

Gelfand-Pettis integrals and weak holomorphy

Jordan Bell

jordan.bell@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

April 3, 2014

1 Convexity

The Hahn-Banach separation theorem¹ states that if A and B are disjoint nonempty closed convex subsets of a locally convex space X and A is compact, then there is some $\lambda \in X^*$ and some $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda a < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \operatorname{Re} \lambda b, \quad a \in A, b \in B.$$

If X is a vector space and E is a subset of X , the *convex hull of E* is defined to be the intersection of all convex sets containing E , and is denoted by $\operatorname{co}(E)$. One checks that the convex hull of E is equal to the set of all finite convex combinations of elements of E . If X is a topological vector space, the *closed convex hull of E* is the intersection of all closed convex sets containing E , and is denoted by $\overline{\operatorname{co}}(E)$. The closed convex hull of E is equal to the closure of the convex hull of E .²

2 The Gelfand-Pettis integral

If X is a topological vector space over F , where F is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} , and \mathcal{F} is a set of functions $X \rightarrow F$, we say that \mathcal{F} *separates* X if $x, y \in X$ being distinct implies that there is some $f \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfying $f(x) \neq f(y)$. It follows from the Hahn-Banach separation theorem that if X is a locally convex space then its dual space X^* separates X : if $a, b \in X$ are distinct then apply the Hahn-Banach separation to the sets $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$.

Let μ be a positive measure on a measure space Q and let X be a topological vector space over F , where F is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} , such that X^* separates X . If $f : Q \rightarrow X$ is a function and $\lambda \in X^*$, we define $\lambda f : Q \rightarrow F$ by $(\lambda f)(q) = \lambda f(q)$. If λf is integrable with respect to μ for each $\lambda \in X^*$ and there is some $I_f \in X$ such that

$$\lambda I_f = \int_Q \lambda f d\mu, \quad \lambda \in X^*, \quad (1)$$

¹Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 59, Theorem 3.4

²John B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 102, Corollary 1.13.

then we define

$$\int_Q f d\mu = I_f,$$

which we call the *Gelfand-Pettis integral of f* . Because X^* separates X , there is at most one $I_f \in X$ satisfying (1), so if the Gelfand-Pettis integral of f exists it is unique. If the Gelfand-Pettis integrals of f and g exist and $\alpha \in F$, then $\lambda(\alpha f + g)$ is integrable with respect to μ for each $\lambda \in X^*$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\alpha I_f + I_g) &= \alpha \lambda I_f + \lambda I_g \\ &= \alpha \int_Q \lambda f d\mu + \int_Q \lambda g d\mu \\ &= \int_Q \alpha \lambda f + \lambda g d\mu \\ &= \int_Q \lambda(\alpha f + g) d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

therefore the Gelfand-Pettis integral of $\alpha f + g$ exists and satisfies

$$\int_Q \alpha f + g d\mu = \alpha \int_Q f d\mu + \int_Q g d\mu,$$

namely, Gelfand-Pettis integration is linear.

The following theorem gives conditions under which the Gelfand-Pettis integral of a function taking values in a real topological vector space exists.³

Theorem 1. *Suppose that*

- X is a real topological vector space such that X^* separates X
- μ is a Borel probability measure on a compact Hausdorff space Q

If $f : Q \rightarrow X$ is continuous and $\overline{\text{co}}(f(Q))$ is a compact subset of X , then the Gelfand-Pettis integral

$$\int_Q f d\mu$$

exists, and $\int_Q f d\mu \in \overline{\text{co}}(f(Q))$.

Proof. If L is a finite subset of X^* , let E_L be those $y \in \overline{\text{co}}(f(Q))$ such that

$$\lambda y = \int_Q \lambda f d\mu, \quad \lambda \in L.$$

If $y_\alpha \in E_L$ is a net that converges to some $y \in \overline{\text{co}}(f(Q))$ and $\lambda \in L$, then, because λ is continuous,

$$\lambda y = \lambda y_\alpha = \int_Q \lambda f d\mu,$$

³Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 78, Theorem 3.27. cf. Paul Garrett, *Vector-valued integrals*, http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/fun/notes_2012-13/07e_vv_integrals.pdf

showing that $y \in E_L$ and thus that E_L is closed. By hypothesis $\overline{\text{co}}(f(Q))$ is compact, hence E_L is compact. With $L = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$, define $F_L \in \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$F_L x = (\lambda_1 x, \dots, \lambda_n x),$$

write $K = F_L f(Q)$, and define

$$m_i = \int_Q \lambda_i f d\mu, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since Q is compact and f and F_L are continuous, the set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact, and hence its convex hull $\text{co}(K)$ is compact.⁴ If $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{co}(K)$, then applying the Hahn-Banach separation theorem to $\text{co}(K)$ and $\{t\}$, we get that there is some $c \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$ca < \gamma < ct, \quad a \in \text{co}(K),$$

i.e. there is some $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_i < \gamma < \sum_{i=1}^n c_i t_i, \quad a \in \text{co}(K).$$

If $q \in Q$ then $F_L f(q) \in K \subseteq \text{co}(K)$, hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i f(q) < \gamma < \sum_{i=1}^n c_i t_i, \quad q \in Q.$$

Because μ is a probability measure, integrating the above inequality gives

$$\int_Q \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i f(q) d\mu < \sum_{i=1}^n c_i t_i,$$

hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i m_i < \sum_{i=1}^n c_i t_i,$$

and it follows that $m \neq t$. Therefore $m \in \text{co}(K)$, and as $K = F_L f(Q)$, it follows that m is a convex combination of finitely many points of the form $F_L f(q)$, i.e., m is of the form $F_L y$ for some $y \in \text{co}(f(Q))$. To say that $m = F_L y$ means that

$$\lambda_i y = m_i = \int_Q \lambda_i f d\mu, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and thus $y \in E_L$. Therefore, if L is a finite subset of X^* then $E_L \neq \emptyset$.

If \mathcal{S} is a set of sets, we say that \mathcal{S} has the *finite intersection property* if \mathcal{S}_0 being a finite subset of \mathcal{S} implies that $\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{S}_0} S \neq \emptyset$. It is a fact that a topological space is compact if and only if every collection \mathcal{S} of closed subsets

⁴Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 72, Theorem 3.20.

with the finite intersection property satisfies $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C \neq \emptyset$.⁵ It follows from this that if \mathcal{C} is a collection of compact subsets of a Hausdorff space and \mathcal{C} has the finite intersection property, then $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C \neq \emptyset$. If L, M are finite subsets of X^* , then $E_L \cap E_M = E_{L \cup M}$. We have shown that if L is a finite subset of X^* then $E_L \neq \emptyset$, and therefore the collection of all E_L , where L is a finite subset of X^* , has the finite intersection property. As each E_L is a compact set, we obtain

$$\bigcap_{L \subset X^*, |L| < \infty} E_L \neq \emptyset,$$

i.e. there is some $y \in \overline{\text{co}}(f(Q))$ such that

$$\lambda y = \int_Q \lambda f d\mu, \quad \lambda \in X^*.$$

This satisfies (1), so

$$y = \int_Q f d\mu,$$

which proves the claim. \square

In a Fréchet space, the closed convex hull of a compact set is itself compact.⁶ Thus, if X is a Fréchet space then the set $\overline{\text{co}}(f(Q))$ in the above theorem will be compact.

The following is the triangle inequality for Gelfand-Pettis integrals.⁷

Corollary 2. *If Q is a compact Hausdorff space, X is a real Banach space, $f : Q \rightarrow X$ is continuous, and μ is a Borel probability measure on Q , then*

$$\left\| \int_Q f d\mu \right\| \leq \int_Q \|f\| d\mu.$$

Proof. The Hahn-Banach extension theorem⁸ states that if X is a normed space and $x_0 \in X$, then there is some $\lambda \in X^*$ such that $\lambda x_0 = \|x_0\|$ and

$$|\lambda x| \leq \|x\|, \quad x \in X.$$

Let $y = \int_Q f d\mu \in X$, and applying the Hahn-Banach extension theorem we get that there is some $\lambda \in X^*$ such that $\lambda y = \|y\|$ and $|\lambda x| \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$. If $q \in Q$ then $f(q) \in X$, and so $|\lambda f(q)| \leq \|f(q)\|$ for all $q \in Q$, and integrating this inequality gives us

$$\int_Q |\lambda f(q)| d\mu \leq \int_Q \|f(q)\| d\mu.$$

⁵James Munkres, *Topology*, second ed., p. 169, Theorem 26.9.

⁶Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 72, Theorem 3.20.

⁷Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 81, Theorem 3.29.

⁸Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 59, Corollary to Theorem 3.3.

Therefore,

$$\left\| \int_Q f d\mu \right\| = \|y\| = \lambda y = \int_Q \lambda f d\mu \leq \int_Q |\lambda f(q)| d\mu \leq \int_Q \|f(q)\| d\mu,$$

proving the claim. \square

3 Holomorphy

A *path* in \mathbb{C} is a continuous piecewise C^1 function from a compact interval to \mathbb{C} , and a *closed path* is a path whose initial point is equal to its final point. We denote by γ^* the image of a path. If $\gamma : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $f : \gamma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function (where γ^* has the subspace topology inherited from \mathbb{C}), the *contour integral of f along γ* is defined to be

$$\int_\gamma f(z) dz = \int_\alpha^\beta f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt.$$

The *length of γ* , denoted $|\gamma|$, is defined to be $\int_\alpha^\beta |\gamma'(t)| dt$, and we have

$$\left| \int_\gamma f(z) dz \right| \leq \sup_{z \in \gamma^*} |f(z)| \cdot \int_\alpha^\beta |\gamma'(t)| dt.$$

If γ is a closed path in \mathbb{C} and $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma^*$, we define

$$\text{Ind}_\gamma(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - z}, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

We call $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z)$ the *index of z with respect to γ* . It is a fact that Ind_γ takes integer values, is constant on each connected component of Ω , and is 0 on the unique unbounded component of Ω .⁹

Let X is a complex topological vector space and let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{C} . A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is said to be *weakly holomorphic in Ω* if $\lambda f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic for every $\lambda \in X^*$, i.e., for every $\lambda \in X^*$ and $z \in \Omega$, the limit

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{(\lambda f)(w) - (\lambda f)(z)}{w - z}$$

exists. A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is said to be *strongly holomorphic* if for every $z \in \Omega$ the limit

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{f(w) - f(z)}{w - z}$$

exists. Check that a strongly holomorphic function is weakly holomorphic.

In the following theorem we show that if a function taking values in a complex locally convex topological vector space is weakly holomorphic then it is continuous.¹⁰

⁹Walter Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, third ed., p. 203, Theorem 10.10; cf. Paul Garrett, *Holomorphic vector-valued functions*, http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/fun/Notes/09_vv_holo.pdf

¹⁰Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 82, Theorem 3.31(a).

Theorem 3. *If Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{C} , X is a complex locally convex topological vector space, and $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is weakly holomorphic, then $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in X^*$. I assert that it suffices to prove the claim in the case that $0 \in \Omega$, and in this case just to prove that f is continuous at 0.

Since Ω is an open set containing 0, there is some closed disc Δ_R of radius $R > 0$ with $0 \in \Delta_R \subset \Omega$. Define $\gamma_r = re^{it}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, with $0 < r \leq R$. By assumption $\lambda f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and applying Cauchy's integral formula,¹¹ if $z \in \Delta_r$ then

$$(\lambda f)(z) \text{Ind}_{\gamma_r}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{(\lambda f)(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

As $\text{Ind}_{\gamma_r}(z) = 1$ for $z \in \Delta_r$, we have for every z with $0 < |z| \leq r$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\lambda f)(z) - (\lambda f)(0)}{z} &= \frac{1}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{(\lambda f)(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta - \frac{1}{z} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{(\lambda f)(\zeta)}{\zeta} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{(\lambda f)(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)\zeta} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $M(\lambda) = \sup_{z \in \Delta_R} |(\lambda f)(z)|$, applying the above with $r = \frac{R}{2}$ we get that if $0 < |z| \leq \frac{R}{2}$ then

$$\left| \frac{(\lambda f)(z) - (\lambda f)(0)}{z} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot |\gamma_{\frac{R}{2}}| \cdot M(\lambda) \cdot \frac{1}{\inf_{|\zeta|=\frac{R}{2}} |\zeta - z| |\zeta|} = \frac{2M(\lambda)}{r}.$$

Define

$$Y = \left\{ \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z} : 0 < |z| \leq \frac{R}{2} \right\} \subseteq X.$$

We have shown that if $y \in Y$ and $\lambda \in X^*$, then

$$\lambda y = \lambda \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z} = \frac{\lambda f(z) - \lambda f(0)}{z} = \frac{(\lambda f)(z) - (\lambda f)(0)}{z},$$

for some $0 < |z| \leq \frac{R}{2}$, hence

$$|\lambda y| \leq \frac{2M(\lambda)}{r}, \quad y \in Y, \lambda \in X^*.$$

To say that a subset E of X is *weakly bounded* means that it is a bounded set in the weak topology on X , i.e. for every weak neighborhood N of 0 there is some c such that $E \subseteq cN$. E is weakly bounded if and only if for every $\lambda \in X^*$ there is some constant $\gamma(\lambda)$ such that $x \in E$ implies that $|\lambda x| \leq \gamma(\lambda)$.¹² We have thus established that Y is a weakly bounded subset of X . It is a fact that

¹¹Walter Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, third ed., p. 207, Theorem 10.15.

¹²cf. Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 66, §3.11.

a subset of a locally convex topological vector space is bounded if and only if it is weakly bounded,¹³ so Y is a bounded subset of X : if N is a neighborhood of 0, then there is some c such that $0 < |z| \leq r$ implies that

$$\frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z} \in cN.$$

Therefore if $0 < |z| \leq r \wedge \frac{1}{|c|}$ then

$$f(z) - f(0) \in N,$$

showing that f is continuous at 0. □

Theorem 4 (Cauchy integral formula). *If Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{C} , X is a complex Fréchet space, $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is weakly holomorphic, $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ is a closed C^1 path, $z \notin \gamma^*$, and $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z) = 1$, then the Gelfand-Pettis integral of $\frac{f \circ \gamma}{\gamma - z} \cdot \gamma' : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ exists and satisfies*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{[0,1]} \frac{f(\gamma(t))}{\gamma(t) - z} \gamma'(t) dt.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3, $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is continuous. Because γ^* is compact and $z \notin \gamma^*$, the function $t \mapsto \frac{1}{\gamma(t) - z}$ is continuous $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. As γ is C^1 , the function $\gamma' : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. Thus $F(t) = \frac{f(\gamma(t))}{\gamma(t) - z} \gamma'(t)$ continuous $[0, 1] \rightarrow X$. We apply Theorem 1, which tells us that the Gelfand-Pettis integral of F exists. Let

$$I = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{[0,1]} \frac{f(\gamma(t))}{\gamma(t) - z} \gamma'(t) dt.$$

If $\lambda \in X^*$, then

$$\lambda I = \int_{[0,1]} \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} F \right) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{[0,1]} \frac{\lambda f(\gamma(t))}{\gamma(t) - z} \gamma'(t) dt.$$

We apply the Cauchy integral formula for holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C} to λf :

$$(\lambda f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{(\lambda f)(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

Therefore $\lambda I = (\lambda f)(z) = \lambda(f(z))$. As this is true for all $\lambda \in X^*$, we have $I = f(z)$. □

One can use the above statement of the Cauchy integral formula to prove that a weakly holomorphic function that takes values in a complex Fréchet space is strongly holomorphic.¹⁴

Theorem 5. *If Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{C} , X is a complex Fréchet space, and $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is weakly holomorphic, then f is strongly holomorphic.*

¹³Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 70, Theorem 3.18.

¹⁴Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, second ed., p. 82, Theorem 3.31(c); Paul Garrett, *Holomorphic vector-valued functions*, http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/fun/notes_2012-13/08b_vv_holo.pdf