ECE1371 Advanced Analog Circuits
Lecture 3

EXAMPLE DESIGN– PART 1

Richard Schreier
richard.schreier@analog.com

Trevor Caldwell
trevor.caldwell@utoronto.ca

Course Goals

- **Deepen understanding of CMOS analog circuit design through a top-down study of a modern analog system— a delta-sigma ADC**
- **Develop circuit insight through brief peeks at some nifty little circuits**

  The circuit world is filled with many little gems that every competent designer ought to know.

Date	Lecture			Ref	Homework
2012-01-12	RS	1	Introduction: MOD1	ST 2, A	1: MOD1 in Matlab
2012-01-19	RS	2	MOD2 & MOD*N*	ST 3, 4, B	2: MOD2 in Matlab
2012-01-26	RS	3	Example Design: Part 1	ST 9.1, CCJM 14	3: Sw.-level MOD2
2012-02-02	TC	4	SC Circuits	R 12, CCJM 14	4: SC Integrator
2012-02-09	TC	5	Amplifier Design		
2012-02-16	TC	6	Amplifier Design		5: SC Int w/ Amp
2012-02-23	Reading Week + ISSCC– No Lecture				
2012-03-01	RS	7	Example Design: Part 2	CCJM 18	Start Project
2012-03-08	RS	8	Comparator & Flash ADC	CCJM 10	
2012-03-15	TC	9	Noise in SC Circuits	ST C	
2012-03-22	TC	10	Matching & MM-Shaping	ST 6.3-6.5, +	
2012-03-29	RS	11	Advanced $\Delta\Sigma$	ST 6.6, 9.4	
2012-04-05	TC	12	Pipeline and SAR ADCs	CCJM 15, 17	
2012-04-12	No Lecture				
2012-04-19	Project Presentation				

Highlights
(i.e. What you will learn today)

1. MOD2 implementation
2. Switched-capacitor integrator
   - Switched-C summer & DAC too
3. Dynamic-range scaling
4. kT/C noise
5. Verification strategy

Review: A ΔΣ ADC System



$V(z) = STF(z)U(z) + NTF(z)E(z)$

***STF*(*z*)**: signal transfer function
***NTF*(*z*)**: noise transfer function
***E*(*z*)**: quantization error

Review: MOD1



$$V(z) = NTF(z)E(z) + STF(z)U(z)$$

$$NTF(z) = (1 - z^{-1})$$

$$STF(z) = z^{-1}$$



- **Doubling OSR improves SQNR by 9 dB**
  Peak SQNR $\approx$ **dbp**$(9 \cdot OSR^3/(2\pi^2))$; **dbp**$(x) \equiv 10\log_{10}(x)$

Review: MOD2



- **Doubling OSR improves SQNR by 15 dB**
  Peak SQNR ≈ dbp$((15 \cdot OSR^5)/(4\pi^4))$

Review: Simulated MOD2 PSD

Input at 50% of FullScale



Review: Advantages of $\Delta\Sigma$

- **ADC: Simplified Anti-Alias Filter**

  Since the input is oversampled, only very high frequencies alias to the passband.
  A simple RC section often suffices.

  If a continuous-time loop filter is used, the anti-alias filter can often be eliminated altogether.

- **DAC: Simplified Reconstruction Filter**

  The nearby images present in Nyquist-rate reconstruction can be removed digitally.

+ **Inherent Linearity**

  Simple structures can yield very high SNR.

+ **Robust Implementation**

  $\Delta\Sigma$ tolerates sizable component errors.

Let's Try Making One!

- **Clock at f_s = 1 MHz.**
  Assume BW = 1 kHz.

$\Rightarrow$ $OSR = f_s/(2 \cdot BW) = 500 \approx 2^9$

- **MOD1: SQNR $\approx$ 9 dB/octave • 9 octaves = 81 dB**
- **MOD2: SQNR $\approx$ 15 dB/octave • 9 octaves =135 dB**

  Actually more like 120 dB.

- **SQNR of MOD1 is not bad, but SQNR of MOD2 is awesome!**

  In addition to MOD2's SQNR advantage, MOD2 is usually preferred over MOD1 because MOD2's quantization noise is more well-behaved.

What Do We Need?



1. Summation blocks
2. Delaying and non-delaying discrete-time integrators
3. Quantizer (1-bit)
4. Feedback DACs (1-bit)
5. Decimation filter (not shown)
   - Digital and therefore “easy.”

Switched-Capacitor Integrator

Single-ended circuit:



Timing:



ϕ1:



ϕ2:



$$q_2(n+1) = q_2(n) + q_1(n)$$

$$zQ_2(z) = Q_2(z) + Q_1(z)$$

$$Q_2(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{z-1}$$

- **This circuit integrates charge**

- **Since $Q_1 = C_1 V_{in}$ and $Q_2 = C_2 V_{out}$**

$$\frac{V_{out}(z)}{V_{in}(z)} = \frac{C_1/C_2}{z-1}$$

- **Note that the voltage gain is controlled by a *ratio* of capacitors**

  With careful layout, 0.1% accuracy is possible.

Summation + Integration



$$V_{out}(z) = \frac{\frac{C_1}{C}V_1(z) + \frac{C_2}{C}V_2(z)}{(z-1)}$$

⇒ **Adding an extra input branch accomplishes addition, with weighting**

1b DAC + Summation + Integration



Differential Integrator



Non-Delaying Integrator

Single-ended circuit:



Timing:



ϕ2:



ϕ1:



$$q_2(n+1) = q_2(n) - q_1(n+1)$$

$$zQ_2(z) = Q_2(z) - zQ_1(z)$$

$$\frac{Q_2(z)}{Q_1(z)} = -\frac{z}{z-1}$$

$$\frac{V_{out}(z)}{V_{in}(z)} = -\left(\frac{C_1}{C_2}\right)\frac{z}{z-1}$$

- **Delay-free integrator (inverting)**

"Half-Delay" Integrator

Single-ended circuit:



Timing:



Half-Delay Integrator

- **Output is sampled on a different phase than the input**
- **Some use the notation** $H(z) = \dfrac{z^{-1/2}}{z-1}$ **to denote the shift in sampling time**

  I consider this an abuse of notation.
- **An alternative method is to declare that the border between time *n* and *n*+1 occurs at the end of a specific phase, say ϕ2**

$\Rightarrow$ **A circuit which samples on ϕ1 and updates on ϕ2 is non-delaying, i.e.** $H(z) = z/(z-1)$**, whereas a circuit which samples on ϕ2 and updates on ϕ1 is delaying, i.e.** $H(z) = 1/(z-1)$**.**

Timing in a ΔΣ ADC

- **The safest way to deal with timing is to construct a timing diagram and verify that the circuit implements the desired difference equations**
- **E.g. MOD2:**



Difference Equations:

$$v(n) = Q(x_2(n)) \quad \textbf{(0)}$$

$$x_1(n+1) = x_1(n) - v(n) + u(n) \quad \textbf{(1)}$$

$$x_2(n+1) = x_2(n) - v(n) + x_1(n+1) \quad \textbf{(2)}$$

Switched-Capacitor Realization



Timing



Timing looks OK!

Signal Swing

- So far, we have not paid any attention to how much swing the op amps can support, or to the magnitudes of u, V_{ref}, x_1 and x_2
- For simplicity, assume:
  the full-scale range of u is ± 1 V,
  the op-amp swing is also ± 1 V and
  $V_{ref} = 1$ V
- We still need to know the ranges of x_1 and x_2 in order to accomplish *dynamic-range scaling*

Dynamic-Range Scaling

- In a linear system with known state bounds, the states can be scaled to occupy any desired range

e.g. one state of original system:



state scaled by 1/*k*:



State Swings in MOD2

Linear Theory



$$V = z^{-1}U + (1 - z^{-1})^2 E$$

$$X_1 = \frac{z}{z-1}(U - V) = U - (1 - z^{-1})E$$

$$X_2 = V - E = z^{-1}U + (-2z^{-1} + z^{-2})E$$

- If u is constant and e is white with power $\sigma_e^2 = 1/3$, then $x_1 = u$, $\sigma_{x_1}^2 = 2\sigma_e^2 = 2/3$, $x_2 = u$ and $\sigma_{x_2}^2 = 5\sigma_e^2 = 5/3$

Simulated Histograms



Observations

- **The match between simulations and our linear theory is fair for x_1, but poor for x_2**
  - **x_1's mean and standard deviation match theory, although x_1's distribution does not have the triangular form that would result if *e* were white and uniformly-distributed in [–1,1].**
  - **x_2's mean is 50-100% high, its standard deviation is ~25% low, and the distribution is weird.**

$\Rightarrow$ **Our linear theory is not adequate for determining signal swings in MOD2**

- **No real surprise. Linear theory does not handle overload, i.e. where $x_1, x_2 \to \infty$ when $u > 1$.**

- **Is there a better theory?**
  - **Yes, but it is complicated and gives minimal insight.**

MOD2 Simulated State Bounds



Scaled MOD2

- **Take $\|x_1\|_\infty = 3$ and $\|x_2\|_\infty = 9$**
  - **The first integrator should not saturate.**
  - **The second integrator will not saturate for dc inputs up to –3 dBFS and possibly as high as –1 dBFS.**
- **Our scaled version of MOD2 is thus**



First Integrator (INT1)

Shared Input/Reference Caps



- **How do we determine C?**

kT/C Noise



- **Fact: Regardless of the value of *R*, the mean-square value of the voltage on *C* is**

$$\overline{v_n^2} = \frac{kT}{C}$$

where $k = 1.38 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K is *Boltzmann's constant* and *T* is the temperature in Kelvin

The ms noise charge is $\overline{q_n^2} = C^2\overline{v_n^2} = kTC$.

Derivation of kT/C Noise

- ***Equipartition of Energy* physical principle: "In a system at thermal equilibrium, the average energy associated with any degree of freedom is $\frac{1}{2}$kT."**

  This applies to the kinetic energy of atoms (along each axis of motion), vibrational energy in molecules and to the potential energy in electrical components.

- **Fact: The energy stored in a capacitor is $\frac{1}{2}CV^2$**

- **So, according to equipartition, $\frac{1}{2}\overline{CV^2} = \frac{1}{2}kT$, or**

$$\overline{V^2} = \frac{kT}{C}$$

Implications for an SC Integrator

- **Each charge/discharge operation has a random component**
  - **The amplifier plays a role during phase 2, but we'll assume that the noise in both phases is just kT/C. We'll revisit this assumption in Lecture 9.**
- **For a given cap, these random components are essentially uncorrelated, so the noise is white**



$$\overline{q_1^2} = \overline{q_2^2} = kTC_1$$

$$\overline{q_t^2} = \overline{q_1^2} + \overline{q_2^2} = 2kTC_1$$

Integrator Implications (cont'd)

- **This noise charge is equivalent to a noise voltage with ms value $\overline{v_n^2} = 2kT/C_1$ added to the input of the integrator:**



- **This noise power is spread uniformly over all frequencies from 0 to $f_s/2$**

$\Rightarrow$ **The power in the band $[0, f_B]$ is v_n^2/OSR**

Differential Noise



$$\overline{v_n^2} = \overline{v_{n1}^2} + \overline{v_{n2}^2}$$

- **Twice as many switched caps $\Rightarrow$ twice as much noise power**
- **The input-referred noise power in our differential integrator is**

$$\overline{v_n^2} = 4kT/C_1$$

INT1 Absolute Capacitor Sizes

For SNR = 100 dB @ –3-dBFS input

- **The signal power is**

$$\overline{v_s^2} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{–3 dBFS}} \bullet \frac{(1\text{ V})^2}{2} = 0.25\text{ V}^2$$

($\frac{A^2}{2}$)

- **Therefore we want $\overline{v_{n,\text{ in-band}}^2} = 0.25 \times 10^{-10}\text{ V}^2$**
- **Since $\overline{v_{n,\text{ in-band}}^2} = \overline{v_n^2}/OSR$**

$$C_1 = \frac{4kT}{\overline{v_n^2}} = 1.33\text{ pF}$$

- **If we want 10 dB more SNR, we need 10x caps**

Second Integrator (INT2)

Separate Input and Feedback Caps



INT2 Absolute Capacitor Sizes

- **In-band noise of second integrator is greatly attenuated**



$\omega_B = \frac{\pi}{OSR}$

INT1 gain @ pb edge: $A = \frac{1/3}{\omega_B} = \frac{OSR}{3\pi}$

INT2 noise attenuation: $> OSR \cdot A^2 \approx 10^6$

$\Rightarrow$ **Capacitor sizes not dictated by thermal noise**

- **Charge injection errors and desired ratio accuracy set absolute size**

  A reasonable size for a small cap is currently ~10 fF.

Behavioral Schematic



Verification

Open-loop verification

1. **Loop filter**
2. **Comparator**

   Since MOD2 is a 1-bit system, all that can go wrong is the polarity and the timing. Usually the timing is checked by (1), so this verification step is not needed.

Closed-loop verification

3. **Swing of internal states**
4. **Spectrum: SQNR, STF gain**
5. **Sensitivity, start-up, overload recovery, …**

Loop-Filter Check— Theory

- **Open the feedback loop, set $u = 0$ and drive an impulse through the feedback path**



$$X_2 = \frac{-1}{z-1}\left(1 + \frac{z}{z-1}\right)Y$$

$$\therefore y(n) = \{1, 0, 0, \ldots\} \Rightarrow x_2(n) = \{-2, -3, -4, \ldots\}$$

- **If x_2 is as predicted then the loop filter is correct**

  At least for the feedback signal, which implies that the NTF will be as designed.

Loop Filter Check— Practice*



***. "In theory there is no difference between theory and practice. But in practice there is."**

Hey! You Cheated!

- An impulse is {1,0,0,…}, but a binary DAC can only output ±1, i.e. it cannot produce a 0

Q: So how can we determine the impulse response of the loop filter through simulation?

A: Do two simulations: one with v = {–1,–1,–1,…} and one with v = {+1,–1,–1,…}.
Then take the difference.
According to superposition, the result is the response to v = {2,0,0,…}, so divide by 2.

To keep the integrator states from growing too quickly, you could also use v = {–1,–1,+1,–1,…} and then v = {+1,–1,+1,–1,…}.

Simulated State Swings

–3-dBFS ~300-Hz sine wave



Unclear Spectrum



Professional Spectrum



- **SQNR dominated by –109-dBFS 3rd harmonic**

Implementation Summary

1. **Choose a viable SC topology and manually verify timing**
2. **Do dynamic-range scaling**
   - **You now have a set of capacitor ratios.**
   - **Verify operation: loop filter, timing, swing, spectrum.**
3. **Determine absolute capacitor sizes**
   - **Verify noise.**
4. **Determine op-amp specs and construct a transistor-level schematic**
   - **Verify everything.**
5. **Layout, fab, debug, document, get customers, sell by the millions, go public, …**

Differential vs. Single-Ended

- **Differential is more complicated and has more caps and more noise ⇒ single-ended is better?**



$$SNR = \frac{(2V)^2/2}{4kT/(C/2)} = \frac{CV^2}{4kT}$$



$$SNR = \frac{V^2/2}{2kT/C} = \frac{CV^2}{4kT}$$

- **Same capacitor area ⇒ same SNR**
  - **Differential is generally preferred due to rejection of even-order distortion and common-mode noise/ interference.**

Double-Sampled Input



- **Doubles the effective input signal**
- **Allows C_1 to be 1/4 the size for the same SNR**
- **Doubles the sampling rate of the signal, thereby easing AAF further**

Shared vs. Separate Input Caps

- **Separate caps ⇒ More noise:**



V_1 input: $\overline{v_{n1}^2} = 2kT/C_1$

V_2 input: $\overline{v_{n2}^2} = 2kT/C_2$

V_2 gain / V_1 gain: C_2/C_1

v_{n2} referred to V_1: $v_{n2}C_2/C_1$

Total noise referred to V_1:
$(2kT/C_1)(1 + C_2/C_1)$

- **But using separate caps allows input CM to be different from reference CM, and so is often preferred in a general-purpose ADC**

Signal-Dependent Ref. Loading

- **Another practical concern is the current draw from the reference**



$$\textbf{ADC Output} \propto \frac{V_{in}}{V_{ref}(1-\varepsilon \sin \omega t)} \approx \frac{V_{in}}{V_{ref}}(1+\varepsilon \sin \omega t)$$

- **If the reference current is signal-related, harmonic distortion can result**

Shared Caps and Ref. Loading





$$q = \begin{cases} C(V_{ref} - V_u/2)\ , & v = +1 \\ C(V_{ref} + V_u/2)\ , & v = -1 \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\bar{I} = \frac{C}{T}(V_{ref} - v \cdot V_u/2)$$

- **If $u = A\sin\omega_u t$, then $v = u + error$ also contains a component at ω_u and thus *I* contains a component at $\omega = 2\omega_u$.**
- **Since ADC Output $\propto V_{in}(1 + \varepsilon\sin\omega t)$, the signal-dependent reference current in our circuit can produce 3rd-harmonic distortion**
  - **Also, the load presented to the driving circuit is dependent on *v* and this noisy load can cause trouble.**
- **With separate caps, the reference current is signal-independent**
  - **Yet another reason for using separate caps.**

Unipolar Reference



- **Be careful of the timing of *v* relative to the integration phase!**

Single-Ended Input
Shared Caps



Full-scale range of V_u is $[0,V_{ref}]$.

Homework #3

Construct a differential switched-capacitor implementation of MOD2 using ideal elements (switches, capacitors, amplifiers, comparator) and verify it.

Scale the circuit such that the full-scale differential input range is [–1,+1] V and the op amp swing is 0.5 $V_{p,diff}$ at –6 dBFS. You may assume that –0.5-V and +0.5-V references are available and that the input is available in differential form.

Choose capacitor values such that the SNR with a –6 dBFS input will be ~85 dB when OSR = 128. You may assume that the only source of noise is kT/C noise.

Homework Deliverables

1. Schematic of MOD2 with annotated DC node voltages
2. Open-loop impulse response plot
3. Transient of input signal and integrator outputs for a –6-dBFS input
4. Spectrum of output data for a –6-dBFS input, with SNDR calculated
5. SNDR vs. input amplitude plot
6. kT/C noise calculation (justify your choice of C)

What You Learned Today

And what the homework should solidify

1. MOD2 implementation
2. Switched-capacitor integrator
   - Switched-C summer & DAC too
3. Dynamic-range scaling
4. kT/C noise
5. Verification strategy