

MICROECONOMIC THEORY QUESTIONS MIDTERM

MARCIN PEŃSKI

Each question has the same value. You need to provide arguments for each answer. If you cannot solve one part of the problem, don't give up and try to solve the next one. If the question explicitly asks you to prove a result from the class, you must carefully describe the proof. Otherwise, you may use any result from the class given that you clearly state the assumptions, thesis and verify that the assumptions hold in your application. You have 110 minutes. Good luck!

1. CONSUMER AND FIRM THEORY

- (1) Answer the following questions.
 - (a) Show that Slutsky matrix is symmetric and negative semi-definite.
 - (b) State and prove the Law of Compensated Demand.

- (2) Suppose that $\mathcal{X} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_L) : x_l \geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \{(y_1, \dots, y_K) : y_k \geq 0\}$ are the consumption spaces over two different baskets of goods. Consumer's utility over consumption bundles $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ is equal to

$$u(x, y) = h(x) + g(y),$$

where h and g are functions representing continuous, strictly convex, and strictly increasing preferences over baskets, respectively, \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} .

Let

$$v_h(p_X, w_X) := \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h(x) \text{ st. } p_X \cdot x \leq w_X,$$

$$v_g(p_Y, w_Y) := \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(y) \text{ st. } p_Y \cdot y \leq w_Y,$$

be the indirect utility functions associated with each of the functions h and g . Explain that the indirect utility function from the original problem is equal to

$$v(p_X, p_Y, w) := \max_{w_X} v_h(p_X, w_X) + v_g(p_Y, w - w_X).$$

- (3) Answer the following questions.
- (a) State the definition of a lattice.
 - (b) Let $X = R_+^2$ be a vector space with the vector partial order (i.e., for each $x = (x_1, x_2), y \in X$, $x \leq_X y$ if and only if $x_i \leq y_i$ for each $i = 1, 2$.) Is X a lattice? Why?
 - (c) Let $h(x) = \min(x_1, x_2)$ and $g(x) = \max(x_1, x_2)$. Which of the functions h and/or g are supermodular?

- (4) Larry Boy got picked up at 4am raid from his cottage in the woods surrounding the Lakahoma Lake. After six hours of sitting alone in the cell, officer Norck enters and explains that the police and the prosecution know but they still cannot prove that on the order of Tall Luiggi, Larry Boy murdered Bobby Romano and sank his body in the Lakahoma Lake. They are still looking for the body and once they find it, Larry Boy gets death sentence for the murder. Larry Boy worries that the police will find the body if Bobby Romano's shoes were to fall off. The job was rushed and Larry Boy is not exactly sure whether the concrete was done properly. If the shoes stay on, and the police doesn't find the body, Larry Boy will go free for the lack of evidence.

Larry Boy has another choice: He can talk to the police about Tall Luiggi's organization and repeat everything in the court. In such a case, officer Norck promises to cancel the search for Bobby Romano's body (which means no murder charge for Larry) and try to find a place for Larry Boy in the witness protection program. Larry Boy is aware that testifying for the police does not guarantee safety: Tall Luiggi's arms reach far and in the past, approximately 30% of all star witnesses were killed before they joined the witness protection program.

- (a) Describe the Larry Boy's two choices (i.e., Staying Silent and Talking to Officer Norck) using Anscombe-Aumann acts over state space $S = \{CF, CS\}$, where CF means "concrete shoes fall off", and CS means concrete shoes stay on" and prizes $Z = \{d, f, w\}$, where d , f , and w mean respectively, death, freedom, and life in the witness protection program.
- (b) Larry Boy is very tired with the constant stress of the criminal life. He would strictly prefer a Calm Retirement in the witness protection program (i.e., an act that delivers w with certainty) to Freedom (an act that delivers f in each state) and the work for Tall Luiggi. In fact, he is indifferent between Freedom and a lottery that assigns equal probability 0.4 to death and 0.6 to the witness protection program. Suppose that

Larry's preferences over acts are rational. Explain, that, if Larry's preferences were to satisfy the Independence axiom, Larry would prefer Calm Retirement to Certain Death (an act that delivers d with certainty).

- (c) Suppose that Larry strictly prefers Staying Silent to Talking to Officer Norck. Are the choices described here together with the choices described in (b) are consistent with the State Independent Expected Utility?
- (d) Are the choices from (b) and (c) consistent with the Independence Axiom?