition of Ali's superiority and the belief in his Imamate, owing to a written will of the Prophet, as well as the repudiation of the two Elders." 4 As Gulât our word is probably to be explained Masudi VI, 26: وذهب أبو حنيفة واكثر المرجمة واكثر الزيدية من الجارودية وغيرها وسائم فرق الشيعة والرافضة والراوندية انّ الامامة لا تجور إلّا في قريش فقط "Abû Hanîfa (Comm. 8°), the majority of the Murji'a, the majority of the Zeidiyya, such as the Jârûdiyya (Comm.

¹ IKhald. I, 359 speaks of غلاة الإمامية, see the following note.

² Comp. the sharp distinction drawn by Ibn Ḥazm, *Text* 55²¹ ff. The Imamites themselves energetically deny any connection with the Ġulât, comp. Goldziher, *Shi'a* 466, n. 2 and Comm. 91¹³.

³ Comp. IKhald. I, 358: "The Gulât have transgressed the limits of Reason and Faith by believing in the divinity of these Imams." See Ibn Hazm, *Text* 55²⁵.

Outside the Imâmiyya, it is only the Gulât who hold these beliefs. The Zeidiyya reject them.—On the other hand, if we take Imamiyya in its restricted sense as Ithnâ ashariyya (comp. p. 151 n. 6), Râfiḍa here might possibly stand for the Ismâ îliyya. Again, in the expres-

sion الرافضة (p. 151) the latter might designate dulât, as the Ismâ'îliyya hold guluww doctrines. 1b. III, 74 IKhald., alongside of the expression just quoted, says الاسماعيليّة من الرافضة.

من البيان as من I take من

22) and other sects and the remaining sects of the Shi'a² and the Râfida as well as the Râwendiv va (Comm. p. 121 ff.) hold that the Imamate is permissible only in the Kureish tribe." A clear case of this usage is found IAthir VII, 341 l. 4, where instead of في التشيّع three codices read يتبقض A curious as well as instructive example is afforded by the anecdote told Kamil, ed. Wright 547 and Agh. III, 24. Wâsil b. 'Atâ, the founder of the Mu'tazila (Comm. p. 1138), was suffering from a linguistic defect and was consequently unable to pronounce the letter Rá. He bears a deadly hatred towards the ultra-Shiitic poet Bashshâr b. Burd. who had derogated him in one of his poems. Wasil bitingly retorts: he would hire assassins to dispose of him لدلا ان الغبلة were not assassination a specific " خلْقٌ من أَخْلاق الغالية quality of the Gâliya." Here the narrator remarks: Wâsil said Galiya ولم يقل المُغيريّة ولا المنصوريّة (Kâmil, ib.) "but he did not say al-Mansûriyya nor al-Mugîriyya,"—two ultra-Shiitic sects known for their terroristic practices -- because of the Ra This remark of the narrator is reprocontained in their names. duced Agh. with a significant variant: ولم يقل الرافضة Wasil said Galiya, but not Rafida. To the narrator in Agh. then the two expressions seemed synonymous.6

¹ Scil. "of the Zeidiyya." The Jârûdiyya appears everywhere as the first sect of the Zeidiyya, cf. Shahr. 1184, Iji 352, Makr. 352³⁴, comp. Text 42¹⁷. Tab. III, 1617 says: الجارودية والريدية والريدية والريدية. taking the former as an independent sect.

² Probably referring to the various sections of the Imâmiyya.

³ It is not clear whether والرافضة or والرافضة is to be read.

⁴ To whom Bashshâr (Comm. 24¹) belonged.

⁵ See Comm. 9212 ff.

⁶ It is possible that this meaning of the word is unconsciously present in I. H's mind when he declares (Comm. 628=Ed. II, 784): "the Rawâfiḍ do not belong to the Muslims." For the Imamites are not excluded by I. H. from the community of Islam (cf. p. 152, n. 2). On the other hand, the belief in "Tabdîl" with which the Rawâfiḍ are charged in the above-mentioned passage is characteristic of the Imamites (cf. Text 51¹³

This application, however, cannot be said to be more than incidental. Often enough it is impossible to distinguish it from the usages enumerated before, the "Exaggerators" being at the same time "Repudiators." Besides, the Gâliva never became an independent organism as did the Imâmivva. constituency of the Galiva is as fluctuating as is the name, which only later and even then not uncontestedly became the technical term for Ultra Shiites.2 At any rate, the cases in which Rawâfid appears as a synonym of Gâliya are counterbalanced by the examples in which they are distinctly kept asunder. Thus الرافضة Jâḥiz (van Vloten, Worgers, p. 58 ult.) expressly says ثم الغالية. I. H. draws a similar line of distinction. Cf. Text الجارودية من الريدية ثم الإمامية من (Ed. IV, 179°) الرافضة قثم الغالية. In other passages he uses the expression applying the word in the general sense الغالية من الروافض of "Repudiators"; Text 3018 (= Ed. I, 11211), Ed. IV, 20611, or غالية الرافضة Ed. V, 11713.

Vastly different from the applications recorded till now is the use of Rawafid as a synonym of Shi'a, embracing all Shiitic sects, the Zeidiyya included. This generalization is probably

and Comm. 61¹⁰).—Strange is the meaning implied in our word in the anecdote Agh. XII, 23^{20} : A company of poets is sitting at the wine table. The poet Mansûr an-Namarî refuses to partake of the forbidden liquor. He is thus accosted by the company: "You only refrain from wine drinking because you are a $Rafid\hat{a}$... not from piety." I have found no reference testifying to a particular scrupulousness of the Shiites as regards wine drinking. On the contrary, certain Shiitic sects and individuals are accused of transgressing this prohibition (cf. Text 62¹⁴, Comm. 14²⁰, 28²⁰), not to mention the modern Shiites, at least, as far as they are represented by the Persians.

¹ Thus Comm. 42²⁴ it is difficult to say whether the Râfida are designated as such because of the extravagant belief referred to there or because of their exclusive adherence to Ali, which implies the repudiation of the other Companions.

² Mukaddasî still uses the term in an entirely different sense (cf. p. 145, n. 2.) See also *Comm.* 12⁵ ff.

³ Comp. p. 150 n. 5.

⁴ Similarly Shahr. الغلاة من الروافض.

the outcome of a more hostile attitude toward the Shiites, particularly towards the Zeidiyva, on the part of the Sunnites, who now indiscriminately brand by this derogatory term all those who swerve from the Sunna. Thus the Dictionary of Technical الروافض من كبر الفرت: Terms, ed. Sprenger, bluntly declares Bagd. and Isfr., who elaborately derive the origin of the word from Zeid b. Ali, consistently apply Rawafid to all the sects of the Shi'a without exception. To quote a few examples out of many: Bagd. 6b ثم افترقت الرافضةُ اربعةَ اصناف زيدية وامامية وكيسانية في بيان مَقالات فِرَق الرَّفْض ' قد « (cf. ibidem 22°), 9° والغلاة الريدية من الرافضة ٢٠ , ذكرنا من قبل هذا أن الريذية منهم ومَنْ رآة من أ112 , بدَّع الرافضة الزيدية والرافضة الامامية أ93 .الرافضة زيديًّا او اماميًّا مائلًا الى الطَّعْن في أَخْيار العجابة ْ As the Zeidiyya and Imâmiyya, so are the Gulât and their various sections counted among the Rawafid: fol. 103ª الرافضة الغالية, 103° العُلاة من الرافضة 14° ,الروافض الغُلاة 99°, السبابية Isfr.'s use of the word is identical

¹ This again may be explained by the change in the attitude of the Zeidiyya themselves,—for it is only with reference to the Zeidiyya that this usage of our word differs from the one preceding it. Thus Shahrastânî, having narrated the incident with Zeid b. Ali anno 122h, ومالت اكثر الزيديّة بعد ذلك عن القول بامامة : (observes (p. 1183 For a characteristic . المفضول وطعنت في العجابة طَعْنَ الاماميّة example of this changed attitude see Snouck-Hurgronje, Mekka I, 33 n. 2.—Misbâh's remark (quoted by Lane s.v. روافض) probably refers to the same fact: "Afterwards (i. e., after Zeid b. Ali) this appellation became applied to all persons . . . speaking against the Companions." ² Cf. preceding note.

³ Cf. Comm. 41¹⁷.

⁴ Wellhausen's statement (Opp. 96, n. 1): "Sabaijja ist ein älterer, Råfida ein späterer Name für dieselbe Sache" is not in accordance with the facts set forth above.

with that of Bagd.: Isfr. 8^b الروافض تجمعهم ثلثة فرق الريدية الروافض من جمالتهم الزيديون 7a والامامية والكيساينة الموافض من جمالتهم الزيديون 7a والامامية والكيساينة. Makrîzî in his account on Muhammedan sects employs the word in the same general sense, including among the Rawâfid the Imâmiyya as well as the Zeidiyya.² This usage is also found much earlier. IKot. 300 presupposes it when he remarks: الزيدية هم اقد الله وعمالة المعتبون الى زيد بن على المقتول وهم اقل الرافضة غلوًا غير انهم من خرج منع من خرج منع من خرج منع من خرج منع من خرج الله على المعتبون الى المعتبون الى ويد بن على المقتول وهم اقل الرافضة علوًا غير انهم assumed Tab. III, 1465 (anno 247): a man recites before Mutawakkil a poem against the Rafida in which it is argued that a daughter has no hereditary claims, and receives from the overjoyed Caliph 10,000 Dirhems and the governorship of Bahrein and Yamâma. This argument, which is directed against the descendants of Faṭima, affects the Zeidiyya as well as the Imâmiyya.⁴

Ibn Hazm seems to refrain from this unrestricted use of the word. The only exception—and this perhaps a deceptive one—⁵ is found *Text* 40¹¹ (=Ed. IV, 178¹⁵), where, instead of the

¹ The Gulât are excluded from Islam. Baġdâdî, who counts four sections (p. 155¹⁰), is inconsistent, cf. p. 151, n. 8.

² Makrîzî's statement (Comm. 124) may apply to the Shi'a in general or to the Imâmiyya of whom he speaks in the quoted passage. On the relation of the Mu'tazila to the Imâmiyya, see also Goldziher, Shi'a, p. 484.

ومن الرافضة الزيديّة : This is apparently the source for Ikd 269: أومن الرافضة الزيديّة

وهم احتاب زيد بن على المقتول بخراسان وهم اقل الرافضة This usage is somewhat inconsistent with the statement p. 148. Elsewhere (cf. Comm. 26²) Ikd designates as Rawâfid those who believe in the "return" of Muhammed b. al-Ḥanafiyya, i. e., the Keisâniyya.

⁴ See Introduction, p. 21. Snouck-Hurgronje's observation (*Mekka* I, 34): "im 12. Jahrhundert konnte man die Zeiditen Arabiens als Råfidhiten bezeichnen, weil sie *dort* und *damals* den Orthodoxen schroff gegenüberstanden" must needs be amplified: the designation of the Zeidiyya as Rawâfid is older than the 12. century and by no means restricted to Arabia.

⁵ For the author speaks of the "depravities" of these sects, cf. Introduction, p. 22.

من أَقُوال اهل البِكَع المعتزلة . superscription of Ed. and Y. من أَقُوال اهل البِكَع المعتزلة والسِّمَع الرافضة والخوارج والمرجئة والشِّمَع الرافضة والحرجئة والسِّمَع والمعتزلة والمرجئة والسِّمَة والمعتزلة والمرجئة والمعتزلة والمرجئة والمعتزلة والمرجئة والمعتزلة والمرجئة والمعتزلة والمرجئة والمرجئ

Those who have perused the material presented in this appendix with some measure of attention will have observed that the word Shi'a—not unlike Rawâfid—is not a sharply and definitely circumscribed term but is subject to not inconsiderable modifica-In distinction from Rawafid, the term Shi'a has nothing objectionable or derogatory about it3: the Shiites themselves unhesitatingly assume this appellation. To the Sunnites as well, owing to the ever increasing prevalence of pro-Alidic sentiments among the masses, 5 Shi'a even in the sense of "Shi'at 'Alî" conveys no objectionable meaning,—this, as it were, respectable character of the word being, in our opinion, the main reason for the gradual spread of Rawafid at its expense. application of Shi'a by the Sunnites, just as that of Rawafid, is largely conditioned by their attitude towards the Zeidiyya. The disagreement between the Sunna and Zeidiyya is not one of deep-seated antagonism. In point of fact, the whole difference reduces itself to the question as to the candidacy for the Imamate. According to the Zeidiyva, the Imamate is confined to the descendants of Fâtima6; the Sunnites extend it to the whole of Kureish. Since, however, the Sunnites for the most part agree with the Zeidiyya as to the excellence of

¹ Cf. also Comm. 21⁵.

 $^{^{2}}$ The former superscription however is the original one, see the reference quoted Text 40, n. 3.

³ Cf. p. 146, n. 1.

 $^{^4}$ Cf. Shahr. 1438 (in a quotation from Ibn an-Nu'mân, Comm. 59°), also Goldziher, Shi: α 470, n. 2.

⁵ Cf. ZDMG. 50, 111.

⁶ i. e., Hasanides as well as Huseinides,—provided, of course, their personal fitness (cf. *Text* 75⁷ ff. and *Comm.*).—Wellhausen's assumption (*Opp.* 98): "Sie (die Zeidijja) unterscheiden sich von der Råfida durch ihr Eintreten für das Haus Husains" contradicts one of the fundamental tenets of the Zeidiyya. That Zeid b. Ali was a descendant of Husein was mere chance and wholly indifferent to the Zeidiyya or to Zeid himself. Cf. *Comm.* 22³¹ ff.

Ali and his family, and the Zeidiyya, on the other hand, agree with the Sunnites as to the legitimacy of the two Elders, the gap between them seems practically to close. "The Zeidiyya," says Makr. 354', "are the best among the Shi'a, for they admit the Imamate of Abû Bekr and deny the existence of a written will concerning the Imamate of Ali." This stands to reason why Mukaddasî, e. g., places the Zeidiyya outside the Shi'a, applying the latter term to the Imâmîyya and other radical sections of the Shi'a. Thus p. 38 n. d (see above p. 142 n. 6): عند الزيادية على الزيادية على الزيادية وقل عليت ما يقول فيهم الخوارج وجُهّال الشيعة والأفضلون ألابعة وقل عليت ما يقول فيهم الخوارج وجُهّال الشيعة على الإبعة وقل عليت ما يقول فيهم الخوارج وجُهّال الشيعة على الإبعة وقل عليت ما يقول فيهم الخوارج وجُهّال الشيعة the "stupid Shiites" can only refer to the Imâmiyya and other radical sections, as the Zeidiyya, on the whole, refrain from attacking the four Caliphs.

It is nothing but a different consequence of the same attitude of mind when, on the contrary, we find that the term Shi'a, without any objectionable by-meaning, is applied to the Zeidiyya, to the exclusion of the Imamiyya who are designated as Rawâfid. This is clearly the case with the utterance of Ikd, p. 148 and the hadîth p. 146 (cf. p. 147 n. 2). It may also be applicable in the phrase الشيعة والرافضة, of which several examples were quoted p. 147 f., notably so in the case of IKhald. p. 148.

With the rise within the Zeidiyya of sections which, unfaithful to their founder, did not refrain from the "denunciation of the Companions," the attitude of the Sunna became one of hostility and the term Shi'a, gradually assuming a distinct

ما يقول فيهم (note a) عليقول فيهم This is clearly shown by the variant

الخوارج والروافض من المثالب وما يقول العُقَلاء من الحُسْن.

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 2}$ As different from Zeidiyya, $Shi^{\scriptscriptstyle \prime}a$ is also applied by Masudi, see p. 152.

³ Cf. p. 146, n. 1.

⁴ This is in contradiction with Ikd, p. 156, n. 3. But the latter passage is borrowed from Ibn Ķoteiba, see ib.

⁵ Ibn Khaldûn speaks of their writings, which would point to a dogmatically consolidated sect.

⁶ Cf. p. 155, n. 1. The sect mentioned Comm. 75 limits the Imamate to the Hasanides, yet indulges in the denunciation of the Companions.

heterodox character, was now applied to all sects of Shiism, from the Zeidiyya to its farthest ramifications, the Gulât.1

Lastly, mention must be made of a term used by the Shiites for the same polemical purposes as was Rawafid by the Sunnites. We refer to the expression Nawasib, which seems to have been patterned after Rawafid. Ample information about the meaning and history of this designation can be drawn from Goldziher's writings. Originally Nawasib stood for the exact reverse of Rawafid: the "enemies" or "haters" (of Ali), and was confined to the extreme Kharijites. Gradually its meaning expanded so that it finally embraced all Sunnites, however far they were from hating Ali.

In addition we may remark that the Imâmiyya polemically apply the same term even to the Zeidiyya, with whom the superiority of Ali is a cardinal doctrine. Kashi 149 quotes Ja'far aṣ-Ṣâdik as saying: الريدية هم النّصاب. Further utterances of a similar tendency can be found in the same passage.

 $^{^1\,\}mathrm{So}$ nearly all writers.—On the relation of the Gulât to the Shi'a comp. Introduction, p. 21 and Index s.v. Shi'a.

واما أربعة لُقّب بها أهل الحديث فالحَشُوية : Cf. Mukaddasi 38⁷: وأما أربعة لُقّب بها أهل الحديث والشُّكاك والنواصب والحُجُبَرة والمُحجَمَّة والشُّكاك . Thus the Hashwiyya correspond with the Murji'a and the Nawâşib with the Rawâfid.

³ Shi'a 491 ff., ZDMG. 36, 281, Muh. St. II, 120.

ا الله أَيْ عادُوه : Muhît al-Muhît explains it in this way عادُوه عادُوه .

⁵ The form Nussab, which occurs several times in Kashi, is not recorded in the dictionaries.

	3. al-Ḥusein (k. 61)	4. <i>Ali</i> (Zein al-'Âbidîn, d. 94)	Omar Zeid (k. 122)	t (?) ³ Ali 'fsa al-Husein	' <i>Abdallah</i> al-Kâsim Yaḥya	m Muhammed (k. 219) 'Omar	1 Yaḥya (k. 250)	per	ų.
by Fâtima			5. Muhammed (al-Bāķir, d. 117)	6. Ja'far (aş-Şâdik, d. 146) Zakariyyu (?) [§]	Muhammed	Atí (ar-Riḍâ, d. ca. 203) Ibrâhîm	9. Muhammed (at-Taķî, k. (?) 220) Mūsa ⁴	Ali (an-Nakî, d. 254) Hakîma Muhammed	11. al-Hasan (al-'Askarî, d. 260) Ja'far Mûsa 12. Muhammed (al-Mahdi) al-Husein
by Khaula al-Hanafiyya	Muhammed (II. 35 n. 3) 2. al-Ḥasan (d. 49)	Abû Hûshim 'Abdallah (d. 79) al-Ḥasan	'Abdallah 5	Muhammed (k. 145) Idris 6.	Yahya ² 7. Ismá'ű (II. 133 ²⁶) 7. Mása (al-Kâẓim, k. 183)	Idrîs Muhammed (at-Tâmm) 8. Alt (ar-Riḍâ, d. ca. 203)	Ahmad Ja'far 9.	Muhammed (al-Habîb) 10. Ali (an-Nakî, d. 254)	(Mahdi of Fatimides) 13

chain. The dates of death differ considerably in the various sources. I have frequently followed IKot.—d.=died; k.=killed. According to the The persons whose names appear in italics are mentioned in this treatise and are registered in the Index; the others are mere links in the genealogical The Imams of the Ithná'ashariyya and Sab'iyya have been marked by figures. The titles of the Imams are numerous. Only one has been given in each case. The children of a single man are arranged according to age, as they are given in Gen. Leyd. Wüstenfeld, Tabellen (list Y and Z) frequently ¹ This list is primarily based on Gen. Leyd. For the twelve Imams the data of IKot. 108 ff. and Diyårbekrf (cf. Comm. 78²⁴) have been utilized. Imâmiyya, all Imams, except the twelfth (the Mahdi), were killed (see Comm. 30^{15} ff. and the list quoted 78^{26}). I merely followed the historical data. differs in this respect.

41i (al-Murtadâ, d 436)

² Gen. Leyd. (cf. IKhald. I, 360) omits this name, but mentions Yahya as a brother of Idris and son of 'Abdallah. Hence perhaps the mistake of Ibn Hazm (I. 54 penult.). Cf. Wüstenfeld, Tabellen list Z, and the entirely different genealogy of al-Bekri, Comm. 75¹¹.

- ³ Comm. 87 n. 2. Not found in Gen. Leyd, nor in any other source. IKot. 110 registers 'Abdallah as the only brother of Ja'far.
 - ⁴ I. 51¹¹ omitted through oversight. Cf. II. 63⁹.

INDEX OF NAMES AND SUBJECTS.1

Abatur, deity of Mandæans, II. 84^{23} . al-'Abbâs, II. 20^{11} , 103^{10} .

- 'Abbasids, see Imamate.
- 'Abdallah b. al-'Abbâs, II. 2518.
 - " b. 'Abdallah b. Shuneif, II. 7416.
 - " b. Ahmad b. Abî Zeid, II. 41 n. 2.
 - " b. 'Amr b. al-Ḥarb (II. 12430), see 'A. b. al-Ḥârith.
 - " b. " b. al-Hârith (II. 12426), see 'A. b. al-Hârith.
 - b. al-Ḥarb (II. 124³²), see 'A. b. al-Ḥârith. Harbiyya, II, 124 f.
 - " b. al-Hârith, I. 37⁵, 71, II. 90¹¹, 124 ff.
 - " b. Ja'far b. Abî Tâlib, II, 45 n. 2.
 - " b. " al- Aftaḥ, II. 11415 ff.
 - Aftaḥiyya, *ib*. b. al-Kharb (II. 124³³), *see* 'A. b. al-Ḥârith.

 - b. Mu'âwiya, I. 45¹⁵, 71¹⁴, II. 24 n. 1, 44 ff., 125¹¹.
 Janâbiyya, see Mu'âwiya b. 'Abdallah.
 - " b. Mugira b. Sa'id, I. 60'.
 - " b. Nauf, II. 7218 f.
 - b. Sabâ, called *Ibn as-Saudâ*, accounts on, I. 3, n. 1,
 II. 19, 100; Jew, embraced Islam to injure it,
 I. 37°, 45°, II. 17; against 'Othmân, I. 37¹⁰,
 II. 19²⁴; originates Raj'a and Gaiba, II. 27°;
 Raj'a of Muhammed, II. 24¹³, 25 n. 1; Raj'a of

¹ The authorities quoted as such throughout the treatise have been excluded from this index. On account of lack of space only the most important items have been specified. Unless otherwise stated, the names are those of persons. The words ending in -iyya designate sects. The latter appear under the heading of the person to which they belong, a cross-reference always indicating that person. The words printed in italics will be found as special items. The quotations refer to line and page. Where the line is left out, the whole page or most of it deals with that item. I. refers to the first part of this treatise (vol. xxviii. of this Journal); II. to the second (vol. xxix). In the alphabetical arrangement the article in its various forms and b. (=bnu) have not been counted. s. v. refers to the preceding item in italics: ib. to the preceding figure. Fatha is rendered by a, occasionally by e, damma by u and o; the diphthong fatha +yâ by ai and ei. A list of Arabic words is appended to this index.

'Alî denying that he died, I. 45, II. 25, 30^{10} , 43 f., 95^{11} , cf. 39^3 , 128^{10} , and maintaining that in clouds, I. 45, II. 42^{18} ff.; belief in divinity of 'Alî, I. 65 ult. ff., II. 17^{25} , 99^{22} , cf. II. 91^{15} ; banished by 'Alî, II. 42 n. 1, 43 n. 6, or burned, II. 100 n. 1; his adherents burned by 'Alî, II. 99 f.

Sabâ'iyya, I. 45¹, 65 n. 7, II. 17¹, 101¹⁴, 155 penult.;
 called Sabbabiyya, II. 41 f.; principal Alidic sect,
 II. 101²⁶; synonymous with radical heresy, II.
 100¹¹, cf. 83 n. 1, 100²¹ ff.; and Rawâfiḍ, II. 155 n. 4.

'Abdallah b. Sabbâb, II. 42 n. 1.

b. Yâsîn, I. 55 n. 1, II. 76¹⁸.

b. Yazîd al-Ibâdî, I. 30¹⁵, II. 10¹, 65²¹.

'Abdarraḥmân b. Muljam, I. 729, II. 12812.

Ablak, II. 12211.

46

"

Abnâ, appellation for Persians, I. 35 ult., II. 186.

al- Abtar, II. 12922 ff. See Kathîr al-Abtar.

Butriyya ib., or Buteiriyya, II. 131 n. 2.

Abû 'l 'Abbâs ar-Rubûbadî, II. 12821.

Rubûbadiyya, *ib. See* ar-Râwandî and Râwandiyya.

" 'Abdallah al-'Ajânî, II. 178, 110 n. 1.

" ash-Shî'î, II. 75¹⁰, 109³¹, 110 n. 1.

" 'Alî (ash-Shakkâk), I. 52 penult., 7524, II. 6612.

" Bashshâr al-Ash'arî, II. 963.

" Bekr and 'Omar, legitimate Imams, I. 22, 74^{16} , II. 21^{21} , 129^{24} , 130^3 , 138 f., 141, 158, or illegitimate, I. 79^{12} , 141 penult.; hated and denounced by the $Sh\hat{\imath}$ 'a, I. 35^8 , 52^{10} , II. 14^{21} , 28 n. 1, $42^{1,7}$, 64^{22} , 65^6 , 142–148, 151 n. 3, 152^{13} . See Companions.

" b 'Ayâsh, see Ibn 'Ayâsh.

" 'l Faraj al-Işfahânî, author of Aġânî, II. 144 n. 6.

" Ġifâr, II. 1232 f.

" Hanîfa, I. 2911, II. 88, 152 penult.

"Hâshim 'Abdallah b. Muhammed II. 89¹, 126³. See Imamate. Hâshimiyya, II. 35¹⁰ ff., 89⁵.

" 'l Hudeil, I. 30², 53¹, II. 14⁴⁰ ff., 16¹, 58^{7, 22}, 60²⁴, 66 f., 74.

" Hureira ar-Rubûbadî, see Abû 'l-'Abbâs.

" 'Îsa al-Isfahânî, II. 9015.

" Ismâ'îl al-Bițțîkhî, I. 30 ult., II. 11^{24} , 12^{14} .

Bittîkhiyya, I. 30 ult.

" 'l Jârûd, called Surhûb, II. 226.

Jârûdiyya, I. 42¹⁷, 43 f., 74¹³, II. 22, 136¹³, 153 n. 1.

Surhûbiyya, II. 2212.

"Kâmil, I. 55⁷, 80², II. 76²¹.

```
Abû
       Karb, II. 3524, 36 n. 1.
```

Karbivva. ib.

- 'l Kâsim 'Alî al-Murtadâ, I. 51¹⁷, II. 63.
- '1 an-Najjâr, see Ibn Haushab.
- .. 1 ar-Râzî, I. 521, II. 6323.
- Khâtim, see al-Bawârî.
- " 'I Khattâb, II. 112 ff., divinity of, I. 3419, 694,7, II. 173; attacked by Ja'far as-Sâdik, II. 1428, 9012, 962.

Khattâbiyya, II. 112; factions of, I. 7, 64 f., 6916, II. 96 f.; worship Ja'far as-Sadik, I. 68, 697, II. 10613: allegorize Koran, II. 14: claim to avoid death, I. 6910, II. 24 n. 1, 7230.

- " Khirâsh (I. 65 n. 4), see Khidâsh.
- " Kubeis, mountain, II. 6727.
- .. 'l Kurûs, II. 36 n. 1.
- " Lahab, II. 906.
- . . Mâlik al-Ḥaḍramî, I. 75 ult., II. 13314.
- .. Manşûr al-'ljlî, called al-Kisf, I. 3423, 62 ff., II. 89 ff., 9212 ff., 9531.

Manşûriyya, or Kisfiyya (cf. I. 63 n. 4), I. 63, II. 9214, 18, 9713, 15317.

- Muzaffar b. Ardshîr, II. 71.
- . . 'l Mikdâm Thâ'it (?) al-Haddâd, II. 1302.
- Mikhnaf, II. 14110.
- 44 Muslim, I. '3611, 45, 707, II. 3010, 4418, 21, 6410, 947, 118 f., 12012. 12414, 17.

Abû-Muslimiyya, II. 11823, 119 n. 2, or Muslimiyya, II. 1197, 11. See Abû Salma; Ishâk.

- " Sa'îd Abû 'l Kheir, I. 73⁷, II. 128 f.
- al-Hasan b. Bahrâm al-Jannâbî, I. 6819, II. 10817, 1095.
- .. Salma, II. 119 n. 2. Baslamiyya *ib.*, 124¹³.

- " Sufyân, father of Mu'âwiya, I. 68 n. 8, II, 1420, 11014.
- .. , Karmatian, II. 1101.
- " Tâhir, II. 9529, 10832 ff.
- " Ya'la, I. 51 ult., II. 6314.
- . . Zakariyya al-Khayvât, II. 179.

Achamoth, Gnestic hypostasis, II. 8328.

Adam, I. 681, II, 45 n. 3, 104, 1161, 1211 ff.

Addâd, see Clementines.

Aden, in Yemen, II. 1107, 16.

Afshîn, II. 101, n. 1.

Aftaḥiyya, see 'Abdallah b. Ja'far al-Aftaḥ.

Ahmad b. Hâbiţ (II. 1011), see next.

- " Hâ'it, I. 3017, II. 107 ff., 113, 17, 649 f., 9025, 9123. b.
- " Idrîs, I. 54 penult. b.
- b. Nânûs (II. 10²²). see A. b. Yânûsh.

Ahmad b. Sâlih, II. 709.

Yânûsh, I. 3017, II. 1017 ff., 6410, 8828, 9026, 9124.

Ahrâr, appellation for Persians, I. 35 ult., II, 1813. al- Aḥwal, II. 65 n. 2.

'Ainiyya, II. 1031.

'Â'isha, I. 355, 5216, II. 2011, 1304.

'Ajârida, Khârijite sect. I. 311, II. 1128, 1217.

'Alî b. Abî Tâlib, name, I. 53 penult., II. 73; age, I. 5614, II. 7724; appearance, I. 57, II. 78; grave unknown, II. 439 ff., or buried in Kufa, II. 43 n. 3; worthiest of Imamate, I. 3294, 74^{6} , 79^{8} , II. 139^{5} , 159^{15} ; acknowledged even by $R\hat{a}wan$ diyya, II. 122 n. 1; loved by Sunna, II. 143 n. 6, 146; exalted by Sûfis, II. 1481; conceded Imamate to three first Caliphs, I. 74¹⁵, II. 129¹⁹, hence declared apostate. I. 55¹⁰, 79²⁴; denounced, II. 145 n. 2; hated by Khawârij, II. 64^{24} ; Imamate of: and eleven descendants, see Twelvers, and three sons, see Keisâniyya, and two sons, II. 11315 ff., he alone Imam, I. 583, II. 7820, 154 n. 1; possessed and bequeathed Mystic lore, II. $20^{11, 22}$, 33; stops sun, I. 53^6 , II. 6217. 68 ff.; resuscitates dead, II. 83 n. 1; was not killed and will return, I. 34 ult., see 'Abdallah b. Sabâ; in the clouds, s. v.; divinity of, s. v., I. 72^{15} , II. 62^{15} , 23, 102 f., 112^{21} , 127; Demiurge (Creator), I. 6212, II. 91, 99 f., 127, 1282; as such had neither wife nor children, II. 12735 ff.; punishes 'Abdallah b. Saba, s. v., and other exaggerators, I. 3710, 66, II. 99 f.; designated as al-Kisf, II. 8923, as "dâbbat alard," II. 8617; compared with Aaron, II. 4829, 13531, with Jesus, s. v., with Joshua, II. 68, 70 f., 13536; warned by Prophet against Rawafid, II. 146; objects to religious discussions, II. 1525. See also Imamate, Imâmiyya, Muhammed, Nass, Zeidiyya.

al-Azdarî, II. 12736 ff.

Azdariyya, ib.

al-Fadl, I. 68 n. 8, II. 179, 1104 ff. " b.

" b. Haitham, see 'A. b. Mîtham.

" b. al-Ḥasan b. al-Faḍl, II. 4. n. 2.

al-Husein b. 'Alî, I. 584, 684, 7515. " b.

b. Mûsa, see Abû 'l-Kâsim 'Alî. " b.

" b. Ismâ'îl b. Mîtham, see 'A. b. Mîtham.

Manşûr, I. 7523, II. 1337, 22. " b.

Mîtham, I. 513, 7523, II. 4019, 60, 13315, 1501. " b.

Muhammed b. 'Alî, I. 586, 769, II. 523, cf. 7829. " b.

" b. b. al-Fayyâd, II. 103^{24, 31}, cf. I. 67⁶.

Mûsa b. Ja'far, I. 586, 768, II. 521. " b.

an-Najjâr, II. 179, 110 n. 1.

al-Warşand (I. 54 n. 7), see Ibn Warşand.

Almoravides, II. 4929, 7619.

Shurâhîl, see ash-Sha'bî. 'Âmir b.

```
'Âmir b. at-Tufeil, I. 545, II. 7330.
```

'Ammâr al-'Ibâdî, II. 9827. See Khidâsh.

'Amr b. al-'Âs, II. 1420.

" b. Humeid, II. 145.

Aristotle, II. 5718.

Arms, use of, see Khashabiyya.

Asad b. 'Abdallah al-Kasrî, I. 656, II. 9825 ff.

" b. Kurz, II. 874.

Aṣḥâb, see Companions.

al- Ash'arî, I. 2915 ff., II. 732, 818, 91.

Ash'ariyya, I. 3117, II. 85.

Asmâ bint 'Umeis, II. 6918, 7011.

Avicenna, II. 12330.

al-'Ayyâshî, II. 4 n. 2.

'Azâķira, sect, see ash-Shalmaġânî.

Azâriķa, Khârijite sect, I. 3016, II. 933 ff., 1125.

Azdariyya, see 'Alî al-Azdarî.

Bâbak, I. 369, II. 1825, 201.

Bâbûshiyya, II. 41 n. 2.

Babylonia, ancient, II. 8033 ff., 8729. See 'Irâk.

Badâ (doctrine), I. 5310, II. 613, 72.

Badâ'iyya, II. 7213.

Bagdad, I. 6919 ff., II. 3921, 6515, 713, 8024, 125 n. 4.

Bahrein, II. 80 n. 4, 10818,24, 10929.

Bajaliyya, see Ibn Warsand.

al-Baklî, II. 24 n. 1, 465, 11116.

Bakliyya, II. 111.

Baragwâța (commonwealth), I. 47, II. 49.

Bârân, town, II. 111 n. 1.

al-Bashshâr b. Burd, II. 243, 153,

Baslamiyya, see Abû Salma.

Başra, I. 706, II. 113, 417, 564, 7, 5814, 6021, 6630, n. 3.

al- Başrî, I. 705, II. 117 f.

Bâținiyya, II. 175 27, 92 n. 2, 112 n. 3.

al-Bawârî, I. 68 n. 8, II. 110 f. See al-Bûrânî.

Bayân b. Sam'ân, I. 3423, 60 f., II. 88, 9010, 961, 1262.

Bazîġ, I. 3423, 646, II. 9534 ff., 11330.

Bazîgiyya, II. 114 n. 1, 118 n. 4.

Beidâ, town, II. 11422.

Bekr al-A'war, 7. 606, II. 878.

" b. Ukhshub, II. 110 n. 3,

Berkûkiyya, II. 1191.

al- Bhnkî (?), I. 66 n. 9, 673, II. 102 f.

Bishr b. Giyâth, I. 29 ult., II. 919.

" b. Khâlid, see next.

" b. al-Mu'tamir Abû Khâlid, I. 50¹⁴, II. 58¹⁵.

Bishriyya, II. 5819.

Biţţîkhiyya, see Abû Ismâ'îl.

al- Buḥturî, I. 679, II. 10328, 11517.

Bunân (II. 88), see Bayân b. Sam'ân.

al-Bûrânî, see al-Bawârî.

Bûrâniyya, II. 11022.

Burhût, well, II. 8518.

Butriyya, or Buteiriyya, see al-Abtar.

Cabbage, see Dietary regulations.

Cabbala, II. 81 ff., 1049.

Carmathians, see Karmatians.

Christ, see Jesus.

Christians and Christianity, I. 3 n. 1, II. 10²⁷, 11¹⁰, 47¹, 61²⁷, 87², 91, 101. See Jesus.

Clementines (Pseudo-), the True Prophet (or Successive Incarnation), II. 45¹¹, 85 f., 92⁷, 104²², 121¹ ff., cf. I. 60¹, 62¹³; Syzygy (Aḍdâd), II. 116, 127¹³ ff.; origin of evil, II. 85 n. 3.

Communism, of wives (and property), I. 3717, 704, II. 1939, 12025.

Companions, of Prophet, hide Nass, I. 22, 74^1 , II. 22^{17} , $61^{6, 13}$; declared apostates, I. 74^{12} , 79^{18} , II. 22^{20} , 142^1 ; hated and denounced by Shi a, I. 35^4 , II. 41^{26} f., 60^{28} , 61^{11} , 75^{13} , 130^4 , 142-147, 155, 158. Cf. Abû Bekr and Omar.

Concealment, of Imam, see Gaiba.

Dahriyya, I. 45 ult., II. 464,8, 5421.

Dammiyya, II. 1021.

 $\ddot{\rm D}$ âwud al-Hawârî (or al-Jawârî, or al-Jawâribî), I. 3119, 533; 7523, II. 6732 ff.

" b. Kathîr ar-Rakkî, I. 7523, II. 1332.

Death, claim to avoid, II. 9612, 11326 ff. See Abû'l-Khattâb.

Deilam, province, II. 335.

Di'bil, poet, II. 145.

Dietary, regulations, entirely abolished, see Precepts; swine partly permitted, I. 34¹, II. 13¹; cabbage forbidden, I. 53¹⁴, II. 73⁶, other vegetables, II. 76¹⁶, 110 f.; all fruits or vegetables raised in dung, I. 55³, II. 76¹⁶.

Dikrweih, see Zikrweih.

Dirâr b. 'Amr, I. 301, II. 924.

Discussions, religious, forbidden, I. 3513 ff., 493 ff., II. 15.

Docetism, I. 3 n. 1, II. 29 f., 1289 ff. Cf. I. 459 f., 727, II. 50 f., 1192.

Druzes, sect, II. 1274.

Du Manâkh, tribe, I. 68 n. 8, II. 11016.

Eliezer, servant of Abraham, still alive, I. 463 f., II. 4617, 4711.

Elijah, still alive, I. 46, II. 46 ff.

Exaggerators, or

Extremists, see Gulât.

al- Fadl, of Basra, I. 3018, II. 112.

Faith, nature of, II. 86, 98.

Fakhr ad-Dîn ar-Râzî, II. 6716, 72 n. 2.

al-Faraj b. al-Ḥasan b. Ḥaushab, see Ibn Ḥaushab.

Fâris, province, I. 4518, II. 3210, 4415, 11423.

Fâtima, I. 722, II. 2011, 12783 ff.; descendants of, see Imamate.

Fatimids, II. 1514, n. 3. See 'Ubeidallah.

al- Fayyâd b. 'Alî, I. 66 f., II. 102 f., 1044.

Fifth, tax to *Imam*, I. 638, II. 9527.

Gabriel (Jibrîl), I. 56, 80⁷, II. 77, 84 n. 2.

Ġaiba, II. 27⁹, 28, 46¹, 47³⁷.

Gâliya, see Gulât.

Ġifar, see Abû Ġifâr.

Gnosticism, II. 80 ff., 916, 921.

God, attributes, I. 31^{16} , 61^{14} , II. 88^{25} ; his knowledge, I. 52 penult., II. 66^{22} ; his will, I. 53^{10} , II. 72^{8} ; anthropomorphistic conception of (Tashbîh), I. 53^{1} ff., 59, 61^{1} , II. 67 f., 135^{17} ; alphabet used for description of, I. 59^{6} , II. 81^{23} ; crown on his head, I. 59^{5} , 13 , II. 81, 83; the Greatest Name (and names) of, I. 59^{12} , II. 13^{21} , II. 82 f., 87^{20} ; more than one God, II. 88^{20} , 90^{27} ff. See Imams, Incarna-

Gulât and Guluww, I. 42 ult., 55 ff., 65 ff., II. 17²¹, 154; original meaning of, II. 12⁶, 145 n. 2; relation to Shî'a, I. 21, II. 76²⁷, 116¹⁸, 152; excluded from Islam, I. 55 n. 7, II. 151 n. 8, 156 n. 1; smuggled into Islam by Persians, II. 16 f., by Jews, 17, into Christianity by Paul, 16²⁸ ff., 17; compared with Christians, II. 101. See also Imâmiyya, Rawâfid, Shî'a, Zeidiyya.

Gurâbiyya, I. 56, II. 77.

Guweir, drinking place, I. 6711, II. 10334.

Habashiyya (II. 94¹¹), see Khashabiyya.

Habîb b. Aus, II. 6816.

Ḥadîth, see Traditions.

Hadramaut, II. 8519.

Hâjir, mountain, I. 43 n. 7, II. 236.

Hajj (Pilgrimage), I. 68, II. 107 f.

al- Hakam b. 'Uteiba, II. 130'.

Ḥakamiyya, see Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam.

Ḥakîma, see Ḥukeima.

al- Ḥallâj, I. 34^{13} , 69^{17} , II. 13^{19} , 14^1 , 17^4 , 24 n. 2, 30^{12} , 114 f.

Ḥallâjiyya, II. 1319.

al- Halwânî, II. 1101.

Hamadan, city, II. 128 n. 1.

Hamdân, tribe, I. 68 penult., II. 131 n. 1.

Hâmid b. al-'Abbâs, I. 6919, II. 1156.

Hamrawiyya, see Işhâk b. Muhammed.

Hamza b. 'Omâra, II. 9012, 963.

Harât, province, II. 4421, 1191.

Ḥarb b. 'Abdallah, II. 125 n. 4.

Ḥarbiyya, see 'Abdallah b. Harb.

al- Ḥârith (=Suleim b. Mas'ûd), II. 1254.

" ash-Sha'mî, II. 9011.

Hârithiyya, see Işhâk b. Zeid.

Harranians, II. 737, 8028.

Hârûn b. Sa'd, II. 10616, n. 5.

al- Hasan b. Abî Mansûr, I. 63 n. 10.

"b. 'Alî b. Abî Tâlib, II. 25^{14} ; descendants of, I. 55^5 , II, $75^{15,24}$; and al-Husein, I. $58^{4,11}$, 68^3 , 72^3 , 75^{13} , II. 113^{22} .

127³⁷ ff. See Imamate.

- " b. " b. Muhammed al-'Askarî, I. 48, 58¹, 76, II. 52 f., 127², 151⁵, n. 5.
- " b. " b. Warsand, see Ibn Warsand.
- " b. Bahrâm. see Abû Sa'îd al-Ḥasan.
- b. Faraj b. Ḥaushab, see Ibn Ḥaushab.
- " b. al-Ḥasan b. 'Alî b. Abî Ţâlib, II. 27 n. 4.
- '' b. Ḥayy (II. 131²), see al-Ḥ. b. Ṣâliḥ.
- " b. Ismâ'îl b. al-Husein, I. 43 n. 11, II. 32 n. 2.
 - b. Ja'far an-Nûbakhtî, I. 774, II. 1348.
- 5. Şâlih b. Ḥayy (II. 130²⁶), I. 30, 74 f., 79¹³, II. 129, 130 ff.
 Şâliḥiyya, II. 129²¹, 131 n. 2.

Butriyya (or Buteiriyya), see al-Abtar.

Hâshim b. Ḥakîm, (II. 1201), see al-Mukanna'.

Hâshimiyya, sect, see Abû Hâshim.

Hâshimiyya, city, II. 12111.

Hâtim b. Muhammed, II. 111 n. 1.

al- ḤaṭṭâbandḤaṭṭâbiyya (II. 112°), see Abû 'l-Khaṭṭâb.

Ḥayy and Ḥayyân (= Ṣâliḥ), II. 1314.

Hell, see Paradise.

al- Hillî, II, 11624.

Hindoo, II. 7614, 9914.

Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam, I. 63², 74², II. 59², 65, 132¹⁰; called Râfiḍî, II. 150¹; associates with a Khârijite, II. 10², with *Mûsa b. Ja'far*, II. 51¹⁷, with al-Ḥasan b. Ṣâliḥ, I. 74; disputes with *Abû 'l Ḥudeil*, I. 53¹, II. 16², 67, with *Yaḥya b. Khâlid*, 102 n. 3; views on nature of *God*, I. 53², II. 67, on *Kalâm*, I. 31¹⁸, 52¹⁷, II. 66²³, cf. 74 n. 1, on *Imamate*, I. 75²², II. 65²³ ff., cf. 133⁹.

Hishâmiyya (also referred to $Hish\hat{a}m$ b, $S\hat{a}lim$), II. 66° .

Ḥakamiyya, II. 66 n. 2.

b. Sâlim al-Juwâlîkî, I. 75²², II. 15 n. 3, 66⁷, 132³⁶.

Hishâmiyya, see preceding name.

Ḥukeima, I. 48¹⁰, II. 53²⁷.

Hulûl, see Incarnation.

Hulûliyya, II. 1381.

Humeima, in Palestine, II. 891.

Ḥureith b. Mas'ûd, see Mas'ûd b. Ḥureith.

```
Abî Manşûr, I. 6310.
al-Husein b.
            b.
                  'Alî b. Abî Tâlib, I. 5315, II. 395, 7212; revenge for, II.
                     93 n. 1, 946, 12; pilgrimage to, II. 107 n. 1; descend-
                     ants of, I. 7518, II. 11318. See al-Hasan b. 'Alî b. A. T.
       ٤.
             b.
                  Faraj b. Haushab, see Ibn Haushab.
       . .
                  Ismâ'îl b. Ibrâhîm, I. 4315, II. 3124, 329.
       ٠,
             b. al-Jarâh, I. 70 n. 2.
                  Mansûr, see al-Hallâj.
             b.
       ٠.
                  Muhammed an-Najjâr, I. 2925, II. 918.
             b.
             b.
                  'Ubeidallah, I. 70 n. 2, II. 1177.
    Huseinivva (II. 93 n. 1, 9412), see Khashabiyva.
    Ibâdiyya, Khârijite sect, II. 932.
    Iblîs, I. 344, II. 133, 1167.
    Ibn
           'Abbâd Kâfi'l-Kufât, II. 7118,
    "
           Abî 'Aun, I. 70 n. 2, II. 11714.
    "
       al-'Arabî, I. 12, II. 4734.
          'Ayâsh, I. 68, II. 1087.
    46
           Bâbûye (cf. II, 3), II. 2633, n. 1, 3018.
    "
           Dâwud, II, 1171.
    66
        al-Farâķid (I. 69 n. 11, II. 11523), see ash-Shalmaġânî.
    ٤ د
           Hâmid (I. 69 n. 9), see Hâmid b. al-'Abbâs.
    66
        al-Hanafiyya. see Muhammed b. al-H.
    ٠,
           Haushab, I. 6820, n. 8, II. 109.
    ٤.
           Hazm, life, I. 9 ff.; writings, I. 11 f.; truthfulness, I. 15, 40 f.,
                II. 21<sup>16</sup>; Zâhirite, I. 38 f., II. 11<sup>21</sup>, 20<sup>7, 26</sup>, 128<sup>15</sup>; view on
                origin of Shî'a, I. 35 f., II. 16 f., on division of its sects,
                I. 21 ff., on attributes of God, II. 8824; pamphlet against
                heterodox sects, I. 16 f., 391: misrepresents al-Ash'arî, II.
                91, quotes al-Jâhiz, II. 43 n. 5; plagiarized by al-Makrîzî,
                II. 8 n. 3, 1614.
    ..
           Mîtham (II. 60 n. 2), see 'Alî b. M.
           Mukaffa', II. 5619.
    . .
           Mumlik al-Işfahânî, II. 133 n. 1.
    "
           Nâwus (I. 44 n. 9), see Nâwus.
    "
        an-Nu'mân (II. 157 n. 4), see Muhammed b. Ja'far b. an-N.
    "
        ar-Râwandî, see ar-Râwandî.
    "
           Sabâ, see 'Abdallah b. S.
    66
        as-Saudâ (II. 1838), see 'Abdallah b. Sabâ.
    ٠.
           Shâdân, II. 5925.
    " ash-Shalmagan, see ash-Shalmagani.
        at-Tammâr (I. 79 n. 4), see at-Tammâr.
    "
           Wakî' al-Bunânî, II. 88 n. 2.
    66
           Warşand al-Bajalî, I. 54 f., II. 75.
                             Bajaliyya. ib.
           Zubeir, I. 754, II. 94 n. 1.
   Ibrâhîm b.
```

b. Aḥmad, see Ibn Abî 'Aun.
b. al-Ashtar, II. 93¹⁰, n. 1 [add b.].

Idrîs b. Muhammed, II. 7517.

Idrisids, II. 7529.

'Ijl, tribe, II. 7931, 80 n. 4, 89, 972.

Îjmâ', I. 311, II. 1129, 1611.

Îlâk, mountains, II. 12023.

al-'Ilbâ b. Dirâ' (II. 10133), see 'Ulyân.

'Ilbâ'iyya, or 'Ilbâniyya, II. 10129.

Ilhâm, see Inspiration.

Ilhâmiyya, II. 54%.

Imams, divinity of, I. 34¹⁶, 68³, II. 13²⁹, 101¹⁹, 112²², 113²⁰, 152; supernatural knowledge of, II. 33¹⁹, 34²⁵, 54 f., 105 f.; "speaking" and "silent," II. 92³⁵, 112 n. 3; twelve (II. 78²⁵), see Ithnâ'ashariyya; seven (II. 79⁷), see Sab'iyya.

Imamate, fundamental doctrine of Shî'a, I. 3128, of Khawârij, I. 322; book on, by Muhammed b. Ja'far b. an-Nu'mân, I. 5017, II. 5920: theory of, see Hishâm b. al-Hakam; depends on personal qualification, according to Zeidiyya (and Keisâniyya, II. 3423), or hereditary and depends on Nass, according to Imâmiyya, I. 22, 74 f., II. 22, 1299, 13221, 138-142; of Inferior, II. 141 n. 8, 149 n. 4, 155 n. 1; of Kureish, I. 7425, II. 1323, 1533, 157 penult., outside of Kureish I. 336, II. 1329; of descendants of Ja'far b. Abî Ţâlib, II. 451; of Abû Bekr, II. 602; of 'Alî only, s.v., refuted by Ibn Hazm, II. 135^{26} ff.: of all descendants of 'Alî, I. 75^{2} , II. 35^{5} , 130^{5} , cf. I. 61 ult., or those of Fâțima (both according to Zeidiyya), I. 23, II. 22³³, 131³⁰, 132, 156¹⁴, 157 n. 6; of descendants of al-Husein only (Imâmiyya), s.v. al-Husein b. 'Alî; of those of al-Hasan only, s. v.; of Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyya (Keisâniyya), I. 58^{11, 16}, 77¹², II. 34²⁰, 79¹³, and his son Abû Hâshim, I. 61¹⁸, II. 35¹¹, 44⁸, who bequeathed it to 'Abbasids, II. 893, 11817, 12129, 12316. See also 'Alî b. Abî Tâlib, Ja'far b. Muhammed, Keisâniyya, Zeidiyya.

Imâmiyya, I. 44-55, 57; founder of, II. 60^{25} ; reject Abû Bekr and 'Omar, s.v., and denounce Companions, s.v., cf. II. 127^{32} , hence called Rawâfid, s.v.; = Ithnâ'ashariyya, II. 151^8 , n. 6; believe in Mahdi, s.v.; in interpolation of Koran, s.v., in Badâ, II. 72^{21} ; claim Inspiration, s.v.; and Sunna, II. 151; and Mu'tazila, s.v.; and Shî'a, I. 30^{13} , II. 158; and Keisâniyya, I. 23, II. 34; and Zeidiyya, II. 149, see Imamate; and Gulât, I. 55^{22} , II. 18^1 , 76^{30} , 151 f.; against Gulât, II. 80^{15} , 91, 113^1 , 133^4 , see, however, II. 86^{20} , 116^{22} ; against Docetism, II. 30^{14} , 43 n. 3; men designated as, II. 41 n. 2, 66^{19} . See Rawâfid, Shî'a, Zeidiyya.

Incarnation, I. 34¹², 37¹, II. 13²⁸, 126², 152; borrowed from *Christianity*, I. 3 n. 1, II. 101²³; of *Abû Muslim*, II. 118, al-*Başrî*, 117²⁹, al-*Hallûj*, 18²⁰, 115 n. 1, al-*Mukanna*⁴, 120¹³; successive, see Clementines.

Inspiration, claim of, I. 3514, 494, II. 168, 54 f.

^{&#}x27;Irâk, I. 4 f., II. 43¹³, 80, 141¹³, 145 n. 2. See Babylonia.

^{&#}x27;Îsa b. Mûsa, I. 434, 687, II. 234, 10819.

[&]quot; b. Zeid, II. 9811, 1319.

Işbahân, I. 45^{16} , II. 44^{16} , 45 n. 2, 46^2 , 122^{28} ff., 145 n. 2. al-Işbahânî, I. 68 n. 7, II. 108 f.

Işhâk, agitator for Abû Muslim, II. 102 n. 4, 11913.

- " b. Ibrâhîm b. Mus'ab, I. 4316, II. 323,8.
 - b. Kandâj, I. 676 ['Abdallah is oversight], II. 10327.
- " b. Muhammed al-Ahmar, I. 6619, II. 102 f.

Işhâkiyya, II. 102¹³, n. 4, 127²⁰ ff., 128⁵.

Ḥamrawiyya, II. 10214.

- " b. 'Omar, II. 102 n. 4.
 - Işḥâķiyya, *ib*.
- " b. Suweid, II. 436.
- ,, b. Zeid al-Ḥârith, II. 125¹³ ff.

Harithiyva, ib.

Işḥâkiyya, 1) see Işḥâk b. Muhammed ; 2) see Iṣḥâk b. 'Omar.

Ismâ'îl b. 'Abdallah ar-Ru'ainî, II. 135.

- " al-Biṭṭîkhî (II. 1126), see Abû Ismâ'îl.
- " b. Ja'far, I. 45¹, 76³, n. 1, II. 40², 51²⁷, 133²⁶.

Ismâ'iliyya, I. 37^{12} , II. 19^{27} , 20^{3} , 51^{25} , 133^{27} , 151^{2} .

Ithnå'ashariyya, I. 58, II. 40 n. 2, 52, 78²⁵ ff., 133³², 150 n. 6, 151, 152 n. 4.

Iyâd, Kâdi, II. 6925.

al-Jâbia, in Syria, II. 8520.

Jâbir b. Yazîd al-Ju'fî, I. 602, II. 2334, 241, 8611, 8727.

Ja'far b. 'Alî, uncle of the $Mahd\hat{i}$, I. 76^{21} ff., II. 134^{3} .

b. Muhammed Abû 'Abdallah aş-Sâdik, II. 4110; and his son Ismâ'îl, II. 13328; commanding position in Shî'a, II. 104 ff.; esteemed also by Sunna, II. 79^2 , 105^{13} ; one of the twelve Imams, I. 585; Imam instead of Zeid b. 'Alî, II. 139; his Imamate unanimously acknowledged, I. 685, 75^{20} ff., II. 51^{10} , 104^{26} , 132^{31} , 151^{1} ; dissension after his death, I. 762 ff., II. 899; is still alive and will return, I. 44 penult., 766, 1129; divinity of, I. 697, II. 974, 112, 114 n. 1; omniscient, II. 106; hajj in his honor, s.v.; apocryphal utterances (cf. II. 1054) against: allegorical interpretation of Koran, II. 1427, 32, religious discussions, II. 1518 ff., Badâ, 72²⁶, Tafwîd, 91¹⁵, supernatural knowledge of Imams, 10524, Mûsawiyya, 40 n. 2, 'Omar, 651, Zeidiyya, 15916, Muġîra b. Sa'îd, 8016, Abû 'l-Khatṭâb, 113, ash-Shalmaganî, 1171, and other Gulât, 903, 961 ff.; men belonging to his circle, II. 41 n. 2, 5915, 60 n. 3, 65. 66 n. 1, 91 n. 1, 13323.

Ja'fariyya, II. 804, 10712.

Jafr, book attributed to $Ja^{\epsilon}far$, II. 106, 112^{20} . al-Jâḥiz, I. 50, II. 56 ff., 104^{35} , 121^{21} , 124^3 , 148^{19} .

Jâḥiziyya, II. 5610, 30.

Jahm b. Safwân, I. 29¹⁵ ff., 31¹⁶, II. 7³², 8¹⁵, 14³⁹, 15², 74³.

Jahmiyya, II. 8⁴.

Janad, in Yemen, II. 1105. Janâhiyya, see Mu'âwiya b. 'Abdallah. Jannâba, town, II, 10821 ff. Jarîr b. Ķeis, II. 4319. Jarîriyya, see Suleimân b. Jarîr. Jârûdiyya, see Abû 'l-Jârûd. al-Jauzî, Jamâl ad-Dîn, II. 69 n. 1. Jehuda Halevi, II. 10416. Jesus, return at end of Time, I. 4715, II. 3717, 4915; divinity of, I. 6211, II. 11¹⁰, 16³¹, 17²⁷, 90 f.; unreal (Docetism), II. 29; miracles, II. 82 n. 2; compared with 'Alî, I. 6615, II. 101, with the Mahdî, II. 5235, 53 f., with *Muhammed*, II. 2419, 24. Cf. Christianity. Jews, Jewish, and Judaism, believe in miracles of Jesus, s. v. ; bribed Paul II, 1626, 1716, n. 4; and Shî'a, II. 19, 952, 1357,16; and Ġulât, s.v.; and Badâ, II. 7224; and Tashbîh, II. 13519; believe in Immortals, I. 46, II. 46 ff.; sects, II. 9017; Jewish illustrations, II. 855, 9618. See 'Abdallah b. Sabâ, Cabbala, Messiah. Joshua, see 'Alî. al-Jubbâ'î, II. 5828, 6626; cf. 10819. al-Ka'bî, II. 574. Kadar (Free Will), II. 915,26. Kafsa, district and town, I. 5414, II. 7531. Kalâm, doctrine, II. 122, 665, 7334. Kanbar, I. 6610, II. 9932 ff. Ķarāķiriyya, sect, see ash-Shalmaġânî. Karbiyya, see Abû Karb. Karmatians, I. 37^{13} , 58^{10} , 68^{17} , II. 19^{32} , 20^4 , 54^{30} , 133^{30} ; emissaries of, II. 178 ff., 9527, 9719, 108 ff.; seven Imams of, II. 796, 901; believe in Transmigration, II. 6413; dietary restrictions of, II. 734; fifty prayers of, s. v. Karrâmiyya, see Muhammed b. Karrâm. al-Kashshî, II. 4 n. 2. al-Ķāsim b. 'Abdallah (or 'Ubeidallah) b. Suleimān, I. 67^{16} , II. 104^{1} . Kastilia, province in North Africa, I. 5415, II. 7510, 761. Kathîr an-Nawwâ, II. 12931. See al-Abtar. Kat'ivya, see Kittî'iyya. Kâze keimân dât, town, II. 1209. Keisân, II. 33.

Abû 'Omra, I. 446, 7710, II. 34.

Keisâniyya, origin, II. 33 f.; position within Shî:a, I. 23, II. 34 f., 155, 156 n. 3; accept Imamate of 'Alî, al-Hasan, al-Husein and Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyya, I. 5812, 7712, II. 7911 ff.; the latter hidden in Radwa, I. 446 ff., 77 ult., II. 2526 ff., 35 ff., 9519; use wooden arms only, hence called Khashabiyya, I. 63¹, n. 1, II. 93 ff.: believe in Badâ, I. 53¹⁰, II. 72¹², in Transmigration, I. 34¹⁷, 52⁵, II. 63²⁹, 64¹⁴, in eternity of world, I. 54¹¹; factions among, I. 4512 ff., II. 35, 447, 102 n. 4, 118 n. 3, 12130. See Imamate, Zeidiyya.

Kelb, tribe, II. 9720.

al-Kelbî, II. 2511, 100 n. 2.

al-Khadir, prophet, I. 46 f., II. 4727, 48, 498.

Khâlid b. 'Abdallah al-Ķaşrî, I. 59², 60, 65¹ (cf. II. 97⁵), II. 79 f., 86 f., 100²⁴, 107¹⁴.

Khamt, mother of Mahdî, II. 5410.

Kharbiyya, see 'Abdallah b. al-Kharb.

Khashabiyya, see Keisâniyya.

Khaţţâbiyya, see Abû 'l-Khaţţâb.

Khawârij, I. 3014, 31 penult., 331, 23, II. 928, 766, 932, 15910.

Khidâsh, I. 3611, 655, II. 98.

Khindif al-Asadî, II. 425 [Khandak is oversight], 9421.

Khorâsân, I. 44 n. 1, 65 n. 5, II. 1015, 11814, 1195, 12228, 1235, 29, 12884,

Khurramiyya, II. 1939, 1196.

Kisfiyya, see Abû Manşûr.

Ķiţţî'iyya, I. 4719 ff., II. 268, 4021, 49 ff.

Koran, interpolated (Tabdîl), I. 50¹8, 51 f., II. 60³, 61 ff., 153 n. 6, cf. also I. 33²¹, II. 12¹⁰; allegorical interpretation of, I. 35, 73 n. 2, II. 14¹8, 45¹³, 107; in Berberic language, II. 49²⁴.

KSR (?) (I. 68 n. 7), see al-Işbahânî.

Kufa, 'Alî in, II. 39³, 43 n. 3, 78°, 99²³, al-Mukhtâr in, II. 93, Muhammed b. al-Kâsim, II. 33⁴; Yaḥya b. 'Omar, I. 43¹², Zeid b. 'Alî, II. 138 f., Zenj, I. 65 n. 2: 'Alî b. Mîtham, II. 60²⁰, al-Ḥasan b. Ṣâliḥ, I. 74 ult., II. 131¹², Hishâm b. al-Ḥakam, I. 65³, 74 ult., II. 65¹³, n. 4, 67¹, Ibn Ḥaushab, I. 68 n. 8; people of, known as stingy and treacherous, II. 140 n. 6.; Ġulât in, I. 62², 64, 68 f., 71², II. 79³⁶, 89²⁰, 97⁴, 107; Persian spoken in, II. 78¹⁰.

Kureish, II. 3920, 8931, 906. See Imamate.

Kuthayyir, II. 13428; *Keisânite*, I. 7714, II. 2527, 3519, 7915; *Keisânitic* poem ascribed to him (or as-Sayyid), II. 38 f.; *Khashabî*, II. 9423, 9516; believes in his own return, II. 248, 27 n. 2, in *Transmigration*, II. 2626, 27 n. 4, 456; aids *Khindif*, II. 425; denounces *Companions*, II. 143 f.

Lamâs, tribe, II. 758.

Logic, elimination of, from religion, II. 15.

Luminaries, creation of, I. 5921, II. 8436 ff.

Madâin, city, I. 4319, n. 6.

al-Madâ'in'î, II. 5718.

Maghrib, Karmatians in, II. 109 ff.

Mâġûs, tribe, II. 757.

Mahdî, Caliph, II. 3918, 13111.

" = Messiah, s. v., cf. I. 36¹⁹; of the Ithnâ'ashariyya, see Muhammed b. al-Ḥasan b. 'Alî; traditions about, II. 30²⁵ ff., 53¹⁸ ff.

al-Maķrîzî, I. 7, II. (4), 824, 1614,

```
Malchizedek, I. 46<sup>2</sup>, II. 46 f.
```

Mâlikiyya, II. 7612, 11711.

al-Mamtûra, sect (= $M\hat{u}sawiyya$), I. 44^{16} , II. 40, 51^{14} , 60^{31} .

al-Ma'mûn, Caliph, II. 5927.

Mandæan, influence, I. 4, II. 80 ff., 8418, 8729.

Mani. II. 8025.

Manichæan, influence, I. 4, II. 29 f., 80, 87¹, 104⁸.

al-Manşûr, Caliph, I. 70 penult., II. 100 f., 118^{7} , 119^{9} , 121^{12} ff., 125 n. 4, 140 n. 3.

' an-Namarî, poet, II. 153 n. 6.

", title of Karmatian missionary-in-chief, II. 109.

Manşûriyya, see Abû Manşûr.

Marcus, Gnostic, II. 821.

Marriage, with forbidden relations, 1. 33²⁵, II. 12¹⁶; with nine wives, I. 53¹³, cf. II. 73¹.

al-Masâ'il an-Nâşiriyya, book, II. 29 footnote.

Masâmida (or Maşmûda), tribe, I. 5416, II. 7610.

Mas'ûd b. Ḥureith, II. 1115, 10.

Mawâli, II. 34, 9416, 107 n. 2.

Mazdak, I. 37¹⁵, II. 19³⁵, 120¹.

Mazdakiyya, II. 1937, 202.

Medina, I. 433, II. 39 n. 2.

Meimûn al-Kaddâh, II. 112 n. 3.

Meimûniyya, ib.

Meimûniyya, Khârijite sect, II. 1216, 19.

Mekka, I. 53 n. 1, II. 426, 67, 943, n. 1.

Merv, city, I. 70 n. 7, II. 1191, 1208.

Messiah, and Messianism, I. 3 n. 1, 454, II. 36 ff., 4314, 9427 ff.

Metempsychosis, see Transmigration of Souls.

Methusalem, I. 46 n. 1, II. 4621, n. 8.

Miklâs b. Abî 'l-Khaţţâb, see Abû 'l-Khaţţâb.

Milal wa'n-Niḥal, literature on, I. 5; by *Ibn Ḥazm*, literary character of, I. 12 ff., manuscripts of, 17 ff., compared with work of ash-Shahrastânî, 16.

Mîmiyya, II. 1034.

Mîtham at-Tammar, II. 6016.

Moses, II. 7016, 1167, 13531.

Mu'ammar, I. 649, 6914, II. 963, 21, 11412 ff.

Mu'ammariyya, II. 7419, 11327, 11411.

b, 'Abbâd, II. 114¹³.

Mu'âwiya, Caliph, I. 75°, II. 145 n. 2, 14819.

b. 'Abdallah <u>D</u>û'l-Janâḥein, II. 27 n. 4, 44²⁵, 45⁴, n. 2. Janâhiyya, II. 44²⁴.

Mufaddal- aş-Seirafî, II. 9626.

Mufawwida, sect, see Tafwîd.

al-Mugʻira b. Sa'id, I. 34^{21} , 59 f.. II. 31^{8} , 79 ff., 90^{10} , 96^{2} ; called $Sabd^{3}$, II. 100^{27} 30. See Rawâfiḍ.

Mugîriyya, II. 87^{24} , n. 2, 96^{7} , 153^{17} ; terrorists, I. 62^{19} , II. 92^{17} ; hajj in honor of $Ja^{i}far$ as-Sâdik, II. 107^{13} ; relation to Khattâbiyya, II. 97^{13} .

al-Mugira b. Shu'ba, II. 140 n. 1.

Muhallab, II. 9320.

"

Muhammed, the Prophet, resembled 'Alî, I. 56, II. 77 f., hence mistaken for him, ib.; apostle of 'Alî, but usurped prophecy, I. 66 ult. (cf. I. 56), II. 102⁵, 116⁸, 127¹⁸; responsible for strife about *Imamate*, I. 55¹¹, 80⁴, cf. II. 76²³; divinity of, I. 67², 68², II. 102 f., Demiurge, 91⁸; return of, II. 24¹⁴, 25 n. 1; stops sun, II. 69⁴, 71²⁷. See 'Alî b. Abî Tâlib, Mystic lore.

Muhammediyya, believe in his divinity, I. 67¹, II. 102 f.

Muhammed b. 'Abdallah, Kâtib, I. 476, II. 4823.

b. '' b. al-Ḥasan, I. 43¹ ff., 60¹⁰, n. 5, 64³, II. 23³, 30¹¹, 31⁵, 86¹⁴, 87.

Muhammediyva, II. 8615, 102 n. 5.

" b. " b. Tâhir, I. 43¹³, II. 31²⁴.

- 6. 'Abd as-Salâm, called Shukk al-Leil, I. 47³ [see II. 48¹⁵], II. 48¹⁶, n. 1.
- ' b. Abî Zeinab, see Abû'l-Khaţţâb.
- " b. 'Alî b. 'Abdallah b. al-'Abbâs, II. 12315.
 - b. "b. Abî Tâlib, see M. b. al-Ḥanafiyya.
- b. "b. al-Ḥusein al-Bâķir, I. 585, 642, 684, 7520, II. 229, 8017, 9533, 139.
- " b. "b. Mûsa, I. 4810, 586, 768.
- " b. " b. an-Nu'man (II. 596), see M. b. Ja'far.
- " b. " b. ash-Shalmagan, see ash-Shalmagani.
- " b. al-Fadl, see 'Alî b. al-F.
- " b. Habîb, II. 10954.
- b. al-Hanafiyya, significance of name, II. 53 n. 3; worshipped by Keisaniyya, s.v.; defended by Khashabiyya, II. 943; supernatural knowledge of, II. 33, cf. 122.
- b. al-Ḥasan b. 'Alî, Mahdi of Ithnâ'ashariyya, I. 47 f., 587, 76, II. 52 ff., 61¹⁷; "man of the cellar," II. 27¹¹, 134¹² ff., cf. I. 77 n. 7.
- " b. Işhâk b. Ibrâhîm, II. 328.
- b. Ismâ'îl b. Ja'far b. Muhammed, Mahdi of Sab'iyya (and Karmatians), I. 58°, 68¹6, II. 79⁵, 108¹⁴.
- " b. Ja'far b. Muhammed, I. 765.
- b. "b. an-Nu mân, called Sheiţân aţ-Ṭâķ, I. 31¹¹,
 50 f., 75 penult., II. 15 n. 3, 59, 65 n. 2, 150², 157
 n. 4.

Nu mâniyya, II. 59¹³.

Sheitâniyya, II. 59¹³, 66²⁴.

- b. Karrâm, I. 29¹⁶ ff., II. 8², 9⁶. Karrâmiyya, II. 8⁵.
- b. al-Kâsim b. 'Alî, I. 44¹, II. 32¹⁷ ff.

Muhammed b. an-Nu'mân, see M. b. Ja'far b. an-N.

- b. Nuşeir, II. 127².
- " b. Sâlih b. Mu'âwiya, II. 45 n. 2.
- " b. Wrstd, see Ibn Warsand.
- '' b. Ya'kûb. II. 42²⁸ f.

Ya'kûbiyya, ib.

' b. Zakariyya ar-Râzî, II. 6411.

Muhammediyya: 1) see Muhammed, the Prophet; see Muhammed b. 'Abdallah b. al-Hasan.

Mujabbira (or Mujbara), sect, II. 921, 1127, 88 n. 2.

al-Mukaddasî, on number of sects, I. 6; and Shî'a, II. 145 n. 2.

al-Mukanna', I, 369, 709, II. 120 f.

Mukâtil b. Suleimân, I. 3117, II. 1130, 6710.

al-Mukhtâr b. Abî 'Ubeid, I. 44', 58'18, 77'10, II. 17'8, 33 f., 72, 79'17, 93 f. Mukhtâriyya, II. 33'25, 79'18.

Mu'min aţ-Tâk (II. 5912), see Muhammed b. Ja'far b. an-Nu'mân.

Murji'a, sect, I. 29^{10} , 31^7 , 32, 34^3 , II. $7^{23,30}$, 11^{31} , 21^{27} , 152 penult.

Mûsa b. Ja far b. Muhammed al-Kâzim. I. 4416, 585, 768, II. 3915, 1515.

Mûsawiyya, II. 40, 50 ff., 6031, 7527.

Mus'ab, II. 9315.

Mushabbiha, sect, II. 91. Cf. Tashbîh.

Muslîmiyya, see Abû Muslim.

- al-Mu'tadid, Caliph, I. 678, 772,6.
- al-Mu'tasim, "II. 3225.
- al-Mutawakkil, "II. 15610.

Mu'tazila, I. 29²⁴, 31¹², 32¹⁵. 32 penult., II. 9, 21³¹; origin of name, II. 138 n. 1; *Transmigration*, II. 63²⁶, other doctrines, II. 66²⁶, 93¹; and *Shî'a*, II. 11³³ ff., and *Imâmiyya*, 11³⁶, cf. 63¹¹, and *Zeidiyya* 11³⁷ ff.

Muzaffar b. Ardshîr, see Abû Manşûr Muzaffar.

Mystic lore (supernatural knowledge), of Muhammed, I. 38, II. 2012, of 'Ali, II. 33, of Muhammed b. al-Hanafiyya, II. 33 f. See Imams.

Nafaliyya, see Bakliyya.

Nafta, city in district of Kafsa, s.v.

an-Nahkînî (II. 10319), see al-Bhnkî.

Nahliyya (=Bajaliyya, I. 54 n. 6, n. 8), see Ibn Warsand.

an-Najjâr, 1) see al-Ḥusein b. Muhammed; 2) see Ibn Ḥaushab.

Nakaliyya, see Bakliyya.

Name, of God, s.v.; of 'Alî, I. 53 penult., II. 73; identical with that of Prophet, II. 53, 87²²; allusions to, found in Koran, I. 61¹⁶, 62⁸, II. 88²⁷, cf. I. 35, II. 14.

Narjis, mother of Mahdi, I. 4813, 7616, II. 5410.

Nasariyya, citadel, II. 12633.

Naskh ("abrogation"). II. 7210,25.

Nasrivya (II. 12625), see Nuşeiriyya.

Nass, written bequest, of Muhammed to 'Alî, I. 22, 73 ff., II. 6621, 1585; of or through 'Alî to al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusein, I. 7514, II. 13229, to Muhammed b. al-Ḥanafiyya, II. 34 n. 3; of Ja'far aṣ-Ṣādik to one of his sons, II. 13233; hidden by Companions, s.v.; point of issue between Imāmiyya and Zeidiyya, see Imamate.

Nawâşib, nickname for opponents of Shî'a, II, 159.

Nâwus, I. 4420, II. 41.

Nâwusiyya, ib.

an-Nazzâm, I. 5014, 512, II. 1114, 565, 5714, 58, 6024, 649, 74 n. 1.

Nîsâbûr, I. 736, II. 1235.

Nisba, influence of, on enumeration of sects, I. 7 f.; artificial formation of, II. 119 n. 2.

Nisibis, II. 9321.

Nu'mâniyya, see Muhammed b. Ja'far b. an-Nu'mân.

Nușeirî, II. 1275.

Nuşeiriyya, I. 71 f., II. 1850, 10213, 11612, 126 ff.

'Omar, see Abû Bekr.

Omayya b. Abî Şalt, II. 28 n. 1.

'Omeir at-Tabbân, I. 6410, II. 9628.

'Omeiriyya, II. 973.

Osrushna, province, II. 101 n. 1.

'Othmân, Caliph, interpolated *Koran*, II. 61²⁸ ff.; uprising against, I. 37¹⁰, II. 19²⁴; hated by *Imâmiyya*, I. 52 n. 5, and *Khawârij*, II. 64²⁴, while *Zeidiyya* vacillating, see I. 74¹⁷, II. 129²⁰, 132¹⁵ and 129²⁶, 130⁴, 139 n. 1.

" al- Khashabî, II. 9319.

Paradise, nine enter while alive, II. 47¹²; and Hell will decay, I. 54⁹, II. 15¹, 73 f., cf. 75².

Paul, apostle, see Gulât.

Persians, and $Sh\hat{\imath}'a$, I. 35 f., II. 16; and al-Mukhtûr, II. 94¹; absorb 'Ijl, II. 80 n. 4; derogate 'Alî, II. 78⁰; call themselves "sons" and "nobles," I. 35 ult., II. 18⁵; Persian language, II. 90²², 93²⁶, cf. 78⁰.

Phinehas, still alive, I. 466, II. 4612, 4723.

Pilgrimage, see Hajj.

Prayers, two a day, I. 33^{24} ; seventeen (or nineteen), I. 37^4 , n. 3, 71^4 , n. 4, II. 126^5 ; fifty, I. 37^2 , II. 18^{29} .

Precepts, religious, saints exempt from, I. 34¹¹, 37¹, 73³, II. 13¹⁶, 14¹⁵; have inner meaning, I. 35, II. 14, 107, 129⁵; abolished by Abû Manşûr, I. 62¹⁷, by Hûrithiyya, II. 125¹⁴, by Imûmiyya, 61²⁰, by Karmatians, 109⁵, by Khatţûbiyya, 14, 112¹⁵, by al-Mukanna⁴, 120²⁵

Prophecy, can be acquired, I. 34⁶, II. 13⁸; inadmissible after *Muhammed*, I. 47¹¹, 55 n. 7, 56¹, II. 49, 76³⁸, 92⁹; True Prophet, see Clementines.

Ptahil, deity of Mandæans, II. 8425.

VOL. XXIX.

ar-Râdi, Caliph, I. 70 n. 2.

Radwa, mountain, I. 43 n. 7, 4410, 77 ult., II. 35 ff., 3913, 9518.

Raj'a, see Return; miscellaneous uses of word, II. 28 n. 1.

ar-Rashîd, Caliph, II. 3919, 5828.

Ray, city, I. 78 n. 2, II. 13433; mountains, II. 11915.

Rawâfid, term, see Appendix A; different forms of word, II. 137, origin, 138 ff., supposed to have been coined by al-Muŷîra b. Sa·îd, 139 f., coined by Zeid b. 'Alî, 139, 1414, 142 n. 6, derogatory meaning of, 138 n. 1, 140 n. 3;="repudiators" (of Abû Bekr and 'Omar and Companions), II. 64 f., 142 ff.; and Shî·a, II. 146 ff.; applied to Keisâniyya, II. 156 n. 3, to Imâmiyya (excluding Zeidiyya), I. 4415, 4719, II. 148 ff., to Ithnâ·ashariyya, 151, to Ġulât 151 ff., to all Shiitic sects (including Zeidiyya), 154 ff.; acknowledge Imamate of Ja·far aṣ-Ṣādik, II. 10428, 150 ult.; are no Muslims, II. 628, 1536; compared with Jews, II. 1920, with Christians, 142 n. 2, worse than Jews and Christians, 1444, cf. 7713. See Shî·a.

Râwand, near *Iṣbahân*, II. 122 26 ;=Rîwand (in *Khorâsân*)), II. 123. ar-Râwandî, II. 123 f.

Râwandiyya, I. 7013, II. 10032, 121 ff., 1532.

Reappearance, see Return.

Resurrection, denied, II. 4512, 7426.

Return, after death (*Raj'a*), I. 34 ult., II. 23–28, 50 f., 86¹³, 114²⁶. Raj'iyya, II. 24⁷, 25⁵.

Rîwand, see Râwand.

ar-Rîwandî (II. 12324), see Râwandiyya.

Rizâm b. Sâbiķ, II. 11814.

Rizâmiyya, II. 118 f., 12011, 12410,18.

Rubûbadiyya, see Abû'l-'Abbâs.

Rustem b. Husein b. Haushab, see Ibn Haushab.

b. Karhin b. Ḥaushab, see Ibn Ḥaushab.

Sabâ'iyya, see 'Abdallah b. Sabâ.

Sabbâb, II. 42 n. 1.

Sabbâbiyya, ib.

Sabbâbiyya, or Sabâbiyya (II. 17 n. 1, 41 f.), see Sabâ'iyya.

Sab'iyya, II. 797, 10815.

aş-Şâdik, see Ja'far b. Muhammed aş-Ş.

as-Saffâh, Caliph, II. 11822, 11918.

Safiyya bint 'Abd al-Muttalib, aunt of Prophet, II. 145.

Saḥâbiyya, II. 4226.

Sâ'id an-Nahdî, II. 9011, 963.

Saints, superior to prophets, I. 349, II. 1312,14; exempt from religious precepts, s.v.

Saķîl, mother of *Mahdi*, I. 48¹⁵, 76, II. 54¹⁴.

Salât, see Prayers.

Şâlih b. Țarîf, I. 47¹⁷, II. 49^{23,26}

Ṣâliḥiyya: 1) see al-Ḥasan b. Ṣâliḥ; 2) sect of $Mu^{\epsilon}tazila$, II. 131 n. 2. Sâlim b. Abî Hafsa, II. 129 31 .

" b. Mas'ûd (=al-Hârith), II, 1254.

Salma b. Kuheil, II. 1301.

Samâk b. Harb, II. 13121.

Samuel b. Nagdela, I. 13 (l. 3 from below). [Joseph is oversight.]

Şarhât aţ-Ţabarî, II. 93 n. 2.

Sarî al-Akşam, I. 64 n. 11, II. 96.

Saturninus, Gnostic, II. 8511.

Sausan, mother of Mahdi, I. 4816, 7618, II. 5414.

Sawâd, province, I. 68 n. 8, II. 110²¹, 111^{4,8}.

Sawwâr, poet, II. 140 n. 3.

as-Sayyid al-Ḥimyarî, II. 134, cf. 39 n. 3; argues with Muhammed b. Ja'far b. an-Nu'man. II. 59¹6; his râwî Iṣḥâḥ b. Muhammed, II. 102°; believes in return of Muhammed b. al-Ḥanafiyya, I. 77¹³, 78 n. 2, II. 25²8, 35²6, 36 ff., cf. 27 n. 4. in Transmigration, I. 34¹³, 52⁶, II. 26¹³, 63 n. 2; on name of Mahdi, II. 53 n. 2; on sun miracle, II. 68¹¹; against divinity of 'Alî, II. 101 n. 2; against Rawâfiḍ, II. 140 n. 3; denounces Companions, II. 144 n. 6.

Sects, number of, I. 6 f.; classification of, I. 20 ff., 29^1 , 40^{11} , II. 21^3 . Sefer Yeşîrah, II. 82^{14} .

Sem, identical with Malchizedek, II. 478.

Seven, Imams, see Sab'iyya; angels, II. 84⁵⁹; prophets, II. 86¹, 89 f., 104^{18,24}: incarnations, II. 127¹⁵.

Seveners, see Sab'ivva.

ash-Sha'bi, I. 60^3 , II. 86^{23} ; on 'Abdallah b. Sabâ, II, $48^{18,26}$; utterances against $Sh\hat{i}'a$, II. 19^{16} , 77^{10} , 95^3 , 135, 142 n. 2, 144.

Shâfi'iyya, II. 11711.

ash-Shalmagani, Ibn Abî 'l 'Azâķir (also written Ķarâķir), I. 69 ult. ff., 70 n. 2, II. 115 ff., 127^{17} .

'Azâķira, II. 116². Ķarâķiriyya, II. 117³⁰.

Sheitân at Tâk and Sheitâniyya, see Muhammed b. Ja'far b. an-Nu mân.

Shî'a, name, II. 157 f., applied to Im@miyya, 158, to Zeidiyya, ib., to both, 159¹; origin I. 3 n. 1; character, I. 4 f.; division of sects, I. 21 ff., 42^{15} , II. 155¹°, 156¹, cf. I. 30³; fundamental beliefs of, I. 31^{27} , 32^{24} , 78 ff.; "exaggerations" of, I. 34 f.; anthropomorphistic, II. 185^{17} ; relation to Mu'tazila, I. 31^{20} , II. 11^{33} ff., to $\dot{G}ulat$, s.v.; compared with Jews, see ash-Sha'bî; Traditions against, s.v.; of illegitimate birth, I. 49 f., II. 55^{28} . See Imâmiyya, Rawâfiḍ, Zeidiyya.

Shibâsh, see al-Başrî.

Shinâs, see al-Başrî.

ash-Shortah, district near Wasit, II. 12723.

Shukk al-Leil, see Muhammed b. 'Abd as Salâm.

Simeon, tribe, II, 4922.

Simon Magus, II. 8527.

Sûfis, originated by Abû Sa'îd Abû 'l-Kheir, s.v.; in Işbahân, II. 45, n. 2; believe that Elijah and al-Khaḍir alive, I. 46¹, II. 47³², that saints superior to prophets, s.v., and exempt from religious precepts, s.v.; follow Shî'a, II. 148, and Ġulât, I. 73², II. 152; are no Muslims, I. 30 ult., n. 11, II. 11²°.

Sufriyya, Khârijite sect, I. 376, 716,16, II. 930, 34, 12610,13.

Sufyân ath-Thaurî, II. 4223.

Suleimân b. Jarîr, I. 7921, II. 7220, 12924, 1367, 1499.

Suleimâniyya, II. 13611.

Jarîriyya, ib.

Sunbâd (or Sinbâd), I. 369, II. 1819.

Sunna, I. 294, 3311, 348.

Supernatural knowledge, see Mystic lore.

Surhûbiyya, see Abû'l-Jârûd.

Surr-man-ra'â (Samara), city, I. 76 n. 10, II. 1826.

as-Sûs, city, I. 5416, 551, II. 768.

Syzygy, see Clementines.

Tabaristân, province, II. 335.

Tabdîl, see Koran, interpolated.

Tafwîd, doctrine, II. 91.

at-Tahâwî, II. 70.

Tâhir b. al-Husein, I. 43 n. 11.

Takhlît, doctrine, II. 9118.

Ţaķiyya (" compulsion"), II. 910.

Talabîra, city in Spain, I. 474, II. 4822.

Tâlikan, city in Khorâsân, I. 443.

at-Tammâr, I. 7921, II. 606; see 'Alî b. Mîtham.

Tanâsukh, see Transmigration of Souls.

Tarîf, II. 4922.

Tashbîh, see God.

Tâwûs, II. 41 n. 2.

at-Tayyar, (II. 6014), see 'Alî b. Mîtham.

Terrorism, among Shiitic sects, I. 3512, 62 f., II. 92 f., 9524, 153.

Thugs, in India, II. 9225.

Tiberias, I. 722, II. 12726.

Tiflîs, II. 125 n. 4.

Tigris, rise of, II. 11425.

Traditions, forged or interpolated by Shî'a, I. 784, II. 135; by Khattâbiyya, II. 1138; by Sunna against Shî'a, II. 4821, 13521, 146, against Zeidiyya, II. 147 n. 2.

Transmigration, of Souls (Tanâsukh), belief of *Mu'tazila*, I. 33 ult., II. 12²⁵, of *Keisâniyya*, s.v., of other heretics, I. 71³, II. 11¹¹, 45¹², 113²⁹; relation to *Return*, II. 26 f.; consequences, I. 52, II. 74.

Tanâsukhiyya, II. 12³¹, 26³², 63³².

Trinity, II. 9123.

Tuġj, I. 65, n. 2, II. 9728.

at-Tûsî, II. (5), 5923, 107 n. 1.

Twelvers, see Ithnâ'asharivva.

'Ubeidallah [b. Muhammed al-Habîb], divinity of, I. 691, II. 205, 9530 10934, 11119,

b. Ziyâd, II. 9311.

al-'Uleiş, tribe, I. 65 n. 2, II. 9721.

'Ulyan b. Dira', II. 10132.

'Ulyâniyya, I. 6618, II. 101 f.

Urdunn, district in Palestine, I. 721, II. 12726.

Ustadsîs, I. 369, II. 1821.

Vice, unnatural, I. 702, II. 1096, 1173.

Wâķifa, or Wâķifiyva, II. 268, 40, 50 ff.

al-Warrâk, II. 15 n. 3, 136 (Corrections).

Wâşil b. 'Aţâ, II. 1188, 43 n. 1, 12916, 14114, 153.

Wâsiţ, city, II. 41 n. 2, 6512, 806, 11510, 12723, 145 n. 2.

Water, sanctity of, I. 6012, II. 8728; "dark" and "white" waters of Mandæans, II. 8417 ff.

Weaver's trade, despicable, II. 9615.

World, creation of, I. 59, II. 81 ff.; eternity of, I. 54¹¹, II. 74¹⁹.

Yahya b. Dikrweih (or Zikrweih), II. 799, 97.

b. Khâlid, Vizier, II. 6023, 102 n. 3, 13321.

" b. 'Omar b. Yaḥya, I. 4310 ff., II. 31 f.

Ya'kûbiyya, see Muhammed b. Ya'kûb.

Yazîd b. 'Omar (or 'Omeir), II. 976.

Yemen, I. 68, II. 188, 109 f. Yûnus b. 'Abdarraḥmân, II. 40%, 51%.

Yûsuf b. 'Omar, I. 626, II. 8631, 10025, 13812.

Zâhirism, I. 38 f., II. 824, 12815.

az-Zakarî (II. 10910), see al-Işbahânî.

Zakariyya b. Muhammed, II. 87 n. 2.

Zebîd, in Yemen, II. 11015.

Zeid b. 'Alî b. al-Ḥusein, I. 749, II. 1137, 2224, 12916, 138 ff., 141 f. Zeidiyya, on Imamate, s.v. (twice); on Imamate in Kureish, II. 152 ult.; acknowledge Abû Bekr and 'Omar. I. 22, 7416, 7913, II. 2225 (see also s.v.), and doubtful about 'Othmân, s.v., but change their attitude, II. 155 n. 1, cf. 158; believe in Muhammed b. al-Kasim, II. 33°; and Sunna, II. 155, 157 f.; and Mu'tazila, s.v.; and Keisâniyya, I. 23, 34 f., 447; and Jârûdiyya, I. 4217, 7413, II. 153 n. 1; and Imâmiyya, s.v.; and Gulât, II. 7629;

designated as Shî'a, II. 158; nicknamed Nussâb, II. 15910; traditions against, s. v.; men belonging to, II. 1131, 7220 (cf. 12926), 106

n. 5, 13125, 29. Cf. Imâmiyya, Rawâfid, Shî'a.

Zenj, I. 65 n. 2, II. 3120, 985. Zikrweih (or Dikrweih) b. Mihrweih, II. 9719. Ziyâd, tribe, I. 68 n. 8, II. 11012. "brother of Mu'âwiya, II. 11013. Zubeir b. Ṣafiyya, cousin of Prophet, II. 145. Zurâra b. A'yun, II. 4024, 6625, 91 n. 1, 146 n. 4. Zutt (Gypsies). II. 99.

LIST OF ARABIC WORDS.1

186 ff. الأَّنناء الأحرار 18¹³ ff. رفض see أَرْفَاضَ عن الشيخيْن عن الشيخيْن 138 n. 4,144 n. 1. تبرًا عن الشيخيْن 9113. و تتخليط 360 ترفّض 360 ترفّض عن الشيخيْن 138 n. 4, 144 n. 8. شیعة 146, see تشیّع. . 1106 جَناح 12724 جُنْد 130²². كُوْعَةُ 23 ff. 28 n. 1. رفضة, رافضة, رافضة, رافضة, رافضة and .142 ترقض , رفض زعم 105 n. 2. . 5011, 511 ساق،

¹ Quotations exclusively refer to the second part of this treatise.

. 145 n. 2 سَبّابون

95 n. 1.

139 n. 2. شبر 67²³.

6 f., 55 n. 1. شغب

تعيث 157 f.

147 f. شيعة ورافضة

.55¹⁶ ظريف

(ابن) عمّ 125 ff., 145 n. 2.

1345 غيربها

.50, 51 n. 1 قطع

932 ff. كافركوبات

.4. 97 n. 4 مزرات

.3 . 17 n مَشارِقة

130. مقالة

.109 منصور

بنصّاب، or نصّاب 159.

.97 n. 5 نَفَاط

9125. وسائط

.50 (توقّف and وقف