

Topological Recurrence and Van Der Waerden's Theorem

Kunal Chawla

March 4, 2021

Theorem (Poincare Recurrence)

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a probability space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a measure preserving transformation. Then for any set U of positive measure, we have $\mu(T^{-n}U \cap U) > 0$ for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Poincare Recurrence)

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a probability space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a measure preserving transformation. Then for any set U of positive measure, we have $\mu(T^{-n}U \cap U) > 0$ for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Topological Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a (compact) topological dynamical system and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of X . Then for some open set U_α we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-n}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Poincare Recurrence)

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a probability space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a measure preserving transformation. Then for any set U of positive measure, we have $\mu(T^{-n}U \cap U) > 0$ for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Topological Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a (compact) topological dynamical system and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of X . Then for some open set U_α we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-n}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many n .

Proof.

By compactness, pass to a finite subcover. For some $x \in X$ consider the orbit $T^{\mathbb{Z}}x := \{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Theorem (Poincare Recurrence)

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a probability space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a measure preserving transformation. Then for any set U of positive measure, we have $\mu(T^{-n}U \cap U) > 0$ for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Topological Recurrence)

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, T) be a (compact) topological dynamical system and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of X . Then for some open set U_α we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-n}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many n .

Proof.

By compactness, pass to a finite subcover. For some $x \in X$ consider the orbit $T^{\mathbb{Z}}x := \{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By the pigeonhole principle, one set U_α must contain an infinite number of $T^n x$. □

Theorem (Topological Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of X . Then for some open set U_α we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-n}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Infinite Pigeonhole Principle)

Suppose that \mathbb{Z} is coloured with finitely many colours, then at least one colour class contains infinitely many elements.

Theorem (Topological Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of X . Then for some open set U_α we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-n}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Infinite Pigeonhole Principle)

Suppose that \mathbb{Z} is coloured with finitely many colours, then at least one colour class contains infinitely many elements.

Recurrence \rightarrow Pigeonhole.

Consider the space $\{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the left-shift map T .

Theorem (Topological Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of X . Then for some open set U_α we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-n}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Infinite Pigeonhole Principle)

Suppose that \mathbb{Z} is coloured with finitely many colours, then at least one colour class contains infinitely many elements.

Recurrence \rightarrow Pigeonhole.

Consider the space $\{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the left-shift map T . For $1 \leq j \leq m$ let $U_j = \pi^{-1}(j)$ where π is the 'projection at 0'.

Theorem (Topological Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of X . Then for some open set U_α we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-n}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Infinite Pigeonhole Principle)

Suppose that \mathbb{Z} is coloured with finitely many colours, then at least one colour class contains infinitely many elements.

Recurrence \rightarrow Pigeonhole.

Consider the space $\{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the left-shift map T . For $1 \leq j \leq m$ let $U_j = \pi^{-1}(j)$ where π is the 'projection at 0'. Let x correspond to a given colouring,

Theorem (Topological Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of X . Then for some open set U_α we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-n}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many n .

Theorem (Infinite Pigeonhole Principle)

Suppose that \mathbb{Z} is coloured with finitely many colours, then at least one colour class contains infinitely many elements.

Recurrence \rightarrow Pigeonhole.

Consider the space $\{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the left-shift map T . For $1 \leq j \leq m$ let $U_j = \pi^{-1}(j)$ where π is the 'projection at 0'. Let x correspond to a given colouring, and consider the orbit closure $\overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}x}$ as a subsystem. Then $\{U_j \cap \overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}x}\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ is an open cover, so the statement follows from applying recurrence. □

Theorem (Van Der Waerden's Theorem)

Suppose that \mathbb{Z} is coloured with finitely many colours, then at least one colour class contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

Theorem (Van Der Waerden's Theorem)

Suppose that \mathbb{Z} is coloured with finitely many colours, then at least one colour class contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

Theorem (Multiple Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover. Then there exists U_α such that for every $k \geq 1$ we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

Proposition

Van Der Waerden's theorem and Multiple Recurrence are equivalent.

Proof.

(VDW \rightarrow MR) Suppose WLOG that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a finite cover.

Proposition

Van Der Waerden's theorem and Multiple Recurrence are equivalent.

Proof.

(VDW \rightarrow MR) Suppose WLOG that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a finite cover. Choose an $x \in X$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ choose α_n such that U_{α_n} contains x .

Proposition

Van Der Waerden's theorem and Multiple Recurrence are equivalent.

Proof.

(VDW \rightarrow MR) Suppose WLOG that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a finite cover. Choose an $x \in X$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ choose α_n such that U_{α_n} contains x . This is a finite colouring of \mathbb{Z} which, by Van Der Waerden, must contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Therefore

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

Proposition

Van Der Waerden's theorem and Multiple Recurrence are equivalent.

Proof.

(VDW \rightarrow MR) Suppose WLOG that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a finite cover. Choose an $x \in X$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ choose α_n such that U_{α_n} contains x . This is a finite colouring of \mathbb{Z} which, by Van Der Waerden, must contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Therefore

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

(MR \rightarrow VDW) Consider the shift space $X = \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let T be the left shift.

Proposition

Van Der Waerden's theorem and Multiple Recurrence are equivalent.

Proof.

(VDW \rightarrow MR) Suppose WLOG that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a finite cover. Choose an $x \in X$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ choose α_n such that U_{α_n} contains x . This is a finite colouring of \mathbb{Z} which, by Van Der Waerden, must contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Therefore

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

(MR \rightarrow VDW) Consider the shift space $X = \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let T be the left shift. Then let $\pi : X \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the projection map and $U_j = \pi^{-1}(j)$.

Proposition

Van Der Waerden's theorem and Multiple Recurrence are equivalent.

Proof.

(VDW \rightarrow MR) Suppose WLOG that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a finite cover. Choose an $x \in X$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ choose α_n such that U_{α_n} contains x . This is a finite colouring of \mathbb{Z} which, by Van Der Waerden, must contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Therefore

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

(MR \rightarrow VDW) Consider the shift space $X = \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let T be the left shift. Then let $\pi : X \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the projection map and $U_j = \pi^{-1}(j)$. Pick a colouring $x \in X$.

Proposition

Van Der Waerden's theorem and Multiple Recurrence are equivalent.

Proof.

(VDW \rightarrow MR) Suppose WLOG that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a finite cover. Choose an $x \in X$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ choose α_n such that U_{α_n} contains x . This is a finite colouring of \mathbb{Z} which, by Van Der Waerden, must contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Therefore

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

(MR \rightarrow VDW) Consider the shift space $X = \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let T be the left shift. Then let $\pi : X \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the projection map and $U_j = \pi^{-1}(j)$. Pick a colouring $x \in X$. Consider the orbit closure $\overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}x}$.

Proposition

Van Der Waerden's theorem and Multiple Recurrence are equivalent.

Proof.

(VDW \rightarrow MR) Suppose WLOG that $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a finite cover. Choose an $x \in X$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ choose α_n such that U_{α_n} contains x . This is a finite colouring of \mathbb{Z} which, by Van Der Waerden, must contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Therefore

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

(MR \rightarrow VDW) Consider the shift space $X = \{1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let T be the left shift. Then let $\pi : X \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ be the projection map and $U_j = \pi^{-1}(j)$. Pick a colouring $x \in X$. Consider the orbit closure $\overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}x}$. Then $\{U_j \cap \overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}x}\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ forms an open cover, so applying theorem 1 we are done. □

The Plan

- 1 State Van Der Waerden's Theorem dynamically.

The Plan

- 1 State Van Der Waerden's Theorem dynamically.
- 2 Make some reductions and start a proof by induction.

The Plan

- 1 State Van Der Waerden's Theorem dynamically.
- 2 Make some reductions and start a proof by induction.
- 3 Reduce to studying minimal systems.

The Plan

- 1 State Van Der Waerden's Theorem dynamically.
- 2 Make some reductions and start a proof by induction.
- 3 Reduce to studying minimal systems.
- 4 Finish off the induction.

The Plan

- 1 State Van Der Waerden's Theorem dynamically.
- 2 Make some reductions and start a proof by induction.
- 3 Reduce to studying minimal systems.
- 4 Finish off the induction.
- 5 Celebrate!

Weakening the Statement

Theorem (Multiple Recurrence)

There exists U_α such that for every $k \geq 1$ we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

Weakening the Statement

Theorem (Multiple Recurrence)

There exists U_α such that for every $k \geq 1$ we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, T^{2r} x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Weakening the Statement

Theorem (Multiple Recurrence)

There exists U_α such that for every $k \geq 1$ we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, T^{2r} x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Weak Recurrence implies Recurrence.

Take the cover to be finite, so by the pigeonhole principle we can prove W.R. for each $k \geq 1$.

Weakening the Statement

Theorem (Multiple Recurrence)

There exists U_α such that for every $k \geq 1$ we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, T^{2r} x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Weak Recurrence implies Recurrence.

Take the cover to be finite, so by the pigeonhole principle we can prove W.R. for each $k \geq 1$. Weak Recurrence gives a progression $x, T^r x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ inside one U_α , so replace (X, T) with $(X \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, (x, m) \rightarrow (Tx, m+1))$ and replace $\{U_\alpha\}$ with $\{U_\alpha \times \{k\}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$.

Weakening the Statement

Theorem (Multiple Recurrence)

There exists U_α such that for every $k \geq 1$ we have

$$U_\alpha \cap T^{-r}U_\alpha \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$$

for infinitely many r .

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, T^{2r} x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Weak Recurrence implies Recurrence.

Take the cover to be finite, so by the pigeonhole principle we can prove W.R. for each $k \geq 1$. Weak Recurrence gives a progression $x, T^r x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ inside one U_α , so replace (X, T) with $(X \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, (x, m) \rightarrow (Tx, m+1))$ and replace $\{U_\alpha\}$ with $\{U_\alpha \times \{k\}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$. Then applying Weak Recurrence for each N the step size r becomes arbitrarily high. By the pigeonhole principle again, one U_α contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. □

Starting the Induction

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, T^{2r} x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Proof.

Induct on k . The case $k = 1$ is trivial. □

Reduction to minimal systems

Lemma

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system, then it contains some minimal system

Reduction to minimal systems

Lemma

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system, then it contains some minimal system

Proof.

The set of nonempty subsystems is partially ordered by (reverse) containment.

Reduction to minimal systems

Lemma

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system, then it contains some minimal system

Proof.

The set of nonempty subsystems is partially ordered by (reverse) containment. The intersection of any descending chain of nonempty subsystems is a nonempty subsystem (because they're all compact and T -invariant)

Reduction to minimal systems

Lemma

Let (X, F, T) be a topological dynamical system, then it contains some minimal system

Proof.

The set of nonempty subsystems is partially ordered by (reverse) containment. The intersection of any descending chain of nonempty subsystems is a nonempty subsystem (because they're all compact and T -invariant) By Zorn's lemma, this poset has a maximal element (which is a minimal system). □

Reduction to minimal systems

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r, T^{2r}x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r}x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Theorem (Minimal Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a minimal system and U a non-empty open set, and $k \geq 1$. Then U contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r, \dots, T^{(k-1)r}x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r \geq 1$.

Reduction to minimal systems

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r, T^{2r}x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r}x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Theorem (Minimal Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a minimal system and U a non-empty open set, and $k \geq 1$. Then U contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r, \dots, T^{(k-1)r}x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r \geq 1$.

Weak Recurrence \rightarrow Minimal Recurrence for any fixed $k \geq 1$.

Let U be a nonempty open set

Reduction to minimal systems

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, T^{2r} x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Theorem (Minimal Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a minimal system and U a non-empty open set, and $k \geq 1$. Then U contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r \geq 1$.

Weak Recurrence \rightarrow Minimal Recurrence for any fixed $k \geq 1$.

Let U be a nonempty open set, then $X \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n U$ is a proper closed invariant subset of X , and so is empty.

Reduction to minimal systems

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, T^{2r} x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Theorem (Minimal Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a minimal system and U a non-empty open set, and $k \geq 1$. Then U contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r \geq 1$.

Weak Recurrence \rightarrow Minimal Recurrence for any fixed $k \geq 1$.

Let U be a nonempty open set, then $X \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n U$ is a proper closed invariant subset of X , and so is empty. We can pass to a finite subcover of $\{T^n U\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by compactness. \square

Reduction to minimal systems

Theorem (Weak Recurrence)

For every $k \geq 1$ there exists an open set U_α which contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, T^{2r} x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.

Theorem (Minimal Recurrence)

Let (X, F, T) be a minimal system and U a non-empty open set, and $k \geq 1$. Then U contains an arithmetic progression $x, T^r x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x$ for some $x \in X$ and $r \geq 1$.

Weak Recurrence \rightarrow Minimal Recurrence for any fixed $k \geq 1$.

Let U be a nonempty open set, then $X \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n U$ is a proper closed invariant subset of X , and so is empty. We can pass to a finite subcover of $\{T^n U\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by compactness. \square

The Technical Part of The Induction

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

The Technical Part of The Induction

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

Proof.

Induct on J .

The Technical Part of The Induction

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

Proof.

Induct on J . Suppose for $J \geq 1$ we have constructed x_0, \dots, x_{J-1} , $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_{J-1}}$, and r_1, \dots, r_{J-1} .

The Technical Part of The Induction

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

Proof.

Induct on J . Suppose for $J \geq 1$ we have constructed x_0, \dots, x_{J-1} , $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_{J-1}}$, and r_1, \dots, r_{J-1} . If V is a neighbourhood of x_{J-1} then by Minimal Recurrence for $k - 1$ we have an arithmetic progression $y, T^{r_J}, \dots, T^{(k-2)r_J} y$.

The Technical Part of The Induction

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

Proof.

Induct on J . Suppose for $J \geq 1$ we have constructed x_0, \dots, x_{J-1} , $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_{J-1}}$, and r_1, \dots, r_{J-1} . If V is a neighbourhood of x_{J-1} then by Minimal Recurrence for $k-1$ we have an arithmetic progression $y, T^{r_J}, \dots, T^{(k-2)r_J} y$. Set $x_J := T^{r_J} y$, and choose U_{α_J} containing x_J .

The Technical Part of The Induction

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

Proof.

Induct on J . Suppose for $J \geq 1$ we have constructed x_0, \dots, x_{J-1} , $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_{J-1}}$, and r_1, \dots, r_{J-1} . If V is a neighbourhood of x_{J-1} then by Minimal Recurrence for $k - 1$ we have an arithmetic progression $y, T^{r_J}, \dots, T^{(k-2)r_J} y$. Set $x_J := T^{r_J} y$, and choose U_{α_J} containing x_J . Then

$$T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_J)} x_J = T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_{J-1})} \left(T^{(i-1)r_J} y \right) \in T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_{J-1})}(V).$$

The Technical Part of The Induction

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

Proof.

Induct on J . Suppose for $J \geq 1$ we have constructed x_0, \dots, x_{J-1} , $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_{J-1}}$, and r_1, \dots, r_{J-1} . If V is a neighbourhood of x_{J-1} then by Minimal Recurrence for $k - 1$ we have an arithmetic progression $y, T^{r_J}, \dots, T^{(k-2)r_J} y$. Set $x_J := T^{r_J} y$, and choose U_{α_J} containing x_J . Then

$$T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_J)} x_J = T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_{J-1})} \left(T^{(i-1)r_J} y \right) \in T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_{J-1})}(V).$$

As $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_{J-1})} x_{J-1} \in U_{\alpha_a}$, then we can pick V sufficiently small. □

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

End of The Main Induction.

Let J be the number of sets in the open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$.

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

End of The Main Induction.

Let J be the number of sets in the open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$. By the pigeonhole principle there exists $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ such that $U_{\alpha_a} = U_{\alpha_b}$.

Lemma

For any $J \geq 0$ there exists a sequence x_0, \dots, x_J of points in X , a sequence $U_{\alpha_0}, \dots, U_{\alpha_J}$ of sets in the open cover, and r_1, \dots, r_J such that $T^{i(r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b)} x_b \in U_{\alpha_a}$ for all $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ and $1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

End of The Main Induction.

Let J be the number of sets in the open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$. By the pigeonhole principle there exists $0 \leq a \leq b \leq J$ such that $U_{\alpha_a} = U_{\alpha_b}$. So Choose $x := x_b$ and $r := r_{a+1} + \dots + r_b$ to get that U_{α_a} contains

$$x, T_r x, \dots, T^{(k-1)r} x.$$



Theorem (Van Der Waerden's Theorem)

Suppose that \mathbb{Z} is coloured with finitely many colours, then at least one colour class contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

Theorem (Van Der Waerden's Theorem)

Suppose that \mathbb{Z} is coloured with finitely many colours, then at least one colour class contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

To recap:

- 1 Rephrased the combinatorial statement in terms of topological recurrence.
- 2 Used structure of topological dynamical systems to make the proof easier.

Theorem (Szemerédi's Theorem)

Let $k \geq 1$ and let A be a set of integers of positive upper density, i.e.

$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} |A \cap \{-N, \dots, N\}| > 0$. Then A contains an arithmetic progression $n, n + r, \dots, n + (k - 1)r$.

Theorem (Szemerédi's Theorem)

Let $k \geq 1$ and let A be a set of integers of positive upper density, i.e.

$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} |A \cap \{-N, \dots, N\}| > 0$. Then A contains an arithmetic progression $n, n+r, \dots, n+(k-1)r$.

Theorem (Furstenberg's Recurrence Theorem)

Let $k \geq 1$ and (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) be a measure-preserving system. If E is a set of positive measure, then there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$E \cap T^{-r}E \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}E \neq \emptyset.$$

Proposition

Szemerédi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Proposition

Szemerédi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Furstenberg Recurrence implies Szemerédi's theorem.

Proposition

Szemerèdi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Furstenberg Recurrence implies Szemerèdi's theorem.

We use a 'compactness and contradiction argument'.

Proposition

Szemerédi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Furstenberg Recurrence implies Szemerédi's theorem.

We use a 'compactness and contradiction argument'. Consider $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with T the left-shift, and suppose that A has positive upper density.

Proposition

Szemerédi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Furstenberg Recurrence implies Szemerédi's theorem.

We use a 'compactness and contradiction argument'. Consider $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with T the left-shift, and suppose that A has positive upper density. Viewing $A \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, consider the orbit closure $\overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}A}$ as a subsystem.

Proposition

Szemerédi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Furstenberg Recurrence implies Szemerédi's theorem.

We use a 'compactness and contradiction argument'. Consider $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with T the left-shift, and suppose that A has positive upper density. Viewing $A \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, consider the orbit closure $\overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}A}$ as a subsystem.

Suppose for contradiction that for some k , $A \cap T^r A \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} A = \emptyset$ for all $r > 0$. Consider the set $E = \{B \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid B \text{ contains } 0\}$, so that $E \cap T^r E \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} E = \emptyset$.

Proposition

Szemerédi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Furstenberg Recurrence implies Szemerédi's theorem.

We use a 'compactness and contradiction argument'. Consider $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with T the left-shift, and suppose that A has positive upper density. Viewing $A \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, consider the orbit closure $\overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}A}$ as a subsystem.

Suppose for contradiction that for some k , $A \cap T^r A \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} A = \emptyset$ for all $r > 0$.

Consider the set $E = \{B \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid B \text{ contains } 0\}$, so that $E \cap T^r E \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} E = \emptyset$.

For each N , consider the measure μ_N assigning a mass of $\frac{1}{2N+1}$ to $T^{-n}A$, for $-N \leq n \leq N$, so that $\mu_N(E) = \frac{1}{2N+1} |A \cap \{-N, \dots, N\}|$.

Proposition

Szemerédi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Furstenberg Recurrence implies Szemerédi's theorem.

We use a 'compactness and contradiction argument'. Consider $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with T the left-shift, and suppose that A has positive upper density. Viewing $A \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, consider the orbit closure $\overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}A}$ as a subsystem.

Suppose for contradiction that for some k , $A \cap T^r A \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} A = \emptyset$ for all $r > 0$.

Consider the set $E = \{B \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid B \text{ contains } 0\}$, so that $E \cap T^r E \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} E = \emptyset$.

For each N , consider the measure μ_N assigning a mass of $\frac{1}{2N+1}$ to $T^{-n}A$, for $-N \leq n \leq N$, so that $\mu_N(E) = \frac{1}{2N+1} |A \cap \{-N, \dots, N\}|$. As A has positive upper density, pick a subsequence of N_j 's with $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{N_j}(E) > 0$.

Proposition

Szemerédi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Furstenberg Recurrence implies Szemerédi's theorem.

We use a 'compactness and contradiction argument'. Consider $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with T the left-shift, and suppose that A has positive upper density. Viewing $A \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, consider the orbit closure $\overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}A}$ as a subsystem.

Suppose for contradiction that for some k , $A \cap T^r A \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} A = \emptyset$ for all $r > 0$.

Consider the set $E = \{B \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid B \text{ contains } 0\}$, so that $E \cap T^r E \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} E = \emptyset$.

For each N , consider the measure μ_N assigning a mass of $\frac{1}{2N+1}$ to $T^{-n}A$, for $-N \leq n \leq N$, so that $\mu_N(E) = \frac{1}{2N+1} |A \cap \{-N, \dots, N\}|$. As A has positive upper density, pick a subsequence of N_j 's with $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{N_j}(E) > 0$.

Recall (by Curtis) that the set of Borel probability measures is sequentially compact in the weak-* topology, meaning that for some subsequence μ_{N_k} we have some μ such that $\int f d\mu_{N_k} \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ for all continuous f .

Proposition

Szemerédi's theorem is equivalent to Furstenberg Recurrence.

Furstenberg Recurrence implies Szemerédi's theorem.

We use a 'compactness and contradiction argument'. Consider $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with T the left-shift, and suppose that A has positive upper density. Viewing $A \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$, consider the orbit closure $\overline{T^{\mathbb{Z}}A}$ as a subsystem.

Suppose for contradiction that for some k , $A \cap T^r A \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} A = \emptyset$ for all $r > 0$.

Consider the set $E = \{B \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid B \text{ contains } 0\}$, so that $E \cap T^r E \cap \dots \cap T^{(k-1)r} E = \emptyset$.

For each N , consider the measure μ_N assigning a mass of $\frac{1}{2N+1}$ to $T^{-n}A$, for $-N \leq n \leq N$, so that $\mu_N(E) = \frac{1}{2N+1} |A \cap \{-N, \dots, N\}|$. As A has positive upper density, pick a subsequence of N_j 's with $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{N_j}(E) > 0$.

Recall (by Curtis) that the set of Borel probability measures is sequentially compact in the weak-* topology, meaning that for some subsequence μ_{N_k} we have some μ such that $\int f d\mu_{N_k} \rightarrow \int f d\mu$ for all continuous f .

As $\{\mu_N\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is asymptotically T -invariant, then μ is T -invariant and $\mu(E) > 0$. □

Lemma

If (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) is a measure preserving system and E has positive measure, then there exists a point $x \in X$ such that the recurrence set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : T^n x \in E\}$ has positive upper density.

Lemma

If (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) is a measure preserving system and E has positive measure, then there exists a point $x \in X$ such that the recurrence set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : T^n x \in E\}$ has positive upper density.

Proof.

Observe that

$$\int_X \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} = \mu(E).$$

Lemma

If (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) is a measure preserving system and E has positive measure, then there exists a point $x \in X$ such that the recurrence set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : T^n x \in E\}$ has positive upper density.

Proof.

Observe that

$$\int_X \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} = \mu(E).$$

So for each N , consider the set $A_N = \{x : \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} \geq \mu(E)/2\}$. This has measure at least $\mu(E)/2$.

Lemma

If (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) is a measure preserving system and E has positive measure, then there exists a point $x \in X$ such that the recurrence set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : T^n x \in E\}$ has positive upper density.

Proof.

Observe that

$$\int_X \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} = \mu(E).$$

So for each N , consider the set $A_N = \{x : \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} \geq \mu(E)/2\}$. This has measure at least $\mu(E)/2$. Else we could upper bound the integral by

$$\mu(A_N^c) \cdot \frac{\mu(E)}{2} + \mu(A_N) \cdot 1 < \left(1 - \frac{\mu(E)}{2}\right) \frac{\mu(E)}{2} + \frac{\mu(E)}{2} = \mu(E) - \frac{\mu(E)^2}{4} < \mu(E).$$

Lemma

If (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) is a measure preserving system and E has positive measure, then there exists a point $x \in X$ such that the recurrence set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : T^n x \in E\}$ has positive upper density.

Proof.

Observe that

$$\int_X \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} = \mu(E).$$

So for each N , consider the set $A_N = \{x : \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} \geq \mu(E)/2\}$. This has measure at least $\mu(E)/2$. Else we could upper bound the integral by

$$\mu(A_N^c) \cdot \frac{\mu(E)}{2} + \mu(A_N) \cdot 1 < \left(1 - \frac{\mu(E)}{2}\right) \frac{\mu(E)}{2} + \frac{\mu(E)}{2} = \mu(E) - \frac{\mu(E)^2}{4} < \mu(E).$$

Therefore $\sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} 1_{A_N}$ is not finite a.e..

Lemma

If (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) is a measure preserving system and E has positive measure, then there exists a point $x \in X$ such that the recurrence set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : T^n x \in E\}$ has positive upper density.

Proof.

Observe that

$$\int_X \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} = \mu(E).$$

So for each N , consider the set $A_N = \{x : \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} \geq \mu(E)/2\}$. This has measure at least $\mu(E)/2$. Else we could upper bound the integral by

$$\mu(A_N^c) \cdot \frac{\mu(E)}{2} + \mu(A_N) \cdot 1 < \left(1 - \frac{\mu(E)}{2}\right) \frac{\mu(E)}{2} + \frac{\mu(E)}{2} = \mu(E) - \frac{\mu(E)^2}{4} < \mu(E).$$

Therefore $\sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} 1_{A_N}$ is not finite a.e.. Hence there is some x such that $\sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} 1_{A_N}(x)$ is infinite, which is what we wanted. \square

Lemma

If (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) is a measure preserving system and E has positive measure, then there exists a point $x \in X$ such that the recurrence set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : T^n x \in E\}$ has positive upper density.

Proof.

Observe that

$$\int_X \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} = \mu(E).$$

So for each N , consider the set $A_N = \{x : \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N 1_{T^n E} \geq \mu(E)/2\}$. This has measure at least $\mu(E)/2$. Else we could upper bound the integral by

$$\mu(A_N^c) \cdot \frac{\mu(E)}{2} + \mu(A_N) \cdot 1 < \left(1 - \frac{\mu(E)}{2}\right) \frac{\mu(E)}{2} + \frac{\mu(E)}{2} = \mu(E) - \frac{\mu(E)^2}{4} < \mu(E).$$

Therefore $\sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} 1_{A_N}$ is not finite a.e.. Hence there is some x such that $\sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} 1_{A_N}(x)$ is infinite, which is what we wanted. \square

Therefore Szemerédi \rightarrow Furstenberg Recurrence.

Theorem (Szemerédi's Theorem)

Let $k \geq 1$ and let A be a set of integers of positive upper density, i.e.

$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} |A \cap \{-N, \dots, N\}| > 0$. Then A contains an arithmetic progression $n, n+r, \dots, n+(k-1)r$.

Theorem (Furstenberg's Recurrence Theorem)

Let $k \geq 1$ and (X, \mathcal{F}, μ, T) be a measure-preserving system. If E is a set of positive measure, then there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$E \cap T^{-r}E \cap \dots \cap T^{-(k-1)r}E \neq \emptyset.$$

In Conclusion

We've

- 1 Shown a correspondence between Ramsey-type statements and recurrence theorems
- 2 Given a topological proof of Van Der Waerden's theorem
- 3 Shown how to translate Szemerédi's theorem into an ergodic theoretical statement.

Theorem (Green-Tao)

The primes have arbitrarily large arithmetic progressions.