

University Survey of Student Assessment of Teaching



Course Evaluation Report

INSTRUCTOR: **Symon Podilcak**



DEPARTMENT: **ELEC**

COURSE: **ELEC-280** SECTION: **-**

YEAR: **2010** TERM: **W**

Number of students in course or section: 79
 Number of returned evaluations: 44

Respondents for whom this is a required course: 33
 Percentage of enrolled students responding: 56%


LEGEND: Individual course results are reported thus: 
 Departmental results are represented thus: 
 SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree, NA = Not Applicable

- NOTES:
1. Departmental means and percentages have been calculated as the average of the individual course means and percentages (rather than from the individual responses for the department which would result in large classes unduly influencing the results).
 2. If the number of returns is less than 10, the responses are not aggregated into the departmental mean.
 3. The histogram bar represents the percentage of students rating each item.
 4. The numbers quoted are actual numbers of respondents.
 5. For response rates of less than 65% the results may not be representative of student opinion and should be interpreted with caution.
 6. The accuracy of the scanned data depends upon the legibility of the response marks. In some circumstances a small percentage of responses may be undetected by the scanner.

1. Overall, this is an excellent course.

Mean for this course: 4.0
 Standard deviation: 1.00
 Departmental mean: 4.2
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.1
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	15	17	8	3	1	0



2. Overall, this instructor is an effective teacher.

Mean for this course: 3.9
 Standard deviation: 1.19
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.9
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	17	10	9	4	2	0



3. I learned a great deal from this course.

Mean for this course: 3.9
 Standard deviation: 1.01
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.9
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	14	15	11	3	1	0



4. The instructor showed sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups.

Mean for this course: 4.2
 Standard deviation: 1.00
 Departmental mean: 4.2
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.2
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	18	15	5	0	2	4



5. The course material has been well prepared and well organized.

Mean for this course: 3.8
 Standard deviation: 1.17
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.5
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	13	17	7	4	3	0



6. The classroom presentations were clear and understandable.

Mean for this course: 3.7
 Standard deviation: 1.05
 Departmental mean: 3.9
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.5
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.5


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	11	13	13	5	1	0



7. Classroom questions and discussions were handled well.

Mean for this course: 3.7
 Standard deviation: 1.06
 Departmental mean: 4.1
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.9
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	9	17	8	3	2	1



8. The instructor was accessible and helpful outside of class.

Mean for this course: 4.2
 Standard deviation: 0.87
 Departmental mean: 4.0
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.0
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	16	15	5	0	1	4



9. The instructor appears to have a good knowledge of and understanding of the subject matter.

Mean for this course: 4.1
 Standard deviation: 0.98
 Departmental mean: 4.6
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 4.1
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 5.0


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	19	15	6	2	1	0



10. The instructor has fostered a positive learning environment.

Mean for this course: 4.0
 Standard deviation: 1.00
 Departmental mean: 4.2
 Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.2
 Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.6


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	14	17	7	3	1	0



11. The instructor always noticed when the class had questions.

Mean for this course: 4.0
Standard deviation: 1.07


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	18	14	5	4	1	0



12. The instructor summarized key points.

Mean for this course: 4.0
Standard deviation: 1.10


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	20	11	7	4	1	0



13. The instructor gave examples, illustrations, or applications to clarify abstract concepts.

Mean for this course: 4.2
Standard deviation: 1.14


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	23	11	4	3	2	1



14. The instructor made the course an enjoyable experience.

Mean for this course: 3.9
Standard deviation: 1.17


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	15	15	5	5	2	1



15. The instructor integrated the course material with what I had previously learned.

Mean for this course: 3.8
Standard deviation: 1.06


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	12	18	9	3	2	0



16. It was clear to me what was expected of me in this course.

Mean for this course: 3.8
Standard deviation: 1.07


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	14	15	9	5	1	0



17. The instructor encouraged out-of-class consultations.

Mean for this course: 4.1
Standard deviation: 0.99


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	16	14	4	2	1	5



18. Audiovisual materials (or computers) contributed significantly to my learning.

Mean for this course: 3.7
Standard deviation: 1.00


	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	9	16	11	4	1	3



19. The instructor provided a good mixture of lecture and discussion.

Mean for this course: 3.8
 Standard deviation: 1.02

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	12	15	13	1	2	1



20. This course changed the way I see at least some part of the world.

Mean for this course: 3.8
 Standard deviation: 1.14

	SA	A	N	D	SD	NA
Number of respondents	16	11	11	3	2	0

