

The inhomogeneous heat equation on \mathbb{T}

Jordan Bell

jordan.bell@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

April 3, 2014

1 Introduction

In this note I am working out some material following Steve Shkoller's *MAT218: Lecture Notes on Partial Differential Equations*. However, I have written out a number of details that were not in the original notes, and may thus have introduced errors that were not in the notes on which this is based.

Write $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, and for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

Define

$$\|f\|_{H^k} = \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} \|\partial_x^j f\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

If u is a distribution on \mathbb{T} , $\partial_x u$ is also a distribution on \mathbb{T} , and in particular, if $u \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ then $\partial_x u$ is a distribution on \mathbb{T} . But if $u \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, for example, then $\partial_x^2 u$ is an element of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, rather than merely being a distribution.

Fix $T > 0$. Let $f \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ and $g \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$; as $H^1(\mathbb{T}) \subset C^0(\mathbb{T})$, we can speak about the value of g at every point rather than merely almost all points.

For almost all t and for all x , define f_n by

$$f_n(x, t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \hat{f}(k, t) e^{ikx},$$

and for all x define g_n by

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \hat{g}(k) e^{ikx}.$$

In other words, if $D_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^n e^{ikx}$, then

$$f_n(x, t) = (D_n * f(\cdot, t))(x), \quad g_n(x) = (D_n * g)(x),$$

where

$$(\phi * \psi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \phi(y)\psi(x-y)dy.$$

2 Truncation

For each n , assume that there is some $u_n \in C^\infty(0, T; C^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$ such that for almost all t and for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$u_{nt}(x, t) - u_{nxx}(x, t) = f_n(x, t), \quad (1)$$

and for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$u_n(x, 0) = g_n(x).$$

We will thus obtain a formula for u_n . In fact we will not necessarily have $u_n \in C^\infty(0, T; C^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$, but once we have an expression for u_n we can determine the function space of which it is an element. We will then show that there is some u in a certain function space such that $u_n(x, t) = (D_n * u(\cdot, t))(x)$ for all x and t .

For all t and x ,

$$u_n(x, t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{u}_n(k, t) e^{ikx}.$$

Then (1) becomes the statement that for almost all t and for all x ,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{u}_n'(k, t) e^{ikx} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^2 \widehat{u}_n(k, t) e^{ikx} = \sum_{k=-n}^n \widehat{f}(k, t) e^{ikx}.$$

If $|k| > n$, then $\widehat{u}_n'(k, t) + k^2 \widehat{u}_n(k, t) = 0$, which is a linear ordinary differential equation, whose solution satisfies $\widehat{u}_n(k, t) = e^{-k^2 t} \widehat{u}_n(k, 0)$. Since $u_n(x, 0) = g_n(x)$, $\widehat{u}_n(k, 0) = 0$. Hence if $|k| > n$ then $\widehat{u}_n(k, t) = 0$. If $|k| \leq n$, then for almost all t , $\widehat{u}_n'(k, t) + k^2 \widehat{u}_n(k, t) = \widehat{f}(k, t)$. The solution of this is, for all t and for all x ,

$$\widehat{u}_n(k, t) = e^{-k^2 t} \widehat{g}_n(k) + e^{-k^2 t} \int_0^t e^{k^2 s} \widehat{f}_n(k, s) ds.$$

Hence, for all t and for all x ,

$$u_n(x, t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(e^{-k^2 t} \widehat{g}_n(k) + e^{-k^2 t} \int_0^t e^{k^2 s} \widehat{f}_n(k, s) ds \right) e^{ikx}.$$

We merely know that $\widehat{f}_n(k, t)$ is defined for almost all t , thus we only know for almost all $t_0 \in (0, T)$ and for all x that $u_{nt}(x, t_0)$ exists. We do have that

$$u_n \in C^0(0, T; C^\infty(\mathbb{T})).$$

3 H^1

For almost all t , multiply (1) by $u_n(x, t)$ and integrate over \mathbb{T} . This is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{nt}(x, t)u_n(x, t)dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{nxx}(x, t)u_n(x, t)dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_n(x, t)u_n(x, t)dx.$$

Integrating by parts this becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{nt}(x, t)u_n(x, t)dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{nx}(x, t)u_{nx}(x, t)dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_n(x, t)u_n(x, t)dx,$$

which is

$$\pi \cdot \partial_t \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_n(x, t)^2 dx + 2\pi \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{nx}(x, t)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_n(x, t)u_n(x, t)dx.$$

Writing this using norms,

$$\pi \cdot \partial_t \|u_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\pi \cdot \|u_{nx}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_n(x, t)u_n(x, t)dx.$$

Integrating from 0 to t , for any t ,

$$\pi \cdot \|u_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \pi \cdot \|u_n(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\pi \int_0^t \|u_{nx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_n(x, s)u_n(x, s)dx ds.$$

For almost all s ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_n(x, s)u_n(x, s)|dx &= 2\pi \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_n(x, s)u_n(x, s)|dx \\ &\leq 2\pi \cdot \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \|u_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq 2\pi \left(\frac{\|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2}{2} + \frac{\|u_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2}{2} \right) \\ &= \pi \cdot \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \pi \cdot \|u_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all t (not just almost all t)

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi \cdot \|u_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \pi \cdot \|u_n(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\pi \int_0^t \|u_{nx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \pi \cdot \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \pi \cdot \|u_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

so, as $u_n(x, 0) = g_n(x)$,

$$\|u_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|u_{nx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \|g_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

Let

$$y(t) = \|u_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|u_{nx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

By the inequality we just established we have, for all t ,

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &\leq \|g_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|u_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ &\leq \|g_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t y(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$y(t) \leq \left(\|g_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right) e^t.$$

As $\|g_n\|_{L^2} \leq \|g\|_{L^2}$ and $\|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \leq \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}$ (these two facts follow from Parseval's identity), it follows that

$$y(t) \leq \left(\|g\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right) e^t.$$

Therefore, if $0 \leq t \leq T$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|u_{nx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds &\leq \left(\|g\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right) e^T \\ &\leq \left(\|g\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{T}))}^2 \right) e^T \\ &= M. \end{aligned}$$

By Parseval's identity,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{u}_n(k, t)|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{u}_{nx}(k, s)|^2 ds \leq M,$$

hence for all t ,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{u}_n(k, t)|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^2 |\widehat{u}_n(k, s)|^2 ds \leq M.$$

If $k \leq n \leq m$, then $\widehat{u}_n(k, t) = \widehat{u}_m(k, t)$ for all t . Define $\hat{u}(k, t)$ by

$$\hat{u}(k, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{u}_n(k, t) = \widehat{u}_k(k, t).$$

Thus, for all t ,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{u}(k, t)|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^2 |\hat{u}(k, s)|^2 ds \leq M. \quad (2)$$

Then, for some $M' = M'(f, g, T)$,

$$\int_0^T \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{u}(k, t)|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^2 |\hat{u}(k, t)|^2 dt \leq M'.$$

It follows that for almost all t , there is some $u \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$ whose Fourier coefficients are $\hat{u}(k, t)$, and that we have

$$\int_0^T \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1}^2 dt \leq M'.$$

We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|u_n(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1}^2 dt = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{T}))}^2 = 0.$$

4 H^2

Multiply (1) by $u_{nxx}(x, t)$ and integrate over \mathbb{T} . We get, for almost all t ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{nt}(x, t) u_{nxx}(x, t) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{nxx}(x, t) u_{nxx}(x, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_n(x, t) u_{nxx}(x, t) dx.$$

As

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{nt}(x, t) u_{nxx}(x, t) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{ntx}(x, t) u_{nx}(x, t) dx = - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}} u_{nx}(x, t)^2 dx,$$

we have

$$-\pi \frac{d}{dt} \|u_{nx}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\pi \|u_{nxx}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_n(x, t) u_{nxx}(x, t) dx.$$

Integrating from 0 to t ,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\pi \|u_{nx}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \pi \|u_{nx}(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\pi \int_0^t \|u_{nxx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}} f_n(x, s) u_{nxx}(x, s) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For almost all s ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_n(x, s) u_{nxx}(x, s)| dx & \leq 2\pi \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \|u_{nxx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq 2\pi \left(\frac{\|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2}{2} + \frac{\|u_{nxx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2}{2} \right) \\ & = \pi \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \pi \|u_{nxx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, for all t ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi \|u_{nx}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 x + 2\pi \int_0^t \|u_{nxx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & \leq \pi \|g'_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \pi \int_0^t \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_{nxx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{nx}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|u_{nxx}(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds & \leq \|g'_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|f_n(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^t \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\ & \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^T \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using Parseval's identity we have, for all t ,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{u_{nx}}(k, t)|^2 + \int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{u_{nxx}}(k, s)|^2 ds \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^T \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds,$$

hence

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^2 |\widehat{u_n}(k, t)|^2 + \int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^4 |\widehat{u_n}(k, s)|^2 ds \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^T \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds,$$

so

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^2 |\widehat{u}(k, t)|^2 + \int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^4 |\widehat{u}(k, s)|^2 ds \leq \|g\|_{H^1}^2 + \int_0^T \|f(\cdot, s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

It follows that, for almost all t ,¹

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^2 |\widehat{u}(k, t)|^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k^4 |\widehat{u}(k, t)|^2 < \infty,$$

thus $u(\cdot, t) \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$.

We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|u_n(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2}^2 dt = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{T}))}^2 = 0.$$

¹The reason I see that this follows involves the fact that the intersection of two sets of full measure is itself a set of full measure.

5 Solution

For all t we have $u(\cdot, t) \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$, and $H^1(\mathbb{T}) \subset C^0(\mathbb{T})$, so for all t and all x , $u(x, t)$ is defined. The Sobolev embedding tells us that if $k > \alpha + \frac{1}{2}$ then $H^k(\mathbb{T}) \subset C^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$. So, being specific, we have $H^1(\mathbb{T}) \subset C^{1/4}(\mathbb{T})$. It is a fact that if $h \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{T})$, $\alpha > 0$, then the partial sums of the Fourier series of h converge to h in the supremum norm.

For all t and for each k ,

$$\hat{u}(k, t) = e^{-k^2 t} \hat{g}(k) + e^{-k^2 t} \int_0^t e^{k^2 s} \hat{f}(k, s) ds.$$

It follows that, for all x ,

$$u(x, 0) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|k| \leq N} \hat{g}(k) e^{ikx}.$$

On the other hand,

$$g(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|k| \leq N} \hat{g}(k) e^{ikx}.$$

Thus for all x , $u(x, 0) = g(x)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t - u_{xx} - f\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} &\leq \|u_t - u_{nt}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \\ &\quad + \|u_{xx} - u_{nxx}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \\ &\quad + \|f - f_n\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}))} \\ &\quad + \|u_{nt} - u_{nxx} - f_n\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the four norms has limit 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let me work out the first one. For almost all t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_t(k, t) - \hat{u}_{nt}(k, t) &= \sum_{|k| > n} -k^2 e^{-k^2 t} \hat{g}(k) - k^2 e^{-k^2 t} \int_0^t e^{k^2 s} \hat{f}(k, s) ds \\ &\quad + e^{-k^2 t} e^{k^2 t} \hat{f}(k, t) \\ &= \sum_{|k| > n} -k^2 \hat{u}(k, t) + \hat{f}(k, t). \end{aligned}$$

Then using Parseval's identity,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t - u_{nt}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}))}^2 &= \int_0^T \sum_{|k| > n} | -k^2 \hat{u}(k, t) + \hat{f}(k, t) |^2 dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^T \sum_{|k| > n} k^2 |\hat{u}(k, t)|^2 + |\hat{f}(k, t)|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|u_t - u_{xx} - f\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{T}))}^2 = 0.$$

So, for almost all t and for almost all x ,

$$u_t(x, t) - u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t).$$