## ICONOCLAST AND ICONOPHILE DOCTRINES — A BRIEF COMPARISON

Prof. Jaroslav Skira (Regis College)

	ICONOCLASTS / ICONOPHOBES	ICONOPHILES / ICONODULES
Tradition	- do not worship idols; OT prohibition in Decalogue (Ex 20.4); no one has seen God (Jn 1.18)	
	- icons are an innovation, and are rejected by the fathers.	<ul> <li>there are examples of images in the OT (e.g. cherubim; serpent on staff); plus, the OT fulfilled in Christ and the NT.</li> <li>icons are part of the Tradition; are accepted by the fathers; are used in liturgical practice; and no ecumenical council has condemned them (Trullo accepted images (e.g. cross, lamb-Christ)).</li> </ul>
Icon / Image	- since the "type" (icon/image) is of the same essence (homoousios) as the "prototype" (Christ), there can be no proper image (icon, statue) of Christ.	<ul> <li>- the "type" (image/icon) is only a likeness of the "prototype" (Christ); it is not of the same essence (bomoousios).</li> <li>- icons are anagogic or theophanic = spiritual/mystical representations of prototypes.</li> </ul>
Worship & Veneration	- worship (latreîa) and venerate (proskynêseis) only God.	<ul> <li>worship (latreîa) due to God alone (=adoration).</li> <li>venerate (proskynêseis) icons, as veneration leads through the icon to worship of God. Icon as sacramental-mysterion.</li> </ul>
Christology	- Christ is one person with two natures; the human and divine nature - disjunctive syllogism: you either circumscribe (paint) only the divine nature (which is impossible), or only the human nature (whereby you deny divinity). [The heresy is thus either denying or separating the natures].	atures are united without confusion, change, division and separation.  - justification for icons not based on imaging either the divine or human natures of Christ, but on imaging the incarnate person Christ. There is a communion of natures (communicatio idiomatum) in the incarnate person of Christ, so the issue is not about denying/separating the natures.  - Christ can be "circumscribed" (imaged) because he was circumscribed by matter in the incarnation.
Eucharist	The question is whether the sacred mediation of divine grace to the Eucharist [=iconoclasts] or, can it be extended to oth	through material objects (sacramental-mysterion) is applicable only ster means of grace (icons, cross, relics, baptism) [=iconophiles]).  - Eucharist is not an icon because an icon represents (but is not identical with) its prototype.
Holy Things (Cross, Relics, Scriptures, Mary/Saints, Angels)	<ul> <li>- veneration of cross is an</li> <li>- cross is exception; a due respect (veneration) to be permitted.</li> <li>- memory of saints to be respected, but not venerated/worshipped in images; reluctance to extend veneration to relics.</li> <li>- angels have no bodies since they are spiritual beings; no one has truly seen them, so images of them are prohibited.</li> <li>- the "uninstructed and illiterate" will not be able to distinguish between the image and the divine, and will therefore fall into idol worship.</li> </ul>	unwritten apostolic tradition.  - venerate memory (anamnêsis) and relics of saints, icons of saints/Mary/angels, Scriptures and cross because veneration passes through them to worship of God.  - cross/relics/icons as sacramental-mysterion; miracles attributed to them.  - although invisible spiritual beings, angels of the Lord appeared in the OT/NT and were, therefore, visible; so icons of them permitted.  - the "uninstructed and illiterate" use icons as "theology (Scriptures) in colour" to lead them to the divine (although idolatry is a possible abuse).  - Gospels were written by those who saw, touched, heard Christ (so, all senses

Rev: Nov .10, 2003