

Banach algebras

Jordan Bell

jordan.bell@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

April 3, 2014

1 Introduction

This note is a collection of results on Banach algebras whose proofs do not require the machinery of integrating functions that take values in Banach spaces, and that do not require the algebra to be commutative.

2 Banach algebras and ideals

Unless we say otherwise, every vector space we talk about is taken to be over \mathbb{C} . A *Banach algebra* is a Banach space \mathfrak{A} that is also an algebra satisfying $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$ for $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$. We say that \mathfrak{A} is *unital* if there is a nonzero element $I \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $AI = A$ and $IA = A$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, called a *identity element*. If X is a Banach space, let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denote the set of bounded linear operators $X \rightarrow X$, and let $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ denote the set of compact linear operators $X \rightarrow X$ (to be compact means that the image of each bounded set is precompact). $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is a unital Banach algebra. $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ is a Banach algebra, but it is unital if and only if X is finite dimensional.

An *ideal* of a Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is a vector subspace \mathfrak{J} such that

$$\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}, \quad \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}.$$

We define the algebra quotient $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{J}$ by

$$\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{J} = \{A + \mathfrak{J} : A \in \mathfrak{A}\},$$

and define

$$(A + \mathfrak{J})(B + \mathfrak{J}) = AB + \mathfrak{J};$$

this makes sense because \mathfrak{J} is an ideal. We define a seminorm on $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{J}$ by

$$\|A + \mathfrak{J}\| = \inf_{S \in \mathfrak{J}} \|A - S\|;$$

we call this the *quotient seminorm*. In the following theorem we show that if the ideal is closed then this seminorm is a norm.

Theorem 1. *If \mathfrak{J} is a closed ideal in a Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} , then $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{J}$ is a Banach algebra with the quotient norm.*

Proof. Suppose that $\|A + \mathfrak{J}\| = 0$. This means

$$\inf_{S \in \mathfrak{J}} \|A - S\| = 0.$$

Let $S_n \in \mathfrak{J}$ with $\|A - S_n\| \rightarrow 0$. That is, $S_n \rightarrow A$. Since \mathfrak{J} is closed we get $A \in \mathfrak{J}$, and hence $A + \mathfrak{J} = 0 + \mathfrak{J}$, showing that the seminorm on the quotient is in fact a norm.

Let $z_n \in \mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{J}$ be a Cauchy sequence and let $z_{a(n)}$ be a subsequence with $\|z_{a(n+1)} - z_{a(n)}\| < 2^{-n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall use the fact that \mathfrak{A} is complete to prove that the sequence z_n converges and therefore that $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{J}$ is complete. We define a sequence A_n in \mathfrak{A} inductively as follows. Let A_1 be any element of $z_{a(1)}$. Suppose that $z_{a(n)} = A_n + \mathfrak{J}$, and let T be any element of $z_{a(n+1)}$. We have

$$\|z_{a(n+1)} - z_{a(n)}\| = \inf_{S \in \mathfrak{J}} \|T - A_n - S\|,$$

hence

$$\inf_{S \in \mathfrak{J}} \|T - A_n - S\| < 2^{-n}.$$

As this is an infimum and the inequality is strict, there is some $S \in \mathfrak{J}$ for which $\|T - A_n - S\| < 2^{-n}$, and we define $A_{n+1} = T - S$. Thus defined, the sequence A_n satisfies $\|A_{n+1} - A_n\| < 2^{-n}$ for all n and hence is a Cauchy sequence (as the consecutive differences are summable). Thus A_n converges to some $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, as \mathfrak{A} is a Banach space. We have

$$\|z_{a(n)} - (A + \mathfrak{J})\| = \inf_{S \in \mathfrak{J}} \|A_n - A - S\| \leq \inf_{S \in \mathfrak{J}} \|A_n - A\| + \inf_{S \in \mathfrak{J}} \|S\| = \|A_n - A\|,$$

which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $z_{a(n)} \rightarrow A + \mathfrak{J}$. As z_n is a Cauchy sequence a subsequence of which converges to $A + \mathfrak{J}$, it follows that $z_n \rightarrow A + \mathfrak{J}$. We have shown that each Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{J}$ converges, and hence $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{J}$ is complete. \square

If X is a Banach space, then $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ is a closed ideal of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$. Hence with the quotient norm, the quotient algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)/\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ is a Banach algebra. Let $\mathcal{B}_{00}(X)$ denote the set of bounded finite rank linear operators $X \rightarrow X$ (to be finite rank means to have a finite dimensional image). $\mathcal{B}_{00}(X)$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{B}(X)$, but it is closed if and only if X is finite dimensional. The closure of $\mathcal{B}_{00}(X)$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$. (If the closure is equal to $\mathcal{B}_0(X)$ we say that the Banach space X has the *approximation property*.)

3 Left regular representation

A Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is in particular a Banach space, and thus $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ is itself a Banach algebra with the operator norm. The *left regular representation* is the

map $L : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ defined by

$$L(A)B = AB, \quad A, B \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

It is apparent that L is an algebra homomorphism, and for $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\|L(A)B\| = \|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|,$$

showing that $\|L(A)\| \leq \|A\|$. If \mathfrak{A} has identity element I , then $\|I\| = \|I \cdot I\| \leq \|I\| \|I\|$, and as $\|I\| \neq 0$ we get $\|I\| \geq 1$. We'd like the norm on \mathfrak{A} to satisfy $\|I\| = 1$, and we define

$$\|A\|_0 = \|L(A)\| = \sup_{\|B\| \leq 1} \|L(A)B\| \leq \|A\|.$$

Check that L is injective, from which it follows that $\|\cdot\|_0$ is nondegenerate and is thus a norm on \mathfrak{A} . On the other hand,

$$\|A\| = \|L(A)I\| \leq \|L(A)\| \|I\| = \|A\|_0 \|I\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\|A\|_0 \leq \|A\| \leq \|I\| \|A\|_0,$$

so $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_0$ are equivalent norms on \mathfrak{A} , and thus for most purposes anything we say using one can be said just as well using the other. We decree that if we are talking about a unital Banach algebra then its norm is such that $\|I\| = 1$. Then, L is an isometry.

4 Invertible elements

If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra, we say that $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is *invertible* if there is some $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $AB = I$ and $BA = I$, and we call $A^{-1} = B$ the *inverse* of A . We denote by $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$ the set of invertible elements of \mathfrak{A} , and we call $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$ the *multiplicative group* of the Banach algebra. We now prove that a perturbation of norm < 1 of the identity element in a unital Banach algebra remains in the multiplicative group.¹

Theorem 2. *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\|A\| < 1$, then $I - A \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$, and*

$$\|(I - A)^{-1} - I - A\| \leq \frac{\|A\|^2}{1 - \|A\|}.$$

Proof. Define $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n A^k$. For $n > m$ we have

$$\|S_n - S_m\| \leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n \|A\|^k = \|A\|^{m+1} \frac{1 - \|A\|^{n-m}}{1 - \|A\|} \leq \frac{\|A\|^{m+1}}{1 - \|A\|}.$$

¹Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 249, Theorem 10.7.

Thus S_n is a Cauchy sequence and so has a limit $S \in \mathfrak{A}$. Because $S_n(I - A) = I - A^{n+1}$, we have

$$\|S_n(I - A) - I\| = \|A^{n+1}\| \leq \|A\|^{n+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

so $S_n(I - A) \rightarrow I$. But

$$\|S_n(I - A) - S(I - A)\| \leq \|S_n - S\| \|I - A\| \rightarrow 0,$$

so $S_n(I - A) \rightarrow S(I - A)$. Therefore $S(I - A) = I$. One similarly shows that $(I - A)S = I$, and hence that $I - A \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$, with $(I - A)^{-1} = S$. Furthermore,

$$\|S - I - A\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n - I - A\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=2}^n A^k \right\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=2}^n \|A\|^k = \frac{\|A\|^2}{1 - \|A\|}.$$

□

In the above theorem we found that if $\|A\| < 1$ then $(I - A)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n$. This is an analog of the geometric series, and is called a *Neumann series*.

If \mathfrak{A} is a Banach algebra and $\phi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear map satisfying

$$\phi(AB) = \phi(A)\phi(B), \quad A, B \in \mathfrak{A},$$

then ϕ is called a *complex homomorphism on \mathfrak{A}* . That is, a complex homomorphism on \mathfrak{A} is an algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It is straightforward to prove that if \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra and ϕ is a complex homomorphism on \mathfrak{A} , then $\phi(I) = 1$ and $\phi(A) \neq 0$ for all $A \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$. A linear functional on a Banach algebra need not be continuous, but in the following we prove that a nonzero complex homomorphism has operator norm 1, and in particular is continuous.

Corollary 3. *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra and ϕ is a nonzero complex homomorphism on \mathfrak{A} , then $\|\phi\| = 1$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $\|A\| < 1$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| \geq 1$ then $\|\lambda^{-1}A\| = |\lambda|^{-1}\|A\| < 1$, and by Theorem 2 we have $I - \lambda^{-1}A \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then,

$$1 - \lambda^{-1}\phi(A) = \phi(I) - \phi(\lambda^{-1}A) = \phi(I - \lambda^{-1}A) \neq 0,$$

hence $1 \neq \lambda^{-1}\phi(A)$, i.e. $\phi(A) \neq \lambda$. This shows that $|\phi(A)| < 1$. □

The *Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko theorem*² states that if \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra and ϕ is a linear functional on \mathfrak{A} satisfying $\phi(I) = 1$ and $\phi(A) \neq 0$ for $A \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$, then ϕ is a complex homomorphism.

In Theorem 2 we proved that a perturbation of the identity element remains in the multiplicative group. We now prove that for any element of the multiplicative group, a sufficiently small perturbation of this element remains in the multiplicative group, i.e. that the multiplicative group is an open set.³

²Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 251, Theorem 10.9

³Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 253, Theorem 10.11.

Theorem 4. *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra, $A \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$, $h \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\|h\| < \frac{1}{2} \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$, then $A + h \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$, and*

$$\|(A + h)^{-1} - A^{-1} + A^{-1}hA^{-1}\| < 2 \|A^{-1}\|^3 \|h\|^2.$$

Proof. $\|A^{-1}h\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|h\| < \frac{1}{2}$, so by Theorem 2 we get $I + A^{-1}h \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$. Therefore

$$A + h = A(I + A^{-1}h) \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A}).$$

Furthermore,

$$(A + h)^{-1} - A^{-1} + A^{-1}hA^{-1} = ((I + A^{-1}h)^{-1} - I + A^{-1}h)A^{-1},$$

and by Theorem 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|((I + A^{-1}h)^{-1} - I + A^{-1}h)A^{-1}\| &\leq \|(I + A^{-1}h)^{-1} - I + A^{-1}h\| \|A^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|A^{-1}h\|^2}{1 - \|A^{-1}h\|} \|A^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\|^3 \|h\|^2}{1 - \|A^{-1}h\|} \\ &< 2 \|A^{-1}\|^3 \|h\|^2; \end{aligned}$$

the final inequality is because $\|A^{-1}h\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|h\| < \frac{1}{2}$ and hence $1 - \|A^{-1}h\| > \frac{1}{2}$. \square

5 Spectrum

If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra and $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, the *spectrum* of A is the set

$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - A \notin \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})\},$$

and the *spectral radius* of A is

$$r(A) = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|.$$

If $|\lambda| > \|A\|$ then $\|\frac{A}{\lambda}\| < 1$ and so by Theorem 2,

$$\lambda I - A = \lambda \left(I - \frac{A}{\lambda} \right) \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A}),$$

so $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$. Therefore,

$$r(A) \leq \|A\|.$$

For $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$, we define the *resolvent* of A by

$$R(A, \lambda) = (A - \lambda I)^{-1} \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A}).$$

The following is the *resolvent identity*.

Theorem 5 (Resolvent identity). *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\lambda, \mu \notin \sigma(A)$, then*

$$R(A, \lambda) - R(A, \mu) = (\lambda - \mu)R(A, \lambda)R(A, \mu).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (A - \lambda I)(R(A, \lambda) - R(A, \mu))(A - \mu I) &= (A - \lambda I)R(A, \lambda)(A - \mu I) \\ &\quad - (A - \lambda I)R(A, \mu)(A - \mu I) \\ &= (A - \mu I) - (A - \lambda I) \\ &= (\lambda - \mu)I. \end{aligned}$$

□

The *resolvent set* of A is $\rho(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$. The following theorem implies that the resolvent set of A is open, and therefore that the spectrum of A is closed. Because $r(A) \leq \|A\|$, it follows that $\sigma(A)$ is a compact set.

Theorem 6. *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, then $|\mu - \lambda| < \|R(A, \lambda)\|^{-1}$ implies that $\mu \in \rho(A)$.*

Moreover, $\sigma(A)$ is nonempty, and although $r(A)$ may be strictly less than $\|A\|$, the spectral radius is equal to a limit of norms.⁴

Theorem 7. *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra and $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $\sigma(A)$ is nonempty, and*

$$r(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n\|^{1/n}.$$

Using the fact that the spectrum of any element of a unital Banach algebra is nonempty, one can prove the *Gelfand-Mazur theorem*, which states that if \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra for which every nonzero element is invertible, then there is an isometric algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.⁵

The following theorem shows that $\lambda \mapsto R(A, \lambda)$ is a continuous function $\rho(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$. From this and the resolvent identity it follows that for any $\lambda \in \rho(A)$,

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda} \frac{R(A, \mu) - R(A, \lambda)}{\mu - \lambda} = R(A, \lambda)^2,$$

i.e.

$$R'(A, \lambda) = R(A, \lambda)^2.$$

⁴Gert K. Pedersen, *Analysis Now*, revised printing, p. 131, Theorem 4.1.13. This proof does not use the holomorphic functional calculus, while Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 253, Theorem 10.13 does. That is, to read Pedersen's proof one does not have to make sense of integrals of functions taking values in a Banach space, while to read Rudin's one does.

⁵Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 255, Theorem 10.14.

Theorem 8. *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra and $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $\lambda \mapsto R(A, \lambda)$ is a continuous function $\rho(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof. From Theorem 5, if $\lambda, \mu \in \rho(A)$ then

$$\|R_\mu - R_\lambda\| \leq |\mu - \lambda| \|R_\lambda\| \|R_\mu\|, \quad (1)$$

where we write $R_\lambda = R(A, \lambda)$. As

$$\|R_\mu - R_\lambda\| \geq \|R_\mu\| - \|R_\lambda\|,$$

we get

$$\|R_\mu\| \leq |\lambda - \mu| \|R_\lambda\| \|R_\mu\| + \|R_\lambda\|,$$

which is

$$\|R_\mu\| (1 - |\lambda - \mu| \|R_\lambda\|) \leq \|R_\lambda\|. \quad (2)$$

If $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and $|\mu - \lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \|R_\lambda\|^{-1}$, then from Theorem 6 we get $\mu \in \rho(A)$, and combined with (2) this gives

$$\|R_\mu\| \leq 2 \|R_\lambda\|.$$

Applying this to (1) we have that if $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and $|\mu - \lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \|R_\lambda\|^{-1}$ then

$$\|R_\mu - R_\lambda\| \leq 2|\mu - \lambda| \|R_\lambda\|^2,$$

from which it follows that $\lambda \mapsto R_\lambda$ is a continuous function $\rho(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$. \square

The following theorem tells us that if the spectrum of an element of a unital Banach algebra is contained in an open set, then the spectrum of a sufficiently small perturbation of that element is contained in the same open set.⁶

Theorem 9. *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, and Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{C} containing $\sigma(A)$, then there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $\|h\| < \delta$ implies that $\sigma(A + h) \subset \Omega$.*

Proof. If $|\lambda| > \|A\|$, then

$$R(A, \lambda) = (A - \lambda I)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \left(\frac{A}{\lambda} - I \right)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{A}{\lambda} \right)^n,$$

hence

$$\|R(A, \lambda)\| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\|A\|}{|\lambda|} \right)^n = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\|A\|}{|\lambda|}} = \frac{1}{|\lambda| - \|A\|}.$$

Hence $\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \|R(A, \lambda)\| = 0$. By Theorem 8, $\lambda \mapsto \|R(A, \lambda)\|$ is a continuous function $\rho(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It follows that there is some $M > 0$ such that $\lambda \notin \Omega$ implies that $\|R(A, \lambda)\| < M$. If $\|h\| < \frac{1}{M}$ and $\lambda \notin \Omega$, then

$$\|R(A, \lambda)h\| \leq \|R(A, \lambda)\| \|h\| < 1,$$

⁶Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 257, Theorem 10.20.

which implies that $R(A, \lambda)h + I \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$. From this we obtain that

$$A + h - \lambda I = (A - \lambda I)(R(A, \lambda)h + I) \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A}),$$

which means that $\lambda \notin \sigma(A+h)$. We have shown that if $\|h\| < \frac{1}{M}$ then $\sigma(A+h) \subset \Omega$. \square

The following theorem gives conditions under which we can evaluate a holomorphic function at an element of a unital Banach algebra, and shows that the image of the spectrum is contained in the spectrum of the image.

Theorem 10. *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\|A\| \leq r$, and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n$ is holomorphic on a domain that contains the closed disc $\overline{B_r(0)}$, then*

$$f(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n A^n \in \mathfrak{A},$$

and if $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ then $f(\lambda) \in \sigma(f(A))$.

Proof. For $N > M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n A^n - \sum_{n=0}^M \alpha_n A^n \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=M+1}^N \alpha_n A^n \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=M+1}^N |\alpha_n| \|A^n\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=M+1}^N |\alpha_n| \|A\|^n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=M+1}^N |\alpha_n| r^n. \end{aligned}$$

Because the radius of convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n$ is $> r$, it follows that the above sums tend to 0 as $M \rightarrow \infty$, showing that $f(A) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Define

$$P_n(A, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda^k A^{n-k},$$

giving

$$(A - \lambda I)P_n(A, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\lambda^k A^{n-k+1} - \lambda^{k+1} A^{n-k} \right) = A^{n+1} - \lambda^{n+1} I.$$

We have

$$f(A) - f(\lambda)I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (A^n - \lambda^n I) = (A - \lambda I) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n P_{n-1}(A, \lambda).$$

But if $|\lambda| \leq r$ then

$$\|P_n(A, \lambda)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n |\lambda|^k \|A^{n-k}\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n |\lambda|^k \|A\|^{n-k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n r^n = (n+1)r^n,$$

and if $N > M$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n P_{n-1}(A, \lambda) - \sum_{n=1}^M \alpha_n P_{n-1}(A, \lambda) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=M+1}^N \alpha_n P_{n-1}(A, \lambda) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=M+1}^N |\alpha_n| \|P_{n-1}(A, \lambda)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=M+1}^N |\alpha_n| nr^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and as $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n$ has radius of convergence $> r$ this tends to 0 as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n P_{n-1}(A, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A},$$

and hence

$$f(A) - f(\lambda)I = (A - \lambda I) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n P_{n-1}(A, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

If $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ then $A - \lambda I \notin \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$, and as we have written $f(A) - f(\lambda)I$ as a product of $A - \lambda I$ and another element of \mathfrak{A} , it follows that $f(A) - f(\lambda)I \notin \text{GL}(\mathfrak{A})$, and thus $f(\lambda) \in \sigma(f(A))$. \square

Theorem 11. *If \mathfrak{A} is a unital Banach algebra, $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$\sigma(A - z_0 I) = \sigma(A) - z_0.$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = z - z_0$. If $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ then by Theorem 10,

$$\lambda - z_0 = f(\lambda) \in \sigma(f(A)) = \sigma(A - z_0 I),$$

so

$$\sigma(A) - z_0 \subseteq \sigma(A - z_0 I).$$

Let $B = A - z_0 I$ and $g(z) = z + z_0$. If $\lambda \in \sigma(B)$ then by Theorem 10,

$$\lambda + z_0 = g(\lambda) \in \sigma(g(B)) = \sigma(A),$$

so

$$\sigma(B) + z_0 \subseteq \sigma(A),$$

i.e.

$$\sigma(A - z_0) \subseteq \sigma(A) - z_0.$$

\square