

# Polish spaces and Baire spaces

Jordan Bell

jordan.bell@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

June 27, 2014

## 1 Introduction

These notes consist of me working through those parts of the first chapter of Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, that I think are important in analysis. Denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of positive integers. I do not talk about universal spaces like the Cantor space  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the Baire space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , and the Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ , or “localization”, or about Polish groups.

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space, the **Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $X$** , denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_X$ , is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  that contains  $\tau$ .  $\mathcal{B}_X$  contains  $\tau$ , and is closed under complements and countable unions, and rather than talking merely about **Borel sets** (elements of the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra), we can be more specific by talking about open sets, closed sets, and sets that are obtained by taking countable unions and complements.

**Definition 1.** An  $F_\sigma$  **set** is a countable union of closed sets.

A  $G_\delta$  **set** is a complement of an  $F_\sigma$  set. Equivalently, it is a countable intersection of open sets.

If  $(X, d)$  is a metric space, the **topology induced by the metric  $d$**  is the topology generated by the collection of open balls. If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space, a metric  $d$  on the set  $X$  is said to be **compatible with  $\tau$**  if  $\tau$  is the topology induced by  $d$ . A **metrizable space** is a topological space whose topology is induced by some metric, and a **completely metrizable space** is a topological space whose topology is induced by some complete metric. One proves that being metrizable and being completely metrizable are topological properties, i.e., are preserved by homeomorphisms.

If  $X$  is a topological space, a **subspace of  $X$**  is a subset of  $X$  which is a topological space with the subspace topology inherited from  $X$ . Because any topological space is a closed subset of itself, when we say that a **subspace is closed** we mean that it is a closed subset of its parent space, and similarly for open,  $F_\sigma$ ,  $G_\delta$ . A subspace of a compact Hausdorff space is compact if and only if it is closed; a subspace of a metrizable space is metrizable; and a subspace of a completely metrizable space is completely metrizable if and only if it is closed.

A topological space is said to be **separable** if it has a countable dense subset, and **second-countable** if it has a countable basis for its topology. It is straightforward to check that being second-countable implies being separable, but a separable topological space need not be second-countable. However, one checks that a separable metrizable space is second-countable. A subspace of a second-countable topological space is second-countable, and because a subspace of a metrizable space is metrizable, it follows that a subspace of a separable metrizable space is separable.

A **Polish space** is a separable completely metrizable space. My own interest in Polish spaces is because one can prove many things about Borel probability measures on a Polish space that one cannot prove for other types of topological spaces. Using the fact (the **Heine-Borel theorem**) that a compact metric space is complete and totally bounded, one proves that a compact metrizable space is Polish, but for many purposes we do not need a metrizable space to be compact, only Polish, and using compact spaces rather than Polish spaces excludes, for example,  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## 2 Separable Banach spaces

Let  $K$  denote either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces over  $K$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  the set of bounded linear operators  $X \rightarrow Y$ . With the operator norm, this is a Banach space. We shall be interested in the **strong operator topology**, which is the initial topology on  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  induced by the family  $\{T \mapsto Tx : x \in X\}$ . One proves that the strong operator topology on  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is induced by the family of seminorms  $\{T \mapsto \|Tx\| : x \in X\}$ , and because this is a separating family of seminorms,  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  with the strong operator topology is a **locally convex space**. A basis of convex sets for the strong operator topology consists of those sets of the form

$$\{S \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y) : \|Sx_1 - T_1x_1\| < \epsilon, \dots, \|Sx_n - T_nx_n\| < \epsilon\},$$

for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .

We prove conditions under which the closed unit ball in  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  with the strong operator topology is Polish.<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are separable Banach spaces. Then the closed unit ball*

$$B_1 = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y) : \|T\| \leq 1\}$$

*with the subspace topology inherited from  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  with the strong operator topology is Polish.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\{a + ib : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ , depending on whether  $K$  is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $D_0$  be a countable dense subset of  $X$ , and let  $D$  be the span of  $D_0$  over  $K$ .  $D$  is countable and  $Y$  is Polish, so the product  $Y^D$  is Polish. Define  $\Phi : B_1 \rightarrow Y^D$

<sup>1</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 14.

by  $\Phi(T) = T \circ \iota$ , where  $\iota : D \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion map. If  $\Phi(S) = \Phi(T)$ , then because  $D$  is dense in  $X$  and  $S, T : X \rightarrow Y$  are continuous,  $X = Y$ , showing that  $\Phi$  is one-to-one. We check that  $\Phi(B_1)$  consists of those  $f \in Y^D$  such that both (i) if  $x, y \in D$  and  $a, b \in E$  then  $f(ax + by) = af(x) + bf(y)$ , and (ii) if  $x \in D$  then  $\|f(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ . One proves that  $\Phi(B_1)$  is a closed subset of  $Y^D$ , and because  $Y^D$  is Polish this implies that  $\Phi(B_1)$  with the subspace topology inherited from  $Y^D$  is Polish. Then one proves that  $\Phi : B_1 \rightarrow \Phi(B_1)$  is a homeomorphism, where  $B_1$  has the subspace topology inherited from  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  with the strong operator topology, which tells us that  $B_1$  is Polish.  $\square$

If  $X$  is a Banach space over  $K$ , where  $K$  is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , we write  $X^* = \mathcal{B}(X, K)$ . The strong operator topology on  $\mathcal{B}(X, K)$  is called the **weak-\*** topology on  $X^*$ . **Keller's theorem**<sup>2</sup> states that if  $X$  is a separable infinite-dimensional Banach space, then the closed unit ball in  $X^*$  with the subspace topology inherited from  $X^*$  with the weak-\* topology is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

### 3 $G_\delta$ sets

If  $(X, d)$  is a metric space and  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , we define

$$\text{diam}(A) = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in A\},$$

with  $\text{diam}(\emptyset) = 0$ , and if  $x \in X$  we define

$$d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in A\},$$

with  $d(x, \emptyset) = \infty$ . We also define

$$B_d(A, \epsilon) = \{x \in X : d(x, A) < \epsilon\}.$$

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a function, the **set of continuity** of  $f$  is the set of all points in  $X$  at which  $f$  is continuous. To say that  $f$  is continuous is equivalent to saying that its set of continuity is  $X$ .

If  $X$  is a topological space,  $(Y, d)$  is a metric space,  $A \subset X$ , and  $f : A \rightarrow Y$  is a function, for  $x \in X$  we define the **oscillation of  $f$  at  $x$**  as

$$\text{osc}_f(x) = \inf\{\text{diam}(f(U \cap A)) : U \text{ is an open neighborhood of } x\}.$$

To say that  $f : A \rightarrow Y$  is continuous at  $x \in A$  means that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $y \in U \cap A$  implies that  $d(f(y), f(x)) < \epsilon$ , and this implies that  $\text{diam}(f(U \cap A)) \leq 2\epsilon$ . Hence if  $f$  is continuous at  $x$  then  $\text{osc}_f(x) = 0$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $\text{osc}_f(x) = 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There is then some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\text{diam}(f(U \cap A)) < \epsilon$ , and this implies that  $d(f(y), f(x)) < \epsilon$  for every  $y \in U \cap A$ , showing that  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ . Therefore, the set of continuity of  $f : A \rightarrow Y$  is

$$\{x \in A : \text{osc}_f(x) = 0\}.$$

<sup>2</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 64, Theorem 9.19.

As well, if  $x \in X \setminus \overline{A} = \overline{A}^c$ , then  $\overline{A}^c$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  and  $f(\overline{A}^c \cap A) = f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $\text{diam}(\emptyset) = 0$ , so in this case  $\text{osc}_f(x) = 0$ .

The following theorem shows that the set of points where a function taking values in a metrizable space has zero oscillation is a  $G_\delta$  set.<sup>3</sup>

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a topological space,  $Y$  is a metrizable space,  $A \subset X$ , and  $f : A \rightarrow Y$  is a function. Then  $\{x \in X : \text{osc}_f(x) = 0\}$  is a  $G_\delta$  set.*

*Proof.* Let  $d$  be a metric on  $Y$  that induces its topology and let  $A_\epsilon = \{x \in X : \text{osc}_f(x) < \epsilon\}$ . For  $x \in A_\epsilon$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\text{osc}_f(x) \leq \text{diam}(f(U \cap A)) < \epsilon$ . But if  $y \in U$  then  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $y$  and  $\text{diam}(f(U \cap A)) < \epsilon$ , so  $\text{osc}_f(y) < \epsilon$  and hence  $y \in A_\epsilon$ , showing that  $A_\epsilon$  is open. Finally,

$$\{x \in X : \text{osc}_f(x) = 0\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{1/n},$$

which is a  $G_\delta$  set, completing the proof.  $\square$

In a metrizable space, the only closed sets that are open are  $\emptyset$  and the space itself, but we can show that any closed set is a countable intersection of open sets.<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 4.** *If  $X$  is a metrizable space, then any closed subset of  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$  set.*

*Proof.* Let  $d$  be a metric on  $X$  that induces its topology. Suppose that  $A$  is a nonempty subset of  $X$  and that  $x, y \in X$ . We have  $d(x, A) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, A)$  and  $d(y, A) \leq d(y, x) + d(x, A)$ , so

$$|d(x, A) - d(y, A)| \leq d(x, y).$$

It follows that  $B_d(A, \epsilon)$  is open. But if  $F$  is a closed subset of  $X$  then check that

$$F = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_d(F, 1/n),$$

which is an  $F_\sigma$  set, completing the proof. (If we did not know that  $F$  was closed then  $F$  would be contained in this intersection, but need not be equal to it.)  $\square$

Kechris attributes the following theorem<sup>5</sup> to Kuratowski. It and the following theorem are about extending continuous functions from a set to a  $G_\delta$  set that contains it, and we will use the following theorem in the proof of Theorem 7.

<sup>3</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 15, Proposition 3.6.

<sup>4</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 15, Proposition 3.7.

<sup>5</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 16, Theorem 3.8.

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose that  $X$  is metrizable,  $Y$  is completely metrizable,  $A$  is a subspace of  $X$ , and  $f : A \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. Then there is a  $G_\delta$  set  $G$  in  $X$  such that  $A \subset G \subset \overline{A}$  and a continuous function  $g : G \rightarrow Y$  whose restriction to  $A$  is equal to  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G = \overline{A} \cap \{x \in X : \text{osc}_f(x) = 0\}$ . Theorem 4 tells us that the first set is  $G_\delta$  and Theorem 3 tells us that the second set is  $G_\delta$ , so  $G$  is  $G_\delta$ . Because  $f : A \rightarrow Y$  is continuous,  $A \subset \{x \in X : \text{osc}_f(x) = 0\}$ , and hence  $A \subset G$ .

Let  $x \in G \subset \overline{A}$ , and let  $x_n, t_n \in A$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $t_n \rightarrow x$ . Because  $\text{osc}_f(x) = 0$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\text{diam}(f(U \cap A)) < \epsilon$ . But then there is some  $n$  such that  $k \geq n$  implies that  $x_k, t_k \in U$ , and thus  $\text{diam}(f(\{x_k, t_k : k \geq n\})) < \epsilon$ . Hence  $\text{diam}(f(\{x_k, t_k : k \geq n\})) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and this is equivalent to the sequence  $f(x_1), f(t_1), f(x_2), f(t_2), \dots$  being Cauchy. Because  $Y$  is completely metrizable this sequence converges to some  $y \in Y$  and therefore the subsequence  $f(x_n)$  and the subsequence  $f(t_n)$  both converge to  $y$ . Thus it makes sense to define  $g : G \rightarrow Y$  by

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n),$$

and the restriction of  $g$  to  $A$  is equal to  $f$ . It remains to prove that  $g$  is continuous.

If  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$ , then  $g(U \cap G) \subset \overline{f(U \cap A)}$ , hence

$$\text{diam}(g(U \cap G)) \leq \text{diam}(\overline{f(U \cap A)}) = \text{diam}(f(U \cap A)).$$

For any  $x \in G$  this and  $\text{osc}_f(x) = 0$  yield

$$\text{osc}_g(x) \leq \text{osc}_f(x) = 0,$$

showing that the set of continuity of  $g$  is  $G$ , i.e. that  $g$  is continuous. □

The following shows that a homeomorphism between subsets of metrizable spaces can be extended to a homeomorphism of  $G_\delta$  sets.<sup>6</sup>

**Theorem 6** (Lavrentiev's theorem). *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are completely metrizable spaces, that  $A$  is a subspace of  $X$ , and that  $B$  is a subspace of  $Y$ . If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homeomorphism, then there are  $G_\delta$  sets  $G \supset A$  and  $H \supset B$  and a homeomorphism  $G \rightarrow H$  whose restriction to  $A$  is equal to  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Theorem 5 tells us that there is a  $G_\delta$  set  $G_1 \supset A$  and a continuous function  $g_1 : G_1 \rightarrow Y$  whose restriction to  $A$  is equal to  $f$ , and there is a  $G_\delta$  set  $H_1 \supset B$  and a continuous function  $h_1 : H_1 \rightarrow X$  whose restriction to  $B$  is equal to  $f^{-1}$ . Let

$$R = \{(x, y) \in G_1 \times Y : y = g_1(x)\}, \quad S = \{(x, y) \in X \times H_1 : x = h_1(y)\}.$$

---

<sup>6</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 16, Theorem 3.9.

Because  $g_1 : G_1 \rightarrow Y$  is continuous,  $R$  is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ , and because  $h_1 : H_1 \rightarrow X$  is continuous,  $S$  is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ . Let

$$G = \pi_X(R \cap S), \quad H = \pi_Y(R \cap S),$$

where  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  are the projection maps. If  $x \in A$  then  $h_1(g_1(x)) = f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$ , and hence  $x \in G$ , and if  $y \in B$  then  $g_1(h_1(y)) = f(f^{-1}(y)) = y$ , and hence  $y \in H$ , so we have

$$A \subset G \subset G_1, \quad B \subset H \subset H_1.$$

The map  $E_1 : G_1 \rightarrow X \times Y$  defined by  $E_1(x) = (x, g_1(x))$  is continuous because  $g_1 : G_1 \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, and hence

$$E_1^{-1}(S) = \{x \in G_1 : x = h_1(g_1(x))\} = G$$

is a closed subset of  $G_1$ , and thus by Theorem 4 is a  $G_\delta$  set in  $G_1$ . But  $G_1$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$ , so  $G$  is a  $G_\delta$  set in  $X$  also. Define  $E_2 : H_1 \rightarrow X \times Y$  by  $E_2(y) = (h_1(y), y)$ , which is continuous because  $h_1$  is continuous. Then

$$E_2^{-1}(R) = \{y \in H_1 : y = g_1(h_1(y))\} = H$$

is a closed subset of  $H_1$ , and hence is  $G_\delta$  in  $H_1$ . But  $H_1$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $Y$ , so  $H$  is a  $G_\delta$  set in  $Y$  also.

Check that the restriction of  $g_1$  to  $G_1$  is a homeomorphism  $G_1 \rightarrow H_1$  whose restriction to  $A$  is equal to  $f$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

If a topological space has some property and  $Y$  is a subset of  $X$ , one wants to know conditions under which  $Y$  with the subspace topology inherited from  $X$  has the same property. For example, a subspace of a compact Hausdorff space is compact if and only if it is closed, and a subspace of a completely metrizable space is completely metrizable if and only if it is closed. The following theorem shows in particular that a subspace of a Polish space is Polish if and only if it is  $G_\delta$ .<sup>7</sup> (The statement of the theorem is about completely metrizable spaces and we obtain the conclusion about Polish spaces because any subspace of a separable metrizable space is itself separable.)

**Theorem 7.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a metrizable space and  $Y$  is a subset of  $X$  with the subspace topology. If  $Y$  is completely metrizable then  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$  set in  $X$ . If  $X$  is completely metrizable and  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$  set in  $X$  then  $Y$  is completely metrizable.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Y$  is completely metrizable. The map  $\text{id}_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, so Theorem 5 tells us that there is a  $G_\delta$  set  $Y \subset G \subset \bar{Y}$  and a continuous function  $g : G \rightarrow Y$  whose restriction to  $Y$  is equal to  $\text{id}_Y$ . For  $x \in G \subset \bar{Y}$ , there are  $y_n \in Y$  with  $y_n \rightarrow x$ , and because  $g$  is continuous we get  $\text{id}_Y(y_n) = g(y_n) \rightarrow g(x)$ , i.e.  $y_n \rightarrow g(x)$ , hence  $g(x) = x$ . But  $g : G \rightarrow Y$  so  $x \in Y$ , showing that  $G = Y$  and hence that  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$  set.

<sup>7</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 17, Theorem 3.11.

Suppose that  $X$  is completely metrizable and that  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$ , and let  $d$  be a complete metric on  $X$  that is compatible with the topology of  $X$ ; if we restrict this metric to  $Y$  then it is a metric on  $Y$  that is compatible with the subspace topology on  $Y$  inherited from  $X$ , but it need not be a complete metric. Let  $U_n$  be open sets in  $X$  with  $Y = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ , let  $F_n = X \setminus U_n$ , and for  $x, y \in Y$  define

$$d_1(x, y) = d(x, y) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \min \left\{ 2^{-n}, \left| \frac{1}{d(x, F_n)} - \frac{1}{d(y, F_n)} \right| \right\}.$$

One proves that  $d_1$  is a metric on  $Y$  and that it is compatible with the subspace topology on  $Y$ . Suppose that  $y_n \in Y$  is Cauchy in  $(Y, d_1)$ . Because  $d \leq d_1$ , this is also a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ , and because  $(X, d)$  is complete, there is some  $y \in X$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in  $(X, d)$ . Then one proves that  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in  $(Y, d_1)$ , from which we have that  $(Y, d_1)$  is a complete metric space.  $\square$

## 4 Continuous functions on a compact space

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces, we denote by  $C(X, Y)$  the set of continuous functions  $X \rightarrow Y$ . If  $X$  is a compact topological space and  $(Y, \rho)$  is a metric space, we define

$$d_\rho(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X} \rho(f(x), g(x)), \quad f, g \in C(X, Y),$$

which is a metric on  $C(X, Y)$ , which we call the  $\rho$ -**supremum metric**. One proves that  $d_\rho$  is a complete metric on  $C(X, Y)$  if and only if  $\rho$  is a complete metric on  $Y$ .<sup>8</sup> It follows that if  $Y$  is a Banach space then so is  $C(X, Y)$  with the supremum norm  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x)\|_Y$ .

Suppose that  $X$  is a compact topological space and that  $Y$  is a metrizable space. If  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  are metrics on  $Y$  that induce its topology, then  $d_{\rho_1}, d_{\rho_2}$  are metrics on  $C(X, Y)$ , and it can be proved that they induce the same topology,<sup>9</sup> which we call the **topology of uniform convergence**.

Finally, if  $X$  is a compact metrizable space and  $Y$  is a separable metrizable space, it can be proved that  $C(X, Y)$  is separable.<sup>10</sup>

Thus, using what we have stated above, suppose that  $X$  is a compact metrizable space and that  $Y$  is a Polish space. Because  $X$  is a compact metrizable space and  $Y$  is a separable metrizable space,  $C(X, Y)$  is separable. Because  $X$  is a compact topological space and  $Y$  is a completely metrizable space,  $C(X, Y)$  is completely metrizable, and hence Polish.

<sup>8</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 124, Lemma 3.97.

<sup>9</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 124, Lemma 3.98.

<sup>10</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 125, Lemma 3.99.

## 5 $C([0, 1])$

$C^1(\mathbb{R})$  consists of those functions  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is some  $F'(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$F'(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0},$$

and such that this function  $F'$  belongs to  $C(\mathbb{R})$ . We define  $C^1([0, 1])$  to be those functions  $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that are the restriction to  $[0, 1]$  of some element of  $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . We shall prove that  $C^1([0, 1])$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  set in  $C([0, 1])$ .<sup>11</sup>

Suppose that  $f \in C^1([0, 1])$ . For each  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

## 6 Meager sets and Baire spaces

Let  $X$  be a topological space. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called **nowhere dense** if the interior of  $\overline{A}$  is  $\emptyset$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called **meager** if it is a countable union of nowhere dense sets. A meager set is also said to be **of first category**, and a nonmeager is said to be **of second category**. Meager is a good name for at least two reasons: it is descriptive and the word is not already used to name anything else. First category and second category are bad names for at least four reasons: the words describe nothing, they are phrases rather than single words, they suggests an ordering, and they conflict with reserving the word “category” for category theory. A complement of a meager is said to be **comeager**.

If  $X$  is a set, an **ideal on  $X$**  is a collection of subsets of  $X$  that includes  $\emptyset$  and is closed under subsets and finite unions. A  **$\sigma$ -ideal on  $X$**  is an ideal that is closed under countable unions.

**Lemma 8.** *The collection of meager subsets of a topological space is a  $\sigma$ -ideal.*

If  $X$  is a topological space and  $x \in X$ , we say that  $x$  is **isolated** if  $\{x\}$  is open. We say  $X$  is **perfect** if it has no isolated points, and a  $T_1$  **space** if  $\{x\}$  is closed for each  $x \in X$ . Suppose that  $X$  is a perfect  $T_1$  space and let  $A$  be a countable subset of  $X$ . For each  $x \in A$ , because  $X$  is  $T_1$ , the closure of  $\{x\}$  is  $\{x\}$ , and because  $X$  is perfect, the interior of  $\{x\}$  is  $\emptyset$ , and hence  $\{x\}$  is nowhere dense.  $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} \{x\}$  is a countable union of nowhere dense sets, hence is meager. Thus we have proved that any countable subset of a perfect  $T_1$  space is meager.

Suppose that  $X$  is a topological space. If every comeager set in  $X$  is dense, we say that  $X$  is a **Baire space**.

**Lemma 9.** *A topological space is a Baire space if and only if the intersection of any countable family of dense open sets is dense.*

We prove that open subsets of Baire spaces are Baire spaces.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>11</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 70.

<sup>12</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 41, Proposition 8.3.



**Theorem 10.** *If  $X$  is a Baire space and  $U$  is an open subspace of  $X$ , then  $U$  is a Baire space.*

*Proof.* Because  $U$  is open, an open subset of  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$  that is contained in  $U$ . Suppose that  $U_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are dense open subsets of  $U$ . So they are each open subsets of  $X$ , and  $U_n \cup (X \setminus \bar{U})$  is a dense open subset of  $X$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then because  $X$  is a Baire space,

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \cup (X \setminus \bar{U})) = \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \right) \cup (X \setminus \bar{U})$$

is dense in  $X$ . It follows that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is dense in  $U$ , showing that  $U$  is a Baire space.  $\square$

The following is the **Baire category theorem**.<sup>13</sup>

**Theorem 11** (Baire category theorem). *Every completely metrizable space is a Baire space. Every locally compact Hausdorff space is a Baire space.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a completely metrizable space and let  $d$  be a complete metric on  $X$  compatible with the topology. Suppose that  $U_n$  are dense open subsets of  $X$ . To show that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is dense it suffices to show that for any nonempty open subset  $U$  of  $X$ ,

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \cap U) = U \cap \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \neq \emptyset.$$

Because  $U$  is a nonempty open set it contains an open ball  $B_1$  of radius  $< 1$  with  $\bar{B}_1 \subset U$ . Since  $U_1$  is dense and  $B_1$  is open,  $B_1 \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$  and is open because both  $B_1$  and  $U_1$  are open. As  $B_1 \cap U_1$  is a nonempty open set it contains an open ball  $B_2$  of radius  $< \frac{1}{2}$  with  $\bar{B}_2 \subset B_1 \cap U_1$ . Suppose that  $n > 1$  and that  $B_n$  is an open ball of radius  $< \frac{1}{n}$  with  $\bar{B}_n \subset B_{n-1} \cap U_{n-1}$ . Since  $U_n$  is dense and  $B_n$  is open,  $B_n \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$  and is open because both  $B_n$  and  $U_n$  are open. As  $B_n \cap U_n$  is a nonempty open set it contains an open ball  $B_{n+1}$  of radius  $< \frac{1}{n+1}$  with  $\bar{B}_{n+1} \subset B_n \cap U_n$ . Then, we have  $B_{n+1} \subset B_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Letting  $x_i$  be the center of  $B_i$ , we have  $d(x_j, x_i) < \frac{1}{i}$  for  $j > i$ , and hence  $x_i$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, there is some  $x \in X$  such that  $x_i \rightarrow x$ . For any  $m$  there is some  $i_0$  such that  $i \geq i_0$  implies that  $d(x_i, x) < \frac{1}{m}$ , and hence  $x \in B_m = \bigcap_{n=1}^m B_n$ . Therefore

$$x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \cap U),$$

which shows that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is dense and hence that  $X$  is a Baire space.

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Suppose that  $U_n$  are dense open subsets of  $X$  and that  $U$  is a nonempty open set. Let  $x_1 \in U$ , and because

<sup>13</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 41, Theorem 8.4.

$X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space there is an open neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $x_1$  with  $\overline{V_1}$  compact and  $\overline{V_1} \subset U$ . Since  $U_1$  is dense and  $V_1$  is open, there is some  $x_2 \in V_1 \cap U_1$ . As  $V_1 \cap U_1$  is open, there is an open neighborhood  $V_2$  of  $x_2$  with  $\overline{V_2}$  compact and  $\overline{V_2} \subset V_1 \cap U_1$ . Thus,  $\overline{V_n}$  are compact and satisfy  $\overline{V_{n+1}} \subset \overline{V_n}$  for each  $n$ , and hence

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{V_n} \neq \emptyset.$$

This intersection is contained in  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \cap U)$  which is therefore nonempty, showing that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is dense and hence that  $X$  is a Baire space.  $\square$

## 7 Nowhere differentiable functions

From what we said in §4, because  $[0, 1]$  is a compact metrizable space and  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Polish space,  $C([0, 1]) = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  with the topology of uniform convergence is Polish. This topology is induced by the norm  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x)|$ , with which  $C([0, 1])$  is thus a separable Banach space.

For a function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be differentiable at a point  $x_0$  means that there is some  $F'(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0} = F'(x_0).$$

If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function and  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ , we say that  $f$  is **differentiable at**  $x_0$  if there is some function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is differentiable at  $x_0$  and whose restriction to  $[0, 1]$  is equal to  $f$ , and we write  $f'(x_0) = F'(x_0)$ . The purpose of speaking in this way is to be precise about what we mean by  $f$  being differentiable at the endpoints of the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

If  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ , then there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$\left| \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - f'(x_0) \right| < 1,$$

and hence

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| < (1 + |f'(x_0)|)|x - x_0|.$$

On the other hand, if  $f \in C([0, 1])$  then  $\{x \in [0, 1] : |x - x_0| \geq \delta\}$  is a compact set on which  $x \mapsto \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$  is continuous, and hence the absolute value of this function is bounded by some  $M$ . Thus, if  $|x - x_0| \geq \delta$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$\left| \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} \right| \leq M,$$

hence

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq M|x - x_0|.$$

Therefore, if  $f \in C([0, 1])$  is differentiable at  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$  then there is some positive integer  $N$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq N|x - x_0|, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $E_N$  be those  $f \in C([0, 1])$  for which there is some  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq N|x - x_0|, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

We have established that if  $f \in C([0, 1])$  and there is some  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f$  is differentiable at  $x_0$ , then there is some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f \in E_N$ . Therefore, the set of those  $f \in C([0, 1])$  that are differentiable at some point in  $[0, 1]$  is contained in

$$\bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} E_N,$$

and hence to prove that the set of  $f \in C([0, 1])$  that are nowhere differentiable is comeager in  $C([0, 1])$ , it suffices to prove that each  $E_N$  is nowhere dense. To show this we shall follow the proof in Stein and Shakarchi.<sup>14</sup>

**Lemma 12.** *For each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E_N$  is a closed subset of the Banach space  $C([0, 1])$ .*

*Proof.*  $C([0, 1])$  is a metric space, so to show that  $E_N$  is closed it suffices to prove that if  $f_n \in E_N$  is a sequence tending to  $f \in C([0, 1])$ , then  $f \in E_N$ . For each  $n$ , let  $x_n \in [0, 1]$  be such that

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(x_n)| \leq N|x - x_n|, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Because  $x_n$  is a sequence in the compact set  $[0, 1]$ , it has subsequence  $x_{a(n)}$  that converges to some  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ . For all  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x_0)| &\leq |f(x) - f_{a(n)}(x)| + |f_{a(n)}(x) - f_{a(n)}(x_0)| \\ &\quad + |f_{a(n)}(x_0) - f(x_0)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Because  $\|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , there is some  $n_0$  such that when  $n \geq n_0$ , the first and third terms on the right-hand side are each  $< \epsilon$ . For the second term on the right-hand side, we use

$$|f_{a(n)}(x) - f_{a(n)}(x_0)| \leq |f_{a(n)}(x) - f_{a(n)}(x_{a(n)})| + |f_{a(n)}(x_{a(n)}) - f_{a(n)}(x_0)|.$$

But  $f_{a(n)} \in E_N$ , so this is  $\leq$

$$N|x - x_{a(n)}| + N|x_{a(n)} - x_0|.$$

Putting everything together, for  $n \geq n_0$  we have

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| < 2\epsilon + N|x - x_{a(n)}| + N|x_{a(n)} - x_0|.$$

---

<sup>14</sup>Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi, *Functional Analysis*, p. 163, Theorem 1.5.

Because  $x_{a(n)} \rightarrow x_0$ , we get

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq 2\epsilon + N|x - x_0|.$$

But this is true for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , so

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq N|x - x_0|,$$

showing that  $f \in E_N$ . □

For  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $P_M$  be the set of those  $f \in C([0, 1])$  that are piecewise linear and whose line segments have slopes with absolute value  $\geq M$ . If  $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M > N$ , and  $f \in P_M$ , then for any  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ , this  $x_0$  is the abscissa of a point on at least one line segment whose slope has absolute value  $\geq M$  (the point will be on two line segments when it is their common endpoint), and then there is another point on this line segment, with abscissa  $x$ , such that  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq M|x - x_0| > N|x - x_0|$ , and the fact that for every  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$  there is such  $x \in [0, 1]$  means that  $f \notin E_N$ . Therefore, if  $M > N$  then  $P_M \cap E_N = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 13.** *For each  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P_M$  is dense in  $C([0, 1])$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C([0, 1])$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Because  $f$  is continuous on the compact set  $[0, 1]$  it is uniformly continuous, so there is some positive integer  $n$  such that  $|x - y| \leq \frac{1}{n}$  implies that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon$ . We define  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be linear on the intervals  $[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$  and to satisfy

$$g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad k = 0, \dots, n.$$

This nails down  $g$ , and for any  $x \in [0, 1]$  there is some  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$  such that  $x$  lies in the interval  $[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]$ . But since  $g$  is linear on this interval and we know its values at the endpoints, for any  $y$  in this interval we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) &= \frac{f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\frac{k+1}{n} - \frac{k}{n}}y + f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - \frac{f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\frac{k+1}{n} - \frac{k}{n}} \cdot \frac{k}{n} \\ &= n\left(f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right)y + f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - k\left(f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x) - f(x)| &\leq |g(x) - g(k/n)| + |g(k/n) - f(k/n)| + |f(k/n) - f(x)| \\ &= |g(x) - f(k/n)| + |f(k/n) - f(x)| \\ &= n\left|f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right|\left|x - \frac{k}{n}\right| + |f(k/n) - f(x)| \\ &\leq \left|f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right| + |f(k/n) - f(x)| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This is true for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , so

$$\|g - f\|_\infty \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Now that we know that we can approximate any  $f \in C([0, 1])$  with continuous piecewise linear functions, we shall show that we can approximate any continuous piecewise linear function with elements of  $P_M$ , from which it will follow that  $P_M$  is dense in  $C([0, 1])$ . Let  $g$  be a continuous piecewise linear function. We can write  $g$  in the following way: there is some positive integer  $n$  and  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g$  is linear on the intervals  $[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ , and satisfies  $g(x) = a_k x + b_k$  for  $x \in [\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}]$ ; this can be satisfied precisely when  $a_k \frac{k+1}{n} + b_k = a_{k+1} \frac{k+1}{n} + b_{k+1}$  for each  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$\phi_\epsilon(x) = g(x) + \epsilon, \quad \psi_\epsilon(x) = g(x) - \epsilon, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

We shall define a function  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by describing its graph. We start at  $(0, g(0))$ , and then the graph of  $h$  is a line segment of slope  $M$  until it intersects the graph of  $\phi_\epsilon$ , at which point the graph of  $h$  is a line segment of slope  $-M$  until it intersects the graph of  $\psi_\epsilon$ . We repeat this until we hit the point  $(\frac{1}{n}, h(\frac{1}{n}))$ ; we remark that it need not be the case that  $h(\frac{1}{n}) = g(\frac{1}{n})$ . If  $(\frac{1}{n}, h(\frac{1}{n}))$  lies on the graph of  $\phi_\epsilon$  then we start a line segment of slope  $-M$ , and if it lies on the graph of  $\psi_\epsilon$  then we start a line segment of slope  $M$ , and otherwise we continue the existing line segment until it intersects  $\phi_\epsilon$  or  $\psi_\epsilon$  and we repeat this until the point  $(\frac{2}{n}, h(\frac{2}{n}))$ , and then repeat this procedure. This constructs a function  $h \in P_M$  such that  $\|h - g\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$ . But for any  $f \in C([0, 1])$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have shown that there is some continuous piecewise linear  $g$  such that  $\|g - f\|_\infty < \epsilon$ , and now we know that there is some  $h \in P_M$  such that  $\|h - g\|_\infty < \epsilon$ , so  $\|h - f\|_\infty < 2\epsilon$ , showing that  $P_M$  is dense in  $C([0, 1])$ .  $\square$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , suppose that  $f \in E_N$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $M > N$ , and because  $P_M$  is dense in  $C([0, 1])$ , there is some  $h \in P_M$  such that  $\|f - h\|_\infty < \epsilon$ . But  $P_M \cap E_N = \emptyset$  because  $M > N$ , so  $h \notin E_N$ , showing that there is no open ball with center  $f$  that is contained in  $E_N$ , which shows that  $E_N$  has empty interior. But we have shown that  $E_N$  is closed, so the interior of the closure of  $E_N$  is empty, namely,  $E_N$  is nowhere dense, which completes the proof.

## 8 The Baire property

Suppose that  $X$  is a topological space and that  $\mathcal{S}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ideal of meager sets in  $X$ . For  $A, B \subset X$ , write

$$A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A).$$

We write  $A =^* B$  if  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{S}$ . One proves that if  $A =^* B$  then  $X \setminus A =^* X \setminus B$ , and that if  $A_n =^* B_n$  then  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n =^* \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n =^* \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ . A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to have the **Baire property** if there is an open set  $U$

such that  $A =^* U$ . (It is a common practice to talk about things that are equal to a thing that is somehow easy to work with modulo things that are considered small.) The following theorem characterizes the collection of subsets with the Baire property of a topological space.<sup>15</sup>

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the collection of subsets of  $X$  with the Baire property. Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ , and is the algebra generated by all open sets and all meager sets.*

*Proof.* If  $F$  is closed, then  $F \setminus \text{Int}(F)$  is closed and has empty interior, so is nowhere dense and therefore meager. Thus, if  $F$  is closed then  $F =^* \text{Int}(F)$ .

$\emptyset =^* \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset$  is open so  $\emptyset$  has the Baire property, and so belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . This means that there is some open set  $U$  such that  $B =^* U$ , which implies that  $X \setminus B =^* X \setminus U$ . But  $X \setminus U$  is closed, hence  $X \setminus U =^* \text{Int}(X \setminus U)$ , so  $X \setminus B =^* \text{Int}(X \setminus U)$ . As  $\text{Int}(X \setminus U)$  is open, this shows that  $X \setminus B$  has the Baire property, that is,  $X \setminus B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Suppose that  $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ . So there are open sets  $U_n$  such that  $B_n =^* U_n$ , and it follows that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n =^* \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ . The union on the right-hand side is open, so  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  has the Baire property and thus belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra containing all open sets and all meager sets, and let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Because  $B$  has the Baire property there is some open set  $U$  such that  $B =^* U$ , which means that  $M = B \triangle U = (B \setminus U) \cup (U \setminus B)$  is meager. But  $B = M \triangle U = (M \setminus U) \cup (U \setminus M)$ , and because  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra and  $U, M \in \mathcal{A}$  we get  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , showing that  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

If  $X_n$  is a sequence of sets, we call  $A \subset \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  a **tail set** if for all  $(x_n) \in A$  and  $(y_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ ,  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : y_n \neq x_n\}$  being finite implies that  $(y_n) \in A$ . The following theorem states is a **topological zero-one law**,<sup>16</sup> whose proof uses the **Kuratowski-Ulam theorem**,<sup>17</sup> which is about meager sets in a product of two second-countable topological spaces. Since, from the Baire category theorem, any completely metrizable space is a Baire space and a separable metrizable space is second-countable, we can in particular use the following theorem when the  $X_n$  are Polish spaces.

**Theorem 15.** *Suppose that  $X_n$  is a sequence of second-countable Baire spaces. If  $A \subset \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  has the Baire property and is a tail set, then  $A$  is either meager or comeager.*

<sup>15</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 47, Proposition 8.22.

<sup>16</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 55, Theorem 8.47.

<sup>17</sup>Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, p. 53, Theorem 8.41.