

Arnold's theorem on analytic circle diffeomorphisms

Jordan Bell

jordan.bell@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

April 3, 2014

We are reorganizing and expanding on the presentation in [6]. Arnold's paper: [1]. Other sources that present the theorem, as I come across them: [2], [3].

Let $S_\sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im z| < \sigma\}$, let $\|\eta\|_\sigma = \sup_{|\Im z| < \sigma} |\eta(z)|$, and let

$$B_\sigma = \{\eta : \eta \text{ is holomorphic on } S_\sigma, \eta(x+1) = \eta(x), \text{ and } \|\eta\|_\sigma < \infty\}.$$

For each $\sigma > 0$, the set B_σ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$.

We say that $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ is of type (K, ν) if $|\rho - \frac{m}{n}| > K|n|^{-\nu}$ for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $n \neq 0$. If ρ is of type (K, ν) with $K > 1$ then ρ is also of type $(1, \nu)$, and thus we can assume that ρ is of type (K, ν) with $K \leq 1$. Suppose that $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let $K > 0$. It follows from Dirichlet's approximation theorem [4, p. 155, Theorem 185] that there is some $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $n \geq \frac{1}{K^{1/\epsilon}}$ such that $|\rho - \frac{m}{n}| < \frac{1}{n^2}$. Then

$$|\rho - \frac{m}{n}| < \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n^{2-\epsilon}} \frac{1}{n^\epsilon} \leq \frac{1}{n^{2-\epsilon}} K.$$

Hence ρ is not of type $(K, 2 - \epsilon)$. Therefore if $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is of type (K, ν) then $\nu \geq 2$.

Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing homeomorphism that satisfies $F(x+1) = F(x) + 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The *rotation number* of F is defined to be

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F^n(x) - x}{n}.$$

This limit exists for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and is the same for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ [5, p. 387, Proposition 11.1.1]. If $H : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing homeomorphism that satisfies $H(x+1) = H(x) + 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $H^{-1} \circ F \circ H$ has the same rotation number as F [5, p. 388, Proposition 11.1.3].

Proposition 1. Let $\eta \in B_\sigma$, let $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be of type (K, ν) and define h by $\widehat{h}(k) = \frac{\widehat{\eta}(k)}{e^{2\pi i k \rho} - 1}$ for $k \neq 0$ and $\widehat{h}(0) = 0$. Then for any $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2\pi}$ we have $h \in B_{\sigma-\delta}$ and

$$\|h\|_{\sigma-\delta} < \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^\nu} \|\eta\|_\sigma.$$

Proof. We first show that $|\widehat{\eta}(n)| \leq \|\eta\|_\sigma e^{-2\pi\sigma|n|}$ for all k . Say $k > 0$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ by the residue theorem we have

$$\widehat{\eta}(k) = \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i k z} \eta(z) dz = \int_0^{-i(\sigma-\epsilon)} + \int_{-i(\sigma-\epsilon)}^{1-i(\sigma-\epsilon)} + \int_{1-i(\sigma-\epsilon)}^1.$$

Since $\eta(z+1) = \eta(z)$,

$$\int_{1-i(\sigma-\epsilon)}^1 e^{-2\pi i k z} \eta(z) dz = \int_{-i(\sigma-\epsilon)}^0 e^{-2\pi i k z} \eta(z) dz = - \int_0^{-i(\sigma-\epsilon)} e^{-2\pi i k z} \eta(z) dz,$$

so

$$\widehat{\eta}(k) = \int_{-i(\sigma-\epsilon)}^{1-i(\sigma-\epsilon)} e^{-2\pi i k z} \eta(z) dz = e^{-2\pi k(\sigma-\epsilon)} \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i k x} \eta(x - i(\sigma-\epsilon)) dx,$$

and hence

$$|\widehat{\eta}(k)| \leq e^{-2\pi k(\sigma-\epsilon)} \|\eta\|_\sigma.$$

This is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, so we have

$$|\widehat{\eta}(k)| \leq e^{-2\pi k \sigma} \|\eta\|_\sigma.$$

For $k < 0$ we use a contour in the upper half-plane rather than a contour in the lower half-plane and get $|\widehat{\eta}(k)| \leq e^{2\pi k \sigma} \|\eta\|_\sigma$, proving that $|\widehat{\eta}(k)| \leq \|\eta\|_\sigma e^{-2\pi\sigma|k|}$ for all k .

Let $k \neq 0$, and let m be such that $|\rho k - m| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. We have

$$|e^{2\pi i \rho k} - 1| = |e^{2\pi i \rho k} - e^{2\pi i m}| = |e^{2\pi i(\rho k - m)} - 1| = 2|\sin \pi(\rho k - m)|.$$

For $|x| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ we have $|\sin x| \geq \frac{2}{\pi}|x|$, so

$$2|\sin \pi(\rho k - m)| \geq 2\frac{2}{\pi}|\pi(\rho k - m)| = 4|n|\left|\rho - \frac{m}{k}\right|.$$

But ρ is of type (K, ν) so we get for all $k \neq 0$ that

$$|e^{2\pi i \rho k} - 1| \geq 4K|k|^{-(\nu-1)}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$. If $|\Im z| \leq \sigma - \delta$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(z)| &= \left| \sum_{k \neq 0} e^{2\pi i k z} \frac{\widehat{\eta}(k)}{e^{2\pi i k \rho} - 1} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \neq 0} e^{2\pi|k|(\sigma-\delta)} \frac{e^{-2\pi k \sigma} \|\eta\|_\sigma}{4K|k|^{-(\nu-1)}} \\ &= \frac{\|\eta\|_\sigma}{4K} \sum_{k \neq 0} e^{-2\pi|k|\delta} |k|^{\nu-1}. \end{aligned}$$

One can check that $\frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{(2\pi\delta)^\nu} = \int_0^\infty y^{\nu-1} e^{-2\pi\delta y} dy$, and because $2\pi\delta < \nu - 1$ we have that for $y \geq 1$ the integrand is decreasing. Therefore, since $\nu \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-2\pi k\delta} k^{\nu-1} \leq e^{-2\pi\delta} + \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{(2\pi\delta)^\nu} < 2 \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{(2\pi\delta)^\nu},$$

and so

$$\sum_{k \neq 0} e^{-2\pi|k|\delta} |k|^{\nu-1} < 4 \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{(2\pi\delta)^\nu}.$$

□

Proposition 2. *Let $\eta \in B_\sigma$, let $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be of type (K, ν) , define h by $\widehat{h}(k) = \frac{\widehat{\eta}(k)}{e^{2\pi i k \rho - 1}}$ for $k \neq 0$ and $\widehat{h}(0) = 0$, and let $H(z) = z + h(z)$. If $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2\pi}$ and $\frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_\sigma < 1$, then there is a holomorphic $H^{-1} : S_{\sigma-3\delta} \rightarrow S_{\sigma-2\delta}$, and $H^{-1}(z) = z - h(z) + g(z)$ with $g \in B_{\sigma-4\delta}$ and*

$$\|g\|_{\sigma-4\delta} < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_\sigma^2.$$

Proof. By Proposition 1 we have $h \in B_{\sigma-\delta}$. Using the maximum modulus principle and Cauchy's integral formula we get that $\|h'\|_{\sigma-2\delta} \leq \frac{\|h\|_{\sigma-\delta}}{\delta}$, and by Proposition 1 this is $< \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_\sigma$, which by hypothesis is < 1 (and so $\|h\|_{\sigma-\delta} < \delta$). Then for $z \in S_{\sigma-2\delta}$ we have $|H'(z)| = |1 + h'(z)| > 0$, so by the inverse function theorem there is a holomorphic $H^{-1} : H(S_{\sigma-2\delta}) \rightarrow S_{\sigma-2\delta}$.

Let $a \in S_{\sigma-3\delta}$, let $K \subset S_{\sigma-2\delta}$ be the circle about a of radius δ , and let $f(z) = z - a$. Then for $z \in K$ we have $|h(z)| < \delta = |f(z)|$, so by Rouché's theorem f and $f + h$ have the same number of zeros in the interior of K . Of course f has one zero in the interior of K so too $f + h = H - a$ has one zero in the interior of K . Thus $a \in H(S_{\sigma-2\delta})$, and we conclude $S_{\sigma-3\delta} \subseteq H(S_{\sigma-2\delta})$. Therefore $H^{-1} : S_{\sigma-3\delta} \rightarrow S_{\sigma-2\delta}$.

Now define $g : S_{\sigma-3\delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g(z) = H^{-1}(z) - z + h(z)$. For $z \in B_{\sigma-3\delta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} z + 1 &= H(H^{-1}(z)) + 1 \\ &= H^{-1}(z) + 1 + h(H^{-1}(z)) \\ &= H^{-1}(z) + 1 + h(H^{-1}(z) + 1) \\ &= H(H^{-1}(z) + 1), \end{aligned}$$

so $H^{-1}(z + 1) = H^{-1}(z) + 1$, from which it follows that $g(z + 1) = g(z)$.

Let $a \in S_{\sigma-4\delta}$, and again using Rouché's theorem we get $a \in H(S_{\sigma-3\delta})$. Hence $S_{\sigma-4\delta} \subseteq H(S_{\sigma-3\delta})$. Therefore if $\xi \in S_{\sigma-4\delta}$ then $H^{-1}(\xi) \in S_{\sigma-3\delta}$. Let $\xi \in S_{\sigma-4\delta}$ and let $z = H^{-1}(\xi) \in S_{\sigma-3\delta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} z &= H^{-1}(H(z)) \\ &= H(z) - h(H(z)) + g(H(z)) \\ &= z + h(z) - h(z + h(z)) + g(H(z)), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$g(\xi) = \int_0^1 h'(H^{-1}(\xi) + sh(H^{-1}(\xi)))h(H^{-1}(\xi))ds.$$

Because $\|h\|_{\sigma-\delta} < \delta$, for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ we have $H^{-1}(\xi) + sh(H^{-1}(\xi)) \in B_{\sigma-2\delta}$. Then since $\|h\|_{\sigma-\delta} < \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^\nu} \|\eta\|_\sigma$ and $\|h'\|_{\sigma-2\delta} < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_\sigma$, we get

$$|g(\xi)| < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_\sigma^2,$$

and so

$$\|g\|_{\sigma-4\delta} < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_\sigma^2.$$

□

Proposition 3. Let $\eta \in B_\sigma$, let $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be of type (K, ν) , let $\phi(z) = z + \rho + \eta(z)$, define h by $\widehat{h}(k) = \frac{\widehat{\eta}(k)}{e^{2\pi i k \rho} - 1}$ for $k \neq 0$ and $\widehat{h}(0) = 0$, define $H(z) = z + h(z)$, define $\psi(z) = H^{-1} \circ \phi \circ H(z)$, and define μ by $\psi(z) = z + \rho + \mu(z)$. If ϕ has rotation number ρ , $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2\pi}$, and $\frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_\sigma < 1$, then $\mu \in B_{\sigma-6\delta}$ and

$$\|\mu\|_{\sigma-6\delta} < \frac{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_\sigma^2.$$

Proof. For z we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z) &= H^{-1} \circ \phi(z + h(z)) \\ &= H^{-1}(z + h(z) + \rho + \eta(z + h(z))) \\ &= z + h(z) + \rho + \eta(z + h(z)) - h(z + h(z) + \rho + \eta(z + h(z))) \\ &\quad + g(z + h(z) + \rho + \eta(z + h(z))) \\ &= z + \rho + \left(h(z) - h(z + \rho) + \eta(z) \right) + \left(\eta(z + h(z)) - \eta(z) \right) \\ &\quad + \left(h(z + \rho) - h(z + h(z) + \rho + \eta(z + h(z))) \right) \\ &\quad + g(z + h(z) + \rho + \eta(z + h(z))) \\ &= z + \rho + A(z) + B(z) + C(z) + D(z). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\mu(z) = A(z) + B(z) + C(z) + D(z).$$

First, $h(x + \rho) - h(x) = \eta(x) - \widehat{\eta}(0)$, so $A(z) = \widehat{\eta}(0)$. Second,

$$B(z) = \int_0^1 \eta'(z + sh(z))h(z)ds.$$

Since $\frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_\sigma < 1$ we have by Proposition 1 that $\|h\|_{\sigma-\delta} < \delta$, so for $z \in S_{\sigma-2\delta}$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$ we get $z + sh(z) \in S_{\sigma-\delta}$. Using the maximum

modulus principle and Cauchy's integral formula we get that $\|\eta'\|_{\sigma-\delta} \leq \frac{\|\eta\|_{\sigma}}{\delta}$. Therefore

$$\|B\|_{\sigma-2\delta} < \frac{\|\eta\|_{\sigma}}{\delta} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma} = \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2.$$

Third,

$$C(z) = \int_0^1 h'(z + \rho + s(h(z) + \eta(z + h(z))))(h(z) + \eta(z + h(z))) ds.$$

We have that

$$\|\eta\|_{\sigma} < \frac{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}}{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)} \leq \frac{K \cdot \delta}{\Gamma(\nu)} \leq K\delta \leq \delta.$$

For $z \in S_{\sigma-4\delta}$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$ we have

$$z + \rho + s(h(z) + \eta(z + h(z))) \in S_{\sigma-2\delta}.$$

We have $\|h'\|_{\sigma-2\delta} \leq \frac{\|h\|_{\sigma-\delta}}{\delta}$, and so by Proposition 1 we get $\|h'\|_{\sigma-2\delta} < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}$. Therefore for $z \in S_{\sigma-4\delta}$ we get

$$|C(z)| < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma} + \|\eta\|_{\sigma} \right) < \frac{4\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2.$$

Fourth, if $z \in S_{\sigma-6\delta}$ then $z + h(z) + \rho + \eta(z + h(z)) \in S_{\sigma-4\delta}$. Thus by Proposition 2 we get

$$\|D\|_{\sigma-6\delta} < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2.$$

Since ψ is conjugate to ϕ it has rotation number ρ , so there is some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi(x_0) = x_0 + \rho$. Thus

$$x_0 + \rho = x_0 + \rho + \widehat{\eta}(0) + B(x_0) + C(x_0) + D(x_0),$$

so

$$\widehat{\eta}(0) = -B(x_0) - C(x_0) - D(x_0).$$

Of course $x_0 \in S_{\sigma-6\delta}$, so by what we've done so far in this proof,

$$|\widehat{\eta}(0)| < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2 + \frac{4\pi}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \Gamma(\nu)^2 \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2 + \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mu\|_{\sigma-6\delta} &< 2 \cdot \left(\frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2 + \frac{4\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2 \right) \\
&\leq 2 \cdot \left(\frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2 + \frac{4\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta\|_{\sigma}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4. Let $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be of type (K, ν) . Let $\eta_0 \in B_{\sigma_0}$ and let $\epsilon_0 = \|\eta_0\|_{\sigma_0}$. Suppose that

$$\epsilon_0 < \left(\frac{K}{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{36} \right)^{\nu+1} \right)^8, \quad (1)$$

and that $\frac{\sigma_0}{36} < \frac{1}{2\pi}$.

Let $\phi_0(z) = z + \rho + \eta_0(z)$, and suppose that ϕ_0 has rotation number ρ . For $n \geq 0$ let

- $\widehat{h}_n(k) = \frac{\widehat{\eta}_n(k)}{e^{2\pi i k \rho - 1}}$ for $k \neq 0$ and $\widehat{h}_n(0) = 0$
- $H_n(z) = z + h_n(z)$, and $g_n(z) = H_n^{-1}(z) - z + h_n(z)$
- $\phi_{n+1} = H_n^{-1} \circ \phi_n \circ H_n$
- $\eta_{n+1}(z) = \phi_{n+1}(z) - z - \rho$
- $\delta_n = \frac{\sigma_0}{36(1+n^2)}$
- $\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n - 6\delta_n$
- $\epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon_0^{(3/2)^{n+1}}$

Then for $n \geq 0$ we have that

- $\|\eta_{n+1}\|_{\sigma_{n+1}} \leq \epsilon_{n+1}$
- $\|h_n\|_{\sigma_n - \delta_n} < \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\epsilon_n}{K(2\pi\delta_n)^\nu}$
- $\|g_n\|_{\sigma_n - 4\delta_n} < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2\epsilon_n^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_n)^{2\nu+1}}$

Proof. We first verify the claim for $n = 0$. First, $\delta_0 = \frac{\sigma_0}{36} < \frac{1}{2\pi}$, and we have

$$\epsilon_0 < \left(\frac{K}{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{36} \right)^{\nu+1} \right)^8 \leq \frac{K}{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{36} \right)^{\nu+1} = \frac{K\delta_0^{\nu+1}}{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)} \frac{1}{8} < \frac{K(2\pi\delta_0)^{\nu+1}}{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)},$$

which gives us that $\frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta_0)^{\nu+1}} \|\eta_0\|_{\sigma_0} < 1$. Thus by Proposition 3 we have

$$\|\eta_1\|_{\sigma_1} = \|\eta_1\|_{\sigma_0-6\delta_0} < \frac{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_0)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta_0\|_{\sigma_0}^2 = \frac{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2\epsilon_0^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_0)^{2\nu+1}}.$$

By (1) it follows that $\frac{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2\epsilon_0^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_0)^{2\nu+1}} \leq \epsilon_0^{3/2}$, and so we get $\|\eta_1\|_{\sigma_1} \leq \epsilon_1$.

By Proposition 1 we get

$$\|h_0\|_{\sigma_0-\delta_0} < \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta_0)^\nu} \|\eta_0\|_{\sigma_0} = \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\epsilon_0}{K(2\pi\delta_0)^\nu},$$

and by Proposition 2 we get

$$\|g\|_{\sigma_0-4\delta_0} < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_0)^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta_0\|_{\sigma_0}^2 = \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2\epsilon_0^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_0)^{2\nu+1}}.$$

This verifies the claim for $n = 0$. Now we suppose that the claim is true for $n \leq N$, and we shall show that the claim is true for $n = N + 1$.

By assumption we have $\|\eta_{N+1}\|_{\sigma_{N+1}} \leq \epsilon_{N+1}$. Then by Proposition 1 we have

$$\|h_{N+1}\|_{\sigma_{N+1}-\delta_{N+1}} < \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta_{N+1})^\nu} \|\eta_{N+1}\|_{\sigma_{N+1}} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\epsilon_{N+1}}{K(2\pi\delta_{N+1})^\nu}.$$

□

One can prove by induction that $\epsilon_n < \frac{K(2\pi\delta_n)^{\nu+1}}{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}$ for all $n \geq 0$, from which we have $\frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)}{K(2\pi\delta_{N+1})^{\nu+1}} \|\eta_{N+1}\|_{\sigma_{N+1}} < 1$. Therefore by Proposition 2 we get

$$\|g_{N+1}\|_{\sigma_{N+1}-4\delta_{N+1}} < \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_{N+1})^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta_{N+1}\|_{\sigma_{N+1}}^2 \leq \frac{2\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2\epsilon_{N+1}^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_{N+1})^{2\nu+1}}.$$

Finally, we have by Proposition 3 and by assumption that

$$\|\eta_{N+2}\|_{\sigma_{N+1}-6\delta_{N+1}} < \frac{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_{N+1})^{2\nu+1}} \|\eta_{N+1}\|_{\sigma_{N+1}}^2 \leq \frac{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2\epsilon_{N+1}^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_{N+1})^{2\nu+1}}.$$

By (1) it follows that $\frac{16\pi\Gamma(\nu)^2\epsilon_{N+1}^2}{K^2(2\pi\delta_{N+1})^{2\nu+1}} < \epsilon_{N+1}^{3/2}$, and so we get $\|\eta_{N+2}\|_{\sigma_{N+2}} \leq \epsilon_{N+2}$, completing the induction.

Theorem 5. *Arnold's theorem*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}_N = H_0 \circ H_1 \circ \cdots \circ H_N$. By Lemma 4, \mathcal{H}_N is holomorphic on $S_{\sigma_N-2\delta_N}$. And for $z \in S_{\sigma_N-2\delta_N}$,

$$|\mathcal{H}_N(z) - z| = |h_N(z) + \cdots + h_0(\cdots)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^N \|h_n\|_{\sigma_n-\delta_n} < \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\Gamma(\nu)\epsilon_n}{K(2\pi\delta_n)^\nu}.$$

Let $\Delta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n\nu)\epsilon_n}{K(2\pi\delta_n)^\nu}$. □

References

- [1] V. I. Arnold, *Malye znamenateli. i. ob otobrazhenijah okružnosti na sebja*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **25** (1961), no. 1, 21–86.
- [2] ———, *Geometrical methods in the theory of ordinary differential equations*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 250, Springer, 1988, Translated from the Russian by Joseph Szücs.
- [3] Lennart Carleson and Theodore W. Gamelin, *Complex dynamics*, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics, Springer, 1993.
- [4] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, sixth ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008, Revised by D. R. Heath-Brown and J. H. Silverman, With a foreword by Andrew Wiles.
- [5] Anatole Katok and Boris Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 54, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [6] C. Eugene Wayne, *An introduction to KAM theory*, Dynamical systems and probabilistic methods in partial differential equations: 1994 Summer Seminar on Dynamical Systems and Probabilistic Methods for Nonlinear Waves, June 20–July 1, 1994, MSRI, Berkeley, CA (Percy Deift, C. David Levermore, and C. Eugene Wayne, eds.), Lectures in Applied Mathematics, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 3–29.