

ECO2020 Tutorial 3

Young Wu

November 30, 2016

1 Question 1

(Comprehensive Exam June 2005 Q3)

1.1 Part a

Competitive Equilibrium requires:

1. Consumers maximize utility:

$$q_1(\alpha) \geq \theta q_2(\alpha) \text{ for } \theta \in \Theta_1$$

$$q_1(\alpha) \leq \theta q_2(\alpha) \text{ for } \theta \in \Theta_2$$

2. Markets clear:

$$\int_{\Theta_1} f(\theta) d\theta = \alpha$$

Consider $\hat{\theta} = \frac{q_1(\alpha)}{q_2(\alpha)}$,

If $\theta < \hat{\theta}$,

$$q_1(\alpha) = q_2(\alpha) \hat{\theta} > q_2(\alpha) \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta \in \Theta_1$$

If $\theta > \hat{\theta}$,

$$q_1(\alpha) = q_2(\alpha) \hat{\theta} < q_2(\alpha) \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta \in \Theta_2$$

Therefore,

$$\Theta_1 = [0, \hat{\theta}]$$
$$\Theta_2 = [\hat{\theta}, 1]$$

1.2 Part b

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{q_1(\alpha)}{q_2}(\alpha)$$
$$= \frac{2a + \alpha}{2a + \alpha + 1}$$

Then market clearing condition becomes:

$$\int_{\Theta_1} f(\theta) d\theta = \alpha$$
$$\Rightarrow \int_0^{\hat{\theta}} f(\theta) d\theta = \alpha$$
$$\Rightarrow F(\hat{\theta}) = \alpha$$
$$\Rightarrow F\left(\frac{2a + \alpha}{2a + \alpha + 1}\right) = \alpha$$

Let $G(\alpha) = F\left(\frac{2a + \alpha}{2a + \alpha + 1}\right)$:

G is continuous since is composite of continuous functions on $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

The support is $[0, 1]$, compact convex,

Apply Brouwer fixed point theorem:

$$\exists \alpha^* \text{ such that } G(\alpha^*) = \alpha^*$$

2 Question 2

(Comprehensive Exam June 2007 Q3)

2.1 Part a

Let allocations be functions $x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $y : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

Pareto Efficient allocation requires:

1. Individual feasibility:

$$x(\theta) \geq 0 \text{ and } y(\theta) \geq 0 \text{ for } \theta \in [0, 1]$$

2. Aggregate feasibility:

$$\int_0^1 x(\theta) = 1$$
$$\int_0^1 y(\theta) = z$$

3. Optimality:

There does not exist (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) such that:

$$(\tilde{x}(\theta), \tilde{y}(\theta)) \succ_{\theta} (x(\theta), y(\theta)) \text{ for } \theta \in [0, 1]$$

with strict inequality on some non-empty open interval $(a, b) \in [0, 1]$.

2.2 Part b

Walrasian Equilibrium requires:

1. Consumers maximize utility:

$$\max_{x(\theta), y(\theta)} x(\theta)^{\theta} y(\theta)^{1-\theta} \text{ such that } p_x x(\theta) + p_y y(\theta) = p_x + p_y z \text{ for } \theta \in [0, 1]$$

2. Markets clear:

$$\int_0^1 x(\theta) = 1$$
$$\int_0^1 y(\theta) = z$$

2.3 Part c

Normalize $p_y = 1$, then

$$x(\theta) = \theta \frac{p_x + z}{p_x}$$
$$y(\theta) = (1 - \theta)(p_x + z)$$

Check X market clearing:

$$\int_0^1 \theta \frac{p_x + z}{p_x} = 1$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_x + z}{p_x} = 1$$
$$\Rightarrow p_x = z$$

Or check Y market clearing:

$$\int_0^1 (1 - \theta)(p_x + z) = z$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(p_x + z) = z$$
$$\Rightarrow p_x = z$$

Therefore, WE has allocations:

$$x(\theta) = \theta \frac{z + z}{z} = 2\theta$$
$$y(\theta) = (1 - \theta)(z + z) = (1 - \theta)2z$$

3 Question 3

(Comprehensive Exam June 2007 Q4)

3.1 Part a

Walrasian Equilibrium requires:

1. Consumers maximize utility:

$$\max_{x_A, y_A} \min \{2x_A, y_A\} \text{ such that } p_x x_A + p_y y_A = p_x + p_y$$

$$\max_{x_B, y_B} \min \{x_B, 2y_B\} \text{ such that } p_x x_B + p_y y_B = p_x + p_y$$

$$\max_{x_C, y_C} \min \{2x_C, y_C\} \text{ such that } p_x x_C + p_y y_C = p_x + p_y$$

2. Markets clear:

$$x_A + x_B + x_C = 3$$

$$y_A + y_B + y_C = 3$$

Maybe not normalize $p_y = 1$ this time:

$$\text{set } 2x_A = y_A \text{ and } x_B = 2y_B \text{ and } 2x_C = y_C$$

Substitute them into the budget constraints:

$$x_A = \frac{p_x + p_y}{p_x + 2p_y}$$

$$y_A = \frac{2(p_x + p_y)}{p_x + 2p_y}$$

$$x_B = \frac{2(p_x + p_y)}{2p_x + p_y}$$

$$y_B = \frac{p_x + p_y}{2p_x + p_y}$$

$$x_C = \frac{p_x + p_y}{p_x + 2p_y}$$

$$y_C = \frac{2(p_x + p_y)}{p_x + 2p_y}$$

Check X market clearing:

$$\frac{p_x + p_y}{p_x + 2p_y} + \frac{2(p_x + p_y)}{2p_x + p_y} + \frac{p_x + p_y}{p_x + 2p_y} = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2p_y}{p_x + 2p_y} = \frac{p_y}{2p_x + p_y}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_y = 0 \text{ or } 4p_x + 2p_y = p_x + 2p_y$$

$$\Rightarrow p_y = 0 \text{ or } p_x = 0$$

AND check Y market clearing:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(p_x + p_y)}{p_x + 2p_y} + \frac{p_x + p_y}{2p_x + p_y} + \frac{2(p_x + p_y)}{p_x + 2p_y} &= 3 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{2p_x}{p_x + 2p_y} &= \frac{p_x}{p_x + 2p_y} \\ \Rightarrow p_x = 0 \text{ or } 2p_x + 4p_y &= p_x + 2p_y \\ \Rightarrow p_x &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $p_y = 0$ is NOT a solution here.

Therefore, WE has allocations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_A = \frac{1}{2}, x_B = 2, x_C = \frac{1}{2} \\ y_A = 1, y_B = 1, y_C = 1 \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Part b

Core allocation requires:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left\{ 2 \cdot \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}, 1+\varepsilon \right\} &\geq \min \{2x_A, y_A\} \\ \min \{2-\varepsilon, 2 \cdot (1-2\varepsilon)\} &\geq \min \{x_B, 2y_B\} \\ \min \left\{ 2 \cdot \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}, 1+\varepsilon \right\} &\geq \min \{2x_C, y_C\} \end{aligned}$$

1. Not blocked by $\{A\}, \{B\}, \{C\}$:

$$x_A = y_A = 1$$

$$x_B = y_B = 1$$

$$x_C = y_C = 1$$

2. Not blocked by $\{A, B\}, \{A, C\}, \{B, C\}$:

$$x_A + x_B = y_A + y_B = 2$$

$$x_A + x_C = y_A + y_C = 2$$

$$x_B + x_C = y_B + y_C = 2$$

3. Not blocked by $\{A, B, C\}$:

$$x_A + x_B + x_C = y_A + y_B + y_C = 3$$

First simplify the conditions:

$$1 + \varepsilon \geq \min \{2x_A, y_A\}$$

$$2 - 4\varepsilon \geq \min \{x_B, 2y_B\} \text{ this assumes } \varepsilon \geq 0$$

$$1 + \varepsilon \geq \min \{2x_C, y_C\}$$

One person coalition:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \varepsilon &\geq 1 \text{ and } 2 - 4\varepsilon \geq 1 \\ \Rightarrow 0 &\leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Two people coalition:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - 4\varepsilon &\geq \min \left\{ 2 - \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{2}, 2 \cdot (2 - (1 + \varepsilon)) \right\} \\ \Rightarrow 2 - 4\varepsilon &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, 2 - 2\varepsilon \right\} \\ \Rightarrow 2 - 4\varepsilon &\geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\text{since } \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{4} \right) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} &\geq \frac{7}{2}\varepsilon \\ \Rightarrow \varepsilon &\leq \frac{1}{7} \end{aligned}$$

Three people coalition:

It is Pareto optimal.

Therefore, any $\varepsilon \in \left[0, \frac{1}{7}\right]$ implies the allocation is in the Core.

4 Question 4

(Comprehensive Exam August 2008 Q4)

4.1 Part a

Let c_a^b represent the a -th period consumption of consumer b .

Core allocation requires:

1. Not blocked by $\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{N\}$:

$$\log(c_n^n) + \log(c_{n+1}^n) \geq \log(\theta) + \log(\theta') \text{ for } n > 0$$

$$\log(c_1^0) \geq \log(\theta')$$

$$c_{N+1}^N \leq \theta' \Rightarrow c_N^N < \theta \text{ are blocked by } \{N\}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_N^N \geq \theta \Rightarrow c_{N-1}^{N-1} \leq \theta' \Rightarrow c_{N-1}^{N-1} < \theta \text{ are blocked by } \{N-1\}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{N-1}^{N-1} \geq \theta \Rightarrow c_{N-2}^{N-2} \leq \theta' \Rightarrow c_{N-2}^{N-2} < \theta \text{ are blocked by } \{N-2\}$$

$\Rightarrow \dots$

$$\Rightarrow c_2^2 \geq \theta \Rightarrow c_1^1 \leq \theta' \Rightarrow c_1^1 < \theta \text{ are blocked by } \{1\}$$

Now,

$$c_1^0 < \theta' \text{ are blocked by } \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1^1 \leq \theta \Rightarrow c_1^1 = \theta \Rightarrow c_2^1 < \theta' \text{ are blocked by } \{1\} \Rightarrow c_2^1 = \theta'$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2^2 \leq \theta \Rightarrow c_2^2 = \theta \Rightarrow c_3^2 < \theta' \text{ are blocked by } \{2\} \Rightarrow c_3^2 = \theta'$$

$\Rightarrow \dots$

$$\Rightarrow c_N^N = \theta$$

Therefore, only consuming endowment is in the Core.

4.2 Part b

Walrasian equilibrium requires:

1. Consumers maximize utility:

$$\max_{c_n^0, c_{n+1}^0} \log(c_n^0) + \log(c_{n+1}^0) \text{ such that } p_n c_n^0 + p_{n+1} c_{n+1}^0 = p_n \theta + p_{n+1} \theta'$$

$$\max_{c_1^0} \log(c_1^0) \text{ such that } p_0 c_1^0 = p_0 \theta'$$

2. Markets clear:

$$c_n^{n-1} + c_n^n = \theta + \theta'$$

$$c_{N+1}^N = \theta'$$

Consider any sequence of prices $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^N$:

Start from consumer 0, her budget constraint implies:

$$c_1^0 = \theta'$$

Then market clearing condition of c_1 implies:

$$c_1^1 = \theta + \theta' - c_1^0 = \theta + \theta' - \theta' = \theta$$

Then consumer 1's budget constraint implies:

$$c_2^1 = \theta'$$

Then market clearing condition of c_2 implies:

$$c_2^2 = \theta + \theta' - c_2^1 = \theta + \theta' - \theta' = \theta$$

...

$$c_N^N = \theta$$

$$c_{N+1}^N = \theta'$$

4.3 Part c

The argument in Part b is forward induction. Therefore it applies to $N \rightarrow \infty$.

4.4 Part d

The argument in Part a is backward induction. Therefore it does not apply.

Consider a coalition of $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$:

The allocation with:

$$c_n^n = c_n^{n-1} = \frac{\theta + \theta'}{2} \text{ for } n \geq 0$$

is preferred by all consumers since:

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{\theta + \theta'}{2}\right) &> \log(\theta') \text{ for consumer } 0 \\ 2 \log\left(\frac{\theta + \theta'}{2}\right) &> \log(\theta) + \log(\theta') \text{ for consumers } n > 0 \end{aligned}$$

by concavity of log.

5 Question 5

(Comprehensive Exam June 2004 Q4)

5.1 Part a

Z is blocked by coalition $\{A_1, A_2, B_1\}$, need to check:

$$u_{A1}(x_{A1}, y_{A1}) \geq u_{A1}(Z_A^x, Z_A^y)$$

$$u_{A2}(x_{A2}, y_{A2}) \geq u_{A2}(Z_A^x, Z_A^y)$$

$$u_{B1}(x_{B1}, y_{B1}) \geq u_{B1}(Z_B^x, Z_B^y)$$

with

$$x_{A1} + x_{A2} + x_{B1} = 2\omega_A^x + \omega_B^x$$

$$y_{A1} + y_{A2} + y_{B1} = 2\omega_A^y + \omega_B^y$$

Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{A1} &= x_{A2} = \frac{1}{2} (\omega_A^x + Z_A^x) \\
 y_{A1} &= y_{A2} = \frac{1}{2} (\omega_A^y + Z_A^y) \\
 x_{B1} &= Z_B^x \\
 y_{B1} &= Z_B^y
 \end{aligned}$$

where

(x_{A1}, y_{A1}) and (x_{A2}, y_{A2}) are located at the midpoint between ω and Z (between Z and B), strictly preferred for A1 and A2.

(x_{B1}, y_{B1}) is located at Z , indifferent for B1.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &x_{A1} + x_{A2} + x_{B1} \\
 &= \omega_A^x + Z_A^x + Z_B^x \\
 &= \omega_A^x + \omega_A^x + \omega_B^x \\
 &y_{A1} + y_{A2} + y_{B1} \\
 &= \omega_A^y + Z_A^y + Z_B^y
 \end{aligned}$$

5.2 Part b

Suppose there are N players of each type, then:

Z is blocked by coalition $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{N-1}\}$, need to check:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{Ai}(x_{Ai}, y_{Ai}) &\geq u_{Ai}(Z_A^x, Z_A^y) \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \\
 u_{Bj}(x_{Bj}, y_{Bj}) &\geq u_{Bj}(Z_B^x, Z_B^y) \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^N x_{Ai} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} x_{Bj} &= N\omega_A^x + (N-1)\omega_B^x \\
 \sum_{i=1}^N y_{Ai} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} y_{Bj} &= N\omega_A^y + (N-1)\omega_B^y
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{Ai} &= \frac{1}{N}\omega_A^x + \frac{N-1}{N}Z_A^x \text{ and} \\
 y_{Ai} &= \frac{1}{N}\omega_A^y + \frac{N-1}{N}Z_A^y \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \\
 x_{Bj} &= Z_B^x \text{ and} \\
 y_{Bj} &= Z_B^y \text{ for } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

(x_{Ai}, y_{Aj}) s are located at some point between ω and Z for large N (between Z and B), strictly preferred for A_i .

(x_{Bj}, y_{Bj}) is located at Z , indifferent for B_j .

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i=1}^N x_{Ai} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} x_{Bj} \\
 &= \omega_A^x + (N-1)(Z_A^x + Z_B^x) \\
 &= \omega_A^x + (N-1)(\omega_A^x + \omega_B^x) \\
 &= N\omega_A^x + (N-1)\omega_B^x \\
 &\sum_{i=1}^N y_{Ai} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} y_{Bj} \\
 &= \omega_A^y + (N-1)(Z_A^y + Z_B^y) \\
 &= \omega_A^y + (N-1)(\omega_A^y + \omega_B^y) \\
 &= N\omega_A^y + (N-1)\omega_B^y
 \end{aligned}$$